

# Parramatta Road Corridor



# **Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan**

Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay Councils

5 October 2022





#### **Gold Coast**

Suite 26, 58 Riverwalk Avenue Robina QLD 4226 P: (07) 5562 5377

W: www.bitziosconsulting.com.au

Brisbane Level 2, 428 Upper Edward Street Spring Hill QLD 4000 P: (07) 3831 4442 Sydney

Studio 203, 3 Gladstone Street Newtown NSW 2042 P: (02) 9557 6202

E: admin@bitziosconsulting.com.au

Copyright in the information and data in this document is the property of Bitzios Consulting. This document and its information and data is for the use of the authorised recipient and this document may not be used, copied or reproduced in whole or in part for any purpose other than for which it was supplied by Bitzios Consulting. Bitzios Consulting makes no representation, undertakes no duty and accepts no responsibility to any third party who may use or rely upon this document or its information and data.

#### **Document Issue History**

Report File Name	Prepared	Reviewed	Issued	Date	Issued to
P3179.001R PRC Traffic and Transport Strategy	A. Grey	D. Bitzios	A. Grey	3/12/2021	Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay Councils
P3179.002R PRC Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan	A. Grey	D. Bitzios	A. Grey	31/1/2022	Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay Councils
P3179.003R PRC Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan	A. Grey	D. Bitzios	A. Grey	18/2/2022	Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay Councils
P5769.004R PRC Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan	J. Yang	D. Bitzios	J.Yang	9/9/2022	Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay Councils
P5769.005R PRC Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan	J. Yang	D. Bitzios	J.Yang	5/10/2022	Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay Councils







# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

# Background

The Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transportation Strategy (PRCUTS) was released in 2016 by Urban Growth NSW and outlines the planning vision and required actions to accommodate 27,000 new homes and 50,000 new jobs along a corridor of Parramatta Road. The implementation of the strategy is driven by the PRCUTS Implementation Toolkit and Ministerial Direction s9.1, which guides the preparation of planning proposals to rezone land in accordance with the strategy.

To rezone the land and implement the strategy the Ministerial Direction s9.1 outlines:

"Prior to any rezoning commencing, a Precinct-wide traffic study and supporting modelling is required to be completed which considers the recommended land uses and densities, as well as future WestConnex conditions, and identifies the necessary road improvements and upgrades required to be delivered as part of any proposed renewal in the Precinct."

This requirement had resulted in planning proposals being on hold by local and State Governments while the precinct-wide traffic study was being undertaken. This report documents the traffic study to inform the necessary road improvements and upgrades for the Kings Bay, Burwood-Concord and Homebush precincts.

The City of Canada Bay, together with Burwood Council and Strathfield Council, commissioned Bitzios Consulting in 2017 to prepare this Traffic and Transport Study for the Parramatta Road corridor area within their local government area boundaries. The methodology for the traffic modelling was agreed with TfNSW with input on assumptions for the then Department of Planning Industry and Environment (now Department of Planning and Environment (DPE)). The initial strategic modelling baseline (2019) outputs were completed in December 2020 with the uplift modelling for 2026 and 2036 and reporting occurring through 2021.

This report provides an integrated traffic and transport strategic response and action plan to support the land use densification proposed for the Kings Bay, Burwood-Concord and Homebush precincts.

### Note to the reader:

It is important to highlight that like all traffic modelling, the outputs for this work are impacted by the model inputs, assumptions and only mark a point in time. The study uses 2019 base data and was commissioned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic and therefore may not reflect current trends due to shifts in active, public and private transport usage and a reduction in migration due to border closures. The report also references work that TfNSW is currently undertaking to improve public and active transport along the corridor via a strategic business case. However, as this work is not currently complete, the report is unable to adopt these outcomes as part of the project assumptions. As such, for the purpose of this study, six lanes of vehicle traffic have been adopted for the modelling and reporting, with further work required to confirm mode shift and new configurations once the public transport solutions are confirmed by TfNSW.

Another limitation with the traffic modelling is reduced capacity of (traffic) movement modelling being able to capture place outcomes and impacts. The scope of the study was to prepare network improvements that focused on traffic impacts along the corridor, using trip travel time and speeds, and intersection queue lengths as examples of assessment criteria. In some localities slow vehicle speeds will create safer pedestrian and active transport environments, but these quantitative assessments have not been included in this report. Other disciplines work will need to be considered in decision making for this more holistic approach to preferred outcomes in the following stages of planning and design.

Whilst the Movement and Place framework has been discussed by TfNSW for a number of years, the 'Predict and Provide' and 'Vision and Validate' approaches were only introduced with the release of the TfNSW Future Transport 2056 in 2018. TfNSW's shift from utilising 'Predict and Provide' to 'Vision and Validate' in transport planning is currently in development and its application tools are yet to be released to local government and industry. Therefore, this report has not applied the 'Vision and Validate' approach directly, and instead notes the importance of this approach for future iterations of traffic and transport studies and strategies for the Parramatta Road corridor.







### **Modelling Outcomes**

By 2036, the study area will see a 35% to 39% increase in traffic from 2019 levels with the four precinct development uplift areas included. Additionally, approximately 75% of the 2036 traffic will be traffic passing through the study area. Whilst some traffic will be diverted to WestConnex M4, a proportion will still be using surface roads, including Parramatta Road.

The AIMSUN microsimulation modelling highlighted that Parramatta Road in 2036 would have worse congestion than in 2018 – 2019 base year. The model highlighted that peak period queue lengths would impact local roads to the north and south of Parramatta Road, generating traffic re-routing through local east-west streets. These impacts need to be mitigated with public and active transport mode shifts as well as network traffic improvements which provide traffic relief on Parramatta Road. Accordingly, the traffic capacity-related measures in this report are centred around relieving pinch points and on more efficient queue storage to minimise the extent to which queues affect local road intersections while at the same time better catering for pedestrians and cyclists as precincts redevelop. Early intentions in this study to provide more opportunities for turning and cross-movements at Parramatta Road, to the benefit of local traffic, have not been able to be progressed due to the forecast congestion and the unknown outcomes of the public and active transport planning currently being progressed by TfNSW.

The redevelopment of the main growth precincts along the corridor offers an opportunity for improvements in permeability and accessibility within and through the precincts for walking, cycling and local traffic. These opportunities have been identified as a part of this study, as well as other traffic demand reduction schemes like new bus service areas and new stops, car share locations and parking management measures.

### Homebush North and South Precincts

With significant growth in Parramatta Road traffic volumes and growth in traffic to the north of Parramatta Road (partly from the Homebush North precinct) and to the south of Parramatta Road (partly from the Homebush South precinct), significant congestion is seen in 2036 at:

- Parramatta Road / Concord Road / Leicester Avenue
- Parramatta Road / George Street
- Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street
- George Street / Pomeroy Street.

This congestion is extensive with queues extending well into the restricted areas either side of Parramatta Road. Without clearing out east-west congestion on Parramatta Road, there are no benefits in undertaking major road upgrades in / near the Homebush precincts.

The initiatives proposed in the Homebush North precinct are:

- Traffic signals / intersection modifications at:
  - George Street / Pomeroy Street
  - Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street.
- A new bus route via George Street to support a bus connection to Concord West station
- A number of walking and cycling connections and a fine grained street system as redevelopment allows.

The initiatives proposed in the Homebush South precinct are:

- Intersection changes and parking restrictions Parramatta Road / Bridge Road
- Upgrades to Parramatta Road / Knight Street and limiting Station Street / Parramatta Road to left in / left out movements
- New extended bus services and stops in the eastern part of the precinct, as redevelopment occurs and in consultation with TfNSW
- Additional pedestrian and cycleway connections and ensuring pedestrian links are provided between Loftus Lane and Parramatta Road with redevelopment.







# **Burwood-Concord Precinct**

The key traffic issues in the precinct by 2036 are related to significant congestion on Parramatta Road. This generates queues back down Burwood Road, exacerbated by more traffic generated by the precinct. Burwood Road traffic then attempts to divert to other local street east-west routes and those turning movements further congest Burwood Road. Key issues were seen in the 2036 models at:

- Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street (staggered, signalised intersections)
- Burwood Road / Burton Street.

The initiatives proposed in the Burwood- Concord precinct are:

- Burwood Road peak period parking restrictions in the longer term as redevelopment occurs
- Signal phase changes at Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street
- Right turn ban at Gipps Street / Boughton Street
- New traffic signals at Burwood Road / Burton Street
- New traffic signals at Burwood Road / Comer Street
- A traffic management scheme to limit 'rat running' via Loftus Street
- Additional pedestrian links to improve the permeability of the redevelopment area and new cycle links to connect existing facilities to, from and within the precinct.

### Kings Bay Precinct

As with other precincts, traffic congestion in the Kings Bay precinct is expected by 2036 because of Parramatta Road. Queues in the AM peak in particular extend from Parramatta Road back into Harris Road as well as east of the precinct into Arlington Street, Great North Road, and streets in between, also affecting Queens Road.

The initiatives proposed in the Kings Bay precinct are:

- Additional peak period parking restrictions along Harris Road and Great North Road
- Queens Road / Harris Road minor intersection upgrade
- Great North Road / Parramatta Road lane marking changes
- Bus service extensions and stops along Queens Road as redevelopment occurs, and in consultation with TfNSW
- Additional mid-block pedestrian crossings on Parramatta Road and Queen Street and a short section of connecting cycleway
- Breaking up existing street blocks with 1-2 additional north-south streets or lanes per block.

### **Development Potential**

Key findings regarding the development uplift potential in each precinct are:

- Homebush North: Uplift levels should be supportable due to the presence of Concord West Station
- Homebush South: Uplift levels should be reconsidered with greater densities towards Knight Street (and the rail station) and reducing levels towards Bridge Road further away from public transport
- Burwood Concord: Distribution of the proposed uplift should be weighted with high densities around Parramatta Road, Burwood Road and the proposed Burwood North Metro Station, with reduced levels towards the southeast and southwest corners of the precinct
- Kings Bay: Of all the precincts, Kings Bay as the lowest level of direct public transport accessibility and hence the greatest reliance on private cars. Re-development of the area should further consider improvements to active and public transport such as the Parramatta Road bus lanes project (currently under investigation) or more direct bus services between the precinct and nearby railway stations.

### **Consolidated Action Plan**

Table 10.1 provides a consolidated action plan for the study area on the basis that the development uplift proposals in each of the four precincts are carried forward.







# **CONTENTS**

		Page
Exec	CUTIVE SUMMARY	ш
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Parramatta Road Corridor	1
1.3	Study Area	4
1.4	Study Development Process	5
2.	Context	6
2.1	Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transportation Strategy	6
2.2	Future Transport 2056	8
2.3	Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment, Turnbull Engineering	11
2.4	Sydney CBD to Parramatta Strategic Transport Plan	11
2.5	TfNSW Road User Space Allocation Policy	12
2.6	Challenges and Opportunities: Corridor Wide	12
2.7	Challenges and Opportunities: Homebush North	20
2.8	Challenges and Opportunities: Homebush South	22
2.9	Challenges and Opportunities: Burwood-Concord	25
2.10	Challenges and Opportunities: Kings Bay	29
3.	VISION AND PLANNING PRINCIPLES	33
3.1	Integrated Vision	33
3.2	Urban Frameworks by Precinct (RobertsDay)	33
3.2.1	Overview	33
3.2.2	Homebush North Precinct	35
3.2.3	Homebush South Precinct	36
3.2.4	Burwood Precinct	37
3.2.5	Kings Bay Precinct	38
3.3	Key Transport Planning Principles	39
3.3.1	Overview	39
3.3.2	Principle 1 – Make the Most of WestConnex	39
3.3.3	Principle 2 – Localise Parramatta Road	39
3.3.4	Principle 3 – Maximise Public Transport Efficiency	39
3.3.5	Principle 4 – Improve Walking and Cycling Connectivity	40
3.3.6	Principle 5 – Manage Long-stay Parking	40
3.4	Future Modal Share Targets	41
3.5	Tempering the Outcomes	41
4.	STUDY AREA-WIDE STRATEGIES	43
4.1	Forecast Corridor Growth	43
4.1.1	STFM Travel Zones	43
4.1.2		43
4.1.3	Employment Growth	46
4.1.4		48
4.1.5	Future Year Traffic Demands	48







4.2	Methodologies	49
4.2.1	Traffic Models Development and Approval Process	49
4.2.2	Traffic Modelling Scenarios	52
4.2.3	Traffic Network Upgrades Development	52
4.2.4	Public Transport and Active Transport Initiatives	54
4.2.5	Parking Policies and Strategies	54
4.2.6	Weekend Traffic Considerations and Modelling Limitations	54
4.3	Traffic Congestion and Pinch Points	55
4.3.1	Overview	55
4.3.2	Issues Assessment	55
4.3.3	'Waiting to Enter' Map	57
4.3.4	Homebush North and South	59
4.3.5	Burwood-Concord	64
4.3.6	Kings Bay	66
4.4	Traffic Network Upgrades and Rationale	68
4.4.1	Do Minimum Changes	68
4.4.2	Proposed Traffic Network Upgrades Summary	69
4.4.3	Traffic Performance Improvements – Network Benefits	71
4.4.4	Traffic Performance Improvements – Route Travel Time	74
4.5	Public Transport	79
4.5.1	Changes to Public Transport Services	79
4.5.2	At-grade Rapid Transport Route on Parramatta Road	83
4.6	Active Transport	83
4.6.1	Walking Demand and New Links	83
4.6.2	Cycling	83
4.7	Parking Policies	85
4.7.1	General	85
4.7.2	DCP Parking Rate Categories	85
4.7.3	Peak Period Parking Restrictions	87
4.8	Travel Demand Management Measures	88
4.8.1	Car Share Strategies	88
4.8.2	Green Travel Plans	89
	'Finer Grain' Local Street Networks	89
5.	HOMEBUSH NORTH PRECINCT	90
5.1	Uplift Development Summary	90
5.2	Traffic Generation	91
5.3	Integrated Strategic Response	92
5.4	Road Network Upgrades	93
5.4.1	General	93
5.4.2	George Street / Pomeroy Street	93
5.4.3	Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street	95
5.5	Public Transport Initiatives	96
5.6	Active Transport Initiatives	97
5.7	Parking Initiatives	99
5.7.1	Off Street Parking	99







5.7.2	On-Street Parking	100
5.8	Car Share Initiatives	100
5.9	Local Street Considerations	101
6.	HOMEBUSH SOUTH PRECINCT	102
6.1	Uplift Development Summary	102
6.2	Traffic Generation	103
6.3	Integrated Strategic Response	104
6.4	Road Network Upgrades	105
6.4.1	General	105
6.4.2	Parramatta Road / Bridge Road	106
6.4.3	Parramatta Road / Knight Street	107
6.4.4	Parramatta Road / George Street / Nipper Street	109
6.5	Public Transport Initiatives	110
6.6	Active Transport Initiatives	111
6.7	Parking Initiatives	112
6.7.1	Off-Street Parking	112
6.7.2	On-Street Parking	112
6.8	Car Share Initiatives	113
6.9	Local Street Considerations	113
7.	BURWOOD - CONCORD PRECINCT	114
7.1	Uplift Development Summary	114
7.2	Traffic Generation	115
7.3	Integrated Strategic Response	116
7.4	Road Network Upgrades	116
7.4.1	General	116
7.4.2	Burwood Road between Park Avenue and Parramatta Road	116
7.4.3	Burwood Road / Comer Street	118
7.4.4	Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street	119
7.4.5	Burwood Road / Burton Street	121
7.4.6	Parramatta Road / Loftus Street	122
7.4.7	Gipps Street / Broughton Street	123
7.5	Public Transport Initiatives	124
7.6	Active Transport Initiatives	125
7.7	Parking Initiatives	126
7.7.1	Off-Street Development Parking Rates	126
7.7.2	On-Street Parking	126
7.8	Car Share Initiatives	127
7.9	Local Street Network Changes	127
8.	KINGS BAY PRECINCT	128
8.1	Uplift Development Summary	128
8.2	Traffic Generation	129
8.3	Integrated Strategic Response	130
8.4	Road Network Upgrades	130
8.4.1	Harris Road	130







8.4.2	New Pedestrian and Cyclist Mid-block Crossings	132
8.4.3	Great North Road and Surrounds	133
8.5	Public Transport Initiatives	134
8.6	Active Transport Initiatives	135
8.7	Parking Initiatives	136
8.7.1	Off-Street Development Parking	136
8.7.2	On-Street Parking	136
8.8	Car Share Initiatives	137
8.9	Local Street Network Changes	137
9.	STAGING AND IMPLEMENTATION	138
9.1	2026 Modelling and Staging	138
9.1.1	Approach	138
9.1.2	Project Staging Modelling Outcomes	138
9.1.3	Network Statistics Comparison	139
9.2	Road Network Upgrade Staging Summary	140
9.3	Concepts and 'High Level' Cost Estimates	141
10.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	142
10.1	Challenges in Fulfilling the Vision	142
10.2	Forecast Growth in Population, Employment and Traffic	142
10.3	Traffic Modelling Outcomes	143
10.4	Improvement Measures Development	143
10.5	Uplift Area Findings	144
10.6	Action Plan	144

### Tables

Table 2-1: F	Pinch Point	Summarv
--------------	-------------	---------

- Table 2-2: Existing Bus Routes using Parramatta Road
- Table 2-3: Challenges and Opportunities Corridor Wide
- Table 2-4: Challenges and Opportunities Homebush North
- Table 2-5:
   Challenges and Opportunities Homebush North
- Table 2-6: Challenges and Opportunities Burwood-Concord
- Table 2-7: Challenges and Opportunities Kings Bay
- Table 3-1: Mode Share Targets
- Table 4-1: Study Area Population Growth from 2016 by Travel Zone
- Table 4-2: Study Area Employment Growth from 2016 by Travel Zone
- Table 4-3: Study Area Traffic Growth from 2019
- Table 4-4: Study Area Traffic
- Table 4-5: Applied External-to-External Growth Factors
- Table 4-6:Modelling Scenarios
- Table 4-7: Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment Relevant Intersections / Interventions
- Table 4-8:
   Network Performance Indicators
- Table 4-9: Weekday and Saturday 2018 Model Bidirectional Volume Comparisons
- Table 4-10: Traffic Performance Comparison Network Statistics AM Peak Period
- Table 4-11: Traffic Performance Comparison Network Statistics PM Peak Period
- Table 4-12: Parking Rate Comparison for High Density Residential Dwellings
- Table 5-1:
   Homebush North Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019
- Table 6-1: Homebush South Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019



Parramatta Road Corridor (Canada Bay, Burwood, Strathfield) Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan Project: P5769 Version: 005

İХ



- Table 7-1:
   Burwood Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019
- Table 8-1: Kings Bay Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019
- Table 9-1: Proposed Road Network Upgrades Details by Precinct and Stage
- Table 9-2: Indicative Upgrades Cost Estimates
- Table 10.1: Consolidated Actions Table

# Figures

- Figure 1-1: Parramatta Road Corridor
- Figure 1-2: Study Area
- Figure 2-1: Movement and Place Function Framework
- Figure 2-2: Road Classifications and Functions
- Figure 2-3: Concord WestConnex Interchange
- Figure 2-4: Planned Sydney Metro West Route
- Figure 2-5: Planned Burwood North Metro Station Location
- Figure 2-6: AM Peak Pinch Points (2018)
- Figure 2-7: PM Peak Pinch Points (2018)
- Figure 2-8: Parramatta Road Average Daily Traffic Volumes Birnie Avenue
- Figure 2-9: Parramatta Road Average Daily Traffic Volumes Cheltenham Road
- Figure 2-10: Parramatta Bus Routes and Stops
- Figure 2-11: Inner West On-Demand Bus Service Area
- Figure 2-12: Regional Cycling Routes
- Figure 2-13: Cycleways in the Homebush North Precinct
- Figure 2-14: Accessibility to / from Parramatta Road in the Homebush South Precinct
- Figure 2-15: Homebush South Precinct Public Transport
- Figure 2-16: Homebush South Precinct Cycle Routes
- Figure 2-17: Accessibility to / from Parramatta Road in the Burwood Precinct
- Figure 2-18: Burwood-Concord Precinct Public Transport
- Figure 2-19: Burwood-Concord Precinct Cycle Routes
- Figure 2-20: Accessibility to / from Parramatta Road in the Kings Bay Precinct
- Figure 2-21: Kings Bay Precinct Public Transport
- Figure 2-22: Kings Bay Precinct Cycle Routes
- Figure 3-1: Urban Framework Precincts by RobertsDay
- Figure 3-2: Homebush North Precinct Rezoning Areas and Future Links
- Figure 3-3: Homebush South Precinct Rezoning Areas
- Figure 3-4: Homebush South Precinct Future Links
- Figure 3-5: Burwood Precinct Rezoning Area
- Figure 3-6: Burwood Precinct Future Links
- Figure 3-7: Kings Bay Precinct Rezoning Area
- Figure 3-8: Kings Bay Precinct Future Links
- Figure 4-1: STFM Travel Zones in the Study Area (and uplift areas in purple)
- Figure 4-2: Population in 2036 with Uplift
- Figure 4-3: Population Growth between 2016 and 2036 with Uplift
- Figure 4-4: Employment in 2036 with Uplift
- Figure 4-5: Employment Growth between 2016 and 2036 with Uplift
- Figure 4-6: Percentage Growth from Base Year Traffic vs. Population vs. Employment
- Figure 4-7: 2018 to 2019 Traffic Demand Development Process
- Figure 4-8: Future Year Traffic Demands Calculation Process
- Figure 4-9: Constrained Network Entry Points with Reduced Traffic Growth
- Figure 4-10: Traffic Congestion and Pinch Points Map







Figure 4-11: Waiting to Enter Map – Vehicles Outside (unsatisfied demand) at 9:00 AM Figure 4-12: Waiting to Enter Map – Vehicles Outside (unsatisfied demand) at 6:00 PM Figure 4-13: Parramatta Road / Concord Road / Leicester Avenue Pinch Point Figure 4-14: Parramatta Road / George Street Pinch Point Figure 4-15: Parramatta Road / Underwood Road Pinch Point Figure 4-16: Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street Pinch Point Figure 4-17: George Street / Pomeroy Street Pinch Point Figure 4-18: Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street Pinch Point Figure 4-19: Burwood Road / Burton Street Roundabout Pinch Point Figure 4-20: Harris Road / Queens Road Pinch Point Figure 4-21: Parramatta Road / Great North Road Pinch Point Figure 4-22: Do Minimum Changes - Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street Figure 4-23: Proposed Traffic Network Upgrades - Summary Figure 4-24: Proposed Traffic Network Upgrades – Breakdown Figure 4-25: Network Statistics Percentage Difference from Benchmark – AM Peak Figure 4-26: Network Statistics Percentage Difference from Benchmark – PM Peak Figure 4-27: Travel Time Route – Parramatta Road Figure 4-28: Travel Time Comparison – With and Without Upgrades – Eastbound AM Peak Figure 4-29: Travel Time Comparison to Benchmark Scenarios – Eastbound AM Peak Figure 4-30: Travel Time Comparison – With and Without Upgrades – Westbound AM Peak Figure 4-31: Travel Time Comparison to Benchmark Scenarios - Westbound AM Peak Figure 4-32: Travel Time Comparison – With and Without Upgrades – Eastbound PM Peak Figure 4-33: Travel Time Comparison to Benchmark Scenarios - Eastbound PM Peak Figure 4-34: Travel Time Comparison – With and Without Upgrades – Westbound PM Peak Figure 4-35: Travel Time Comparison to Benchmark Scenarios - Westbound PM Peak Figure 4-36: Bus Service Stop Coverage Gaps Figure 4-37: Train / Metro Stations Coverage Gaps within the Study Area Figure 4-38: Bus and Train / Metro Stations Coverage Gaps Figure 4-39: Potential Additional Links to the Footpath Network within Uplift Precincts Figure 4-40: Potential Additional Links for the Cycleways Network within Uplift Precincts Figure 4-41: Proposed Parking Provision Transition Area Figure 4-42: Proposed Peak Hour (Tidal) Parking Restrictions Figure 4-43: GoGet Parking Pods in the Study Area with an 800m Walking Radius Figure 5-1: Homebush North Precinct Proposed Rezoning Areas Figure 5-2: Homebush North Precinct - STFM Zones Figure 5-3: Homebush North Precinct - Integrated Transport Strategic Response Figure 5-4: George Street / Pomeroy Street Current Phase Sequence Figure 5-5: George Street / Pomeroy Street Proposed Intersection Layout Figure 5-6: George Street / Pomeroy Street Proposed Phase Sequence Figure 5-7: Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street - Road Network Changes Figure 5-8: Proposed Public Transport Improvements - Homebush North Figure 5-9: Proposed Active Transport Improvements - Homebush North Figure 5-10: Proposed Development Parking Rates Category - Homebush North Figure 5-11: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage Area South of Homebush North Precinct Figure 6-1 Homebush South Precinct Proposed Rezoning Areas Figure 6-2: Homebush South Precinct - STFM Zones Figure 6-3: Homebush South Precinct – Integrated Transport Strategic Response Figure 6-4: Proposed Changes - Parramatta Road / Bridge Road Figure 6-5: Proposed Road Network Upgrades - Parramatta Road / Knight Street

Figure 6-6: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – Parramatta Road / Knight Street Concept







- Figure 6-7: Proposed Road Network Upgrades Parramatta Road / George Street
- Figure 6-8: Homebush South Precinct, Public Transport Coverage Map
- Figure 6-9: Proposed Active Transport Improvements
- Figure 6-10: Proposed Development Parking Rates Category Homebush South
- Figure 6-11: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage Area West of Homebush South Precinct
- Figure 7-1 Burwood Concord Precinct Proposed Rezoning Areas
- Figure 7-2: Burwood Concord Precinct STFM Zones
- Figure 7-3: Burwood Concord Precinct Integrated Transport Strategic Response
- Figure 7-4: Proposed Upgrades along Burwood Road
- Figure 7-5: Proposed Road Network Upgrades Burwood Road / Comer Street Concept
- Figure 7-6: Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street Current Phase Sequence
- Figure 7-7: Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street Proposed Phase Sequence
- Figure 7-8: Proposed Road Network Upgrades Burwood Road / Burton Street Concept
- Figure 7-9: Gipps Street / Broughton Street Current Phase Sequence
- Figure 7-10: Burwood Precinct Public Transport Coverage
- Figure 7-11: Proposed Active Transport Improvements
- Figure 7-12: Proposed Parking Initiatives
- Figure 7-13: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage within the Burwood North Precinct
- Figure 8-1: Kings Bay Precinct Proposed Rezoning Areas
- Figure 8-2: Kings Bay Precinct Associated STFM Zones
- Figure 8-3: Kings Bay Precinct Integrated Transport Strategic Response
- Figure 8-4: Proposed Road Network Upgrades Harris Road
- Figure 8-5: Proposed Road Network Upgrades Harris Road / Queens Road Concept
- Figure 8-6: Proposed Road Network Upgrades New Signalised Pedestrian Crossings
- Figure 8-7: Proposed Road Network Upgrades Harris Road / Queens Road Concept
- Figure 8-8: Public Transport Coverage
- Figure 8-9: Active Transport Initiatives
- Figure 8-10: Proposed Parking Initiatives
- Figure 8-11: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage Area East of Kings Bay Precinct
- Figure 9-1: Proposed Upgrades and Staging
- Figure 9-2: 2026 vs 2036 Network Statistics Comparison- AM Peak
- Figure 9-3: 2026 vs 2036 Network Statistics Comparison PM Peak

# Appendices

- Appendix A: Concept Design Drawings
- Appendix B: Transit Precinct Development Comparison







Term / Acronym	Description	
AIMSUN	The software used for the traffic simulation modelling	
Benchmark	Growth scenarios under current planning control	
CBD	Central Business District	
DPIE	Department of Planning, Industry and Environment	
TfNSW	Transport for New South Wales (formerly Roads and Maritime Services).	
Future Transport 2056	The NSW Government's vision for provision of transport over the next 40 years.	
PRCUTS	Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy	
PTPM	Public Transport Project Model	
STFM	Strategic Traffic Forecasting Model.	
STM	Strategic Travel Model	
Uplift	Growth scenarios with redevelopment of the four redevelopment precincts	
Vph	Vehicles per hour	

# **Common Terms and Acronyms**







# **1.INTRODUCTION**

# 1.1 Background

In November 2016, Urban Growth NSW issued the final *Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy (PRCUTS)*, together with a package of documents within an Implementation Tool Kit. Since then a number of other relevant reports have also been released including the *Precinct Transport Report* and the *Sydney CBD to Parramatta Strategic Transport Plan*. A Section 9.1 Direction, issued on 19 December 2016, gives the Strategy and the Implementation Tool Kit statutory weight. A supplement to the Implementation Plan 2016-2023 was recently released (the PRCUTS Implementation Update 2021), which augments the original plan with new actions and permissions.

At the time, the completion of WestConnex M4 was forecast to attract longer distance traffic off Parramatta Road and provide an opportunity to reconsider the role of the corridor. Public transport improvements were identified in the PRCUTS as a mechanism to facilitate the intensification of key centres whilst also encouraging more active transport and local accessibility to, from and across Parramatta Road.

In evaluating the impacts and needs of increasing the density of development in the corridor, there is need to assess the cumulative impact of traffic generated by this redevelopment on Parramatta Road and on the surrounding road network and to develop recommendations for infrastructure requirements to address these impacts. The following key action is included in the PRCUTS for the Kings Bay, Burwood–Concord and Homebush precincts:

"Prior to any rezoning commencing, a Precinct-wide traffic study and supporting modelling is required to be completed which considers the recommended land uses and densities, as well as future WestConnex conditions, and identifies the necessary road improvements and upgrades required to be delivered as part of any proposed renewal in the Precinct."

City of Canada Bay in partnership with Burwood Council and Strathfield Council commissioned Bitzios Consulting to undertake the Kings Bay, Burwood-Concord and Homebush Traffic and Transport Strategy (referred to herein as the Parramatta Road Corridor Traffic and Transport Strategy). This study draws from, complements and supplements the urban design and land use planning work completed by RobertsDay for the three Councils.

TfNSW has commenced a study into improving public transport along Parramatta Road. The outcomes of this study were not available at the time of writing this report. With input from stakeholders, it was assumed in this study that no major public transport improvements would be introduced to Parramatta Road that would be sufficient to allow its traffic levels to be reduced to a maximum of four lanes-worth of traffic by 2036. It is also noted that while Sydney Metro West was announced after the release of PRCUTS, the Metro project has informed the analysis and recommendations of the traffic study.

# 1.2 Parramatta Road Corridor


The Parramatta Road Corridor spans 20 kilometres from Granville in the west to Camperdown in the east (see Figure 1-1).

Within the PRCUTS, the corridor is separated into two distinct sections – Corridor West and Corridor East. Corridor East includes the land within the Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay Local Government Areas (LGAs) and is the subject of this study. Four renewal precincts have been identified within these LGAs: Homebush North, Homebush South, Burwood-Concord and Kings Bay.









Source: Parramatta Road Implementation Tool Kit Planning and Design Guidelines

Figure 1-1: Parramatta Road Corridor







# 1.3 Study Area

For the purposes of the traffic and transport assessments, the study area includes Parramatta Road between Homebush Bay Drive in the west and Wattle Street in the east, extending north of Parramatta Road towards North Strathfield, Concord West and Kings Bay, and south towards Homebush, Strathfield and Burwood. The study area is shown in Figure 1-2.



Source: https://maps.six.nsw.gov.au/

Figure 1-2: Study Area







# **1.4 Study Development Process**

The development of this study commenced in 2017 at a similar time to other council-led studies along adjacent sections of Parramatta Road. Through the course of this study, it was revealed that greater consistency of traffic modelling and evaluation processes would be required between the concurrent studies. This study was put 'on hold' and the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) and Transport for NSW (TfNSW) undertook an integrated study to harmonise modelling and evaluation methods. These integrated corridor-wide models have been used to calculate future traffic and transport demands, to, from, within and through the study area for this study.

The process to develop the Parramatta Road Corridor (Strathfield, Burwood, Canada Bay) Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan involved:

- Reviewing the PRCUTS to provide the overall context in terms of key outcomes desired, corridor planning implications, proposed public and active transport infrastructure, and objectives specific to the Homebush, Burwood-Concord and Kings Bay precincts
- Reviewing the proposed on-road and off-road links, and land rezoning areas for each precinct, as detailed in the urban design frameworks prepared by Roberts Day, to determine whether any changes can be recommended to better integrate with overall Corridor planning
- Summarising other relevant transport infrastructure projects and implications for traffic on Parramatta Road and within each precinct, such as the WestConnex M4 East and the proposed Sydney Metro West project
- Identifying key traffic and transport challenges and opportunities for Parramatta Road and each precinct using available data with reference to a traffic model created for this project
- Identifying transport planning principles to guide the future development and assessment actions for the Corridor
- Assessing traffic infrastructure needs in the short and long term with the proposed redevelopment and accessibility changes
- Developing corridor-specific and precinct-specific traffic and transport strategies and a staged implementation plan aligned with the urban design strategy for the Corridor.







# 2.CONTEXT

# 2.1 Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transportation Strategy

PRCUTS was released in 2016 and outlines a 30-year plan for 'growing the Parramatta Road Corridor and revitalising local communities living and working along the Corridor through investing in housing, jobs, transport, open spaces and public amenity. The Strategy will drive and inform land use planning and development decisions, long-term infrastructure delivery programs and provide an understanding of different aspects of the future of the Corridor'.

The PRCUTS's key road, public and active transport outcomes relevant to this study are summarised below, including those specific to the Homebush, Burwood – Concord and Kings Bay precincts.

# Roads and Movement and Place

The PRCUTS applied the Movement and Place planning framework to the Parramatta Road corridor to define the future function of the road network and inform future planning decisions (see Figure 2-1 and Figure 2-2). This approach is based on land use and transport objectives, and desired outcomes for the four precincts. Roads in and around each precinct will provide the following two primary functions:

- Movement: 'links' used by customers to travel between places
- **Place:** 'nodes' which represent the locations of travel origins and destinations that customers move between.

The specific 'movement and place' classification of each road type informs the allocation of the level of access to each road transport mode during different times of the day and week, for example operating bus lanes during weekday peak hours only. This approach recognises that the movement network consists of different road and link types serving different functions within the wider transport network.


Parramatta Road will continue to function as a movement corridor along most of its length. PRCUTS envisaged that it would comprise at least one public transport lane (e.g. bus lane) and two general traffic lanes in each direction of travel along the entire Corridor.

A program of short, medium and long-term road upgrades was proposed to restructure Parramatta Road and the surrounding road network with the intention of supporting existing and emerging employment areas. At the same time, these schemes aimed to protect the amenity of residential areas and respond to urban development and travel demand growth. The introduction of 'Clearways' and 'No Stopping' zones as part of the NSW Government's Sydney Clearways Strategy was also identified as needing consideration. Extended weekday and weekend Clearways currently operate on Parramatta Road between Granville and Ashfield.









Source: Practitioner's Guide to Movement and Place

#### **Movement and Place Function Framework** Figure 2-1:



Source: Adapted from Practitioner's Guide to Movement and Place

#### **Road Classifications and Functions** Figure 2-2:







# Public and Active Transport

The Integrated Land Use and Transport Concept map in PRCUTS proposes:

- A rapid or suburban bus route between Homebush and Parramatta with key stops aligned with nodes of densification along Parramatta Road
- A potential rapid transit route between Burwood and the Sydney CBD with key stops aligned with nodes of densification along Parramatta Road
- A future cycle route between Auburn and Kings Bay along various roads north of the M4.

In addition, the NSW Government has reinforced its position on the importance of Parramatta Road as one of Sydney's key growth corridors to investigate the development of rapid bus or light rail transit. As part of this position, a condition of consent for the WestConnex M4 East project requires 'at least two lanes of Parramatta Road, from Burwood Road to Haberfield, to be solely dedicated for the use of public transport unless an alternative public transport route that provides an improved public transport outcome is approved'.

Since then, Parramatta Road traffic volumes have returned to near pre-WestConnex levels and there is no current government commitment to convert two traffic lanes for the exclusive use by public transport. Furthermore, since PRCUTS was published, Sydney Metro West has been announced by the NSW Government and its alignment and stations are within the study area.

# 2.2 Future Transport 2056

Following the release of the PRCUTS, the NSW Government has also released Future Transport 2056 which provides an update of NSW's Long Term Transport Master Plan and 'sets the 40 year vision, directions and outcomes framework for customer mobility in NSW, which will guide transport investment over the longer term'.

The 40-year vision is based on the following six outcomes:

- Customer Focused
- Successful Places
- A Strong Economy
- Safety and Performance
- Accessible Services
- Sustainability.

The outcomes that are considered to be of particular relevance to the PRCUTS are Successful Places, Safety and Performance (of the network) and Accessible Services. Re-interpreting these outcomes for the Parramatta Road Corridor through Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay means:

- Transport will need to reinforce the creation of successful places through improved accessibility by all modes to and from the corridor
- The **safety and efficiency** of movement through the corridor will be a key goal.

Two major projects within Future Transport 2056 which influence traffic and transport movements in the study area are:

- WestConnex M4 East
- Sydney Metro West.

The NSW Government has recently released an updated 2022 version of Future Transport 2056.







### WestConnex

The WestConnex M4 East extends the M4 Western Motorway at Homebush to a new interchange at Haberfield via new tunnels with three lanes in each direction. A new interchange has been constructed along Concord Road between Parramatta Road and Patterson Street at the western end of this strategy's boundary, as shown in Figure 2-3. It includes:

- On-ramps and off-ramps between Concord Road northbound and southbound and the M4 East tunnel
- An on-ramp from Concord Road southbound to the M4 Western Motorway.



Figure 2-3: Concord WestConnex Interchange

A signalised on-ramp from Parramatta Road to the M4 Western Motorway westbound has also been constructed in Homebush, located east of the Parramatta Road/Station Street intersection.

It is noted that TfNSW is currently undertaking a strategic business case which is exploring options for improvements to public transport along Parramatta Road, which will facilitate the delivery of the WestConnex conditions of consent related to public transport.

# Sydney Metro West

As part of the NSW Government's Future Transport Strategy 2056, a planned underground metro railway line between the Sydney CBD and Westmead has been approved, with a potential extension to the west towards Western Sydney Airport (see Figure 2-4). The metro line is expected to be completed by 2030. A connection with the T9 Northern Line at North Strathfield at the western edge of this strategy's study area is a key consideration for land use and transport. Intermediate stations will also be located at North Strathfield, Burwood North, and Five Dock. Burwood North station will be located within the Burwood-Concord precinct, shown in Figure 2-5.


While effectively doubling the rail capacity of the T1 Western Line, Sydney Metro West will provide faster connections between Sydney and Parramatta, linking key precincts which currently rely heavily on road use and do not have any rail services. When operating together, both lines would encourage more commuters to travel by rail between the two major CBDs and beyond.

Furthermore, the intermediate stations at North Strathfield, Burwood North, and Five Dock will introduce a mass transit option to growing residential and employment areas along the Parramatta Road Corridor, offer direct access to the Sydney and Parramatta CBDs, and provide more alternative transport options through integrating the metro with local bus services. These benefits, along with WestConnex and future rapid transit services, would be expected to deliver relieved capacity on Parramatta Road which could be repositioned to enhance local accessibility by a range of transport modes.









Source: https://www.sydneymetro.info/sites/default/files/document-library/SMW\_Stage\_2\_Scoping\_Report.pdf
Figure 2-4: Planned Sydney Metro West Route



Adapted from Sydney Metro West EIS Chapter 9 **Figure 2-5:** Planned Burwood North Metro Station Location







# 2.3 Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment, Turnbull Engineering

Turnbull Engineering prepared the "Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment – Traffic Intervention Development Report" (Rev 2, 2022) to investigate and validate the traffic interventions identified in the PRCUTS transport report. This work:

- Assessed the feasibility of the identified interventions, including a high-level utilities risk assessment,
- Identified public and private land acquisition requirements
- Prepared strategic cost estimates.

A number of the early intersection upgrade proposals from this study were investigated further as part of the Rapid Intersection Assessment. This work identified site constraints and opportunities for improvement to proposed upgrade schemes. Practical alternative interventions were developed and assessed in greater detail and recommended. A number of the recommendations made were then explored further and integrated as a part of this updated study report.

# 2.4 Sydney CBD to Parramatta Strategic Transport Plan

The Sydney CBD to Parramatta Strategic Transport Plan was developed in 2015 to outline a vision for the Parramatta Road Corridor given the projected population and employment growth in the region. The Plan notes that the Corridor faces a number of challenges including:

- Capacity constraints for both roads and rail services during peak periods, which result in congestion and its associated economic and social impacts
- Impediments to the redevelopment such as fragmented land ownership and poor quality urban form
- Physical constraints due to heritage-listed buildings and geographic features
- Limited and less well connected bus services west of Leichardt compared to near CBD
- Limited north-south connectivity for all transport modes due to limited Parramatta River crossings
- Imbalance between the concentration of jobs in Sydney CBD and concentration of housing in Western Sydney resulting in large tidal peak flows along the corridor
- An increase in freight movements with the growth in Sydney CBD.

A number of objectives and principles were documented including:

- Developing a transport network that provides access to multiple modes of transport and a greater degree of flexibility, and improving multi-modal trip efficiency
- Improving walking and cycling facilities to cater for local trips, bus and light rail services for intermediate trips, and rail services and motorways for regional trips
- Optimising land use around the transport network to reduce travel demand, such as increasing mixed-use development and addressing the job-housing imbalance
- Protecting industrial and freight precincts and intermodal terminals

The Plan identifies an opportunity to shift regional trips to intermediate trips with appropriate land use changes and improved connections between regional centres within and outside the Corridor.







# 2.5 TfNSW Road User Space Allocation Policy

The TfNSW Road User Space Allocation Policy was developed to guide the design of all road environments (except motorways) and to ensure that the following principles are adhered to:

- Realise a balance between place and the movement of people and goods by first establishing a network vision and primary road functions based on strategies and plans
- When allocating road user space based on the network vision and road functions, consider all
  road users in order of walking (including equitable access for people of all abilities), cycling
  (including larger legal micro-mobility devices), public transport, freight and deliveries, and point to
  point transport, ahead of general traffic and on-street parking for private motorised vehicles
- Facilitate the movement of goods and servicing of property in a manner that responds to the local movement and place context
- Aim for the reduction of the mode share of private motor vehicle trips within built up areas
- Where it is not practicable to allocate physical space in line with these principles, dynamically allocate road user space
- Implement measures over time to achieve the strategic intent and outcomes identified as part of strategies or plans
- Track how these road space allocation principles are being implemented against the strategic intent and outcomes identified as part of strategies or plans
- Adhere to these principles ahead of any guidance that seeks to protect or maintain private vehicle level of service.

# 2.6 Challenges and Opportunities: Corridor Wide

# **Geometry Constraints**

The Parramatta Road corridor within the study area typically includes three through traffic lanes in each direction with separate right turn pockets at all intersections where right turns are permitted. The kerbside lanes are either 'No Stopping', indicated by yellow lines adjacent to the gutter, and/or are signposted as 'Clearway' from 6:00am to 7:00pm on weekdays and from 8:00am to 8:00pm on Saturday and Sunday.

The speed limit is mostly 60 km/h with 40 km/h school zones located near Melbourne Street in Burwood and near Harris Road in Croydon.

Lane widths vary but are typically narrow and some less confident drivers are observed as being reluctant to drive parallel to trucks or buses in adjacent lanes, increasing headways and reducing midblock capacity. Also, there are a number of property accesses directly off Parramatta Road and whilst their turning volumes are typically not high (except for service stations), there are multiple points of deceleration, turning and acceleration along the Corridor. The vertical alignment of the road corridor is best described as 'rolling' with grades on approach to Scott Street, on approach to Cheltenham Road and on approach to Grantham Street, having some effect on trucks, particularly in stop-start conditions.

Parramatta Road between Iron Cove Creek and the M4 intersection in Strathfield was heavily congested in 2018 not only during conventional commuter peak periods but also during much of the weekday as well as on weekends.

As shown in Figure 2-6 and Figure 2-7, 2018 intersection volumes were greatest between the M4 and Burwood Road, with a minor reduction further east in both peaks. Given that the Parramatta Road / M4 intersection was a 'T' intersection and that traffic arrivals from the M4 further west are not interrupted by intersections, this intersection was the key capacity constraint in the Corridor.







Prior to the opening of the WestConnex M4 East in 2020, there was a convergence of high volumes of through traffic eastbound from the M4 and from Parramatta Road in the west. Adding to these through movements, local traffic from Strathfield, Burwood and Canada Bay joined Parramatta Road, or crossed at key intersections. Parramatta Road was (and is) the primary east-west route between Parramatta River and the Hume Highway.

The nature of eastbound traffic, particularly in the morning peak, was that the key pinch points vary but inevitably result in almost continual slow moving, congested conditions between the M4 intersection and the Iron Cove Creek crossing. Average morning peak speeds eastbound in 2018 were approximately 12 km/h to 15 km/h.

In the westbound direction similar to eastbound, there were continuous, slow moving, congested conditions from Great North Road all the way through to the M4 intersection. The M4 intersection was the clear source of congestion in the afternoon peak with queues often extending back past Shaftesbury Road. Average travel speeds between Iron Cove Creek in the evening peak and the M4 were often less than 10 km/h over the 4km length of Parramatta Road.



Adapted from Google Traffic Data
Figure 2-6: AM Peak Pinch Points (2018)



Adapted from Google Traffic Data Figure 2-7: PM Peak Pinch Points (2018)







An overview of these pinch points is summarised in Table 2-1.

Location	Description	Challenge
Bridge Road	The intersection of Parramatta Road / Bridge Road is busy, with high traffic volumes both on Parramatta Road and coming out of Bridge Road. Bridge Road serves as one of the main rail crossing locations in the area, funnelling traffic towards Parramatta Road.	<ul> <li>Nearby three-lane to two-lane transition on Parramatta Road eastbound can cause slow-downs in traffic flow.</li> <li>High demand for right turns out of the southern catchment served by Bridge Road</li> </ul>
Concord Road	The intersection of Parramatta Road / Concord Road / Leicester Avenue represents a meeting point of multiple major roads, with Concord Road connecting into Concord and Leicester Road one of the main links to Strathfield town centre.	<ul> <li>Presence of the nearby M4 interchange to the north on Concord Road dictates the traffic flows in the area, and limits road widening opportunities.</li> <li>High volume turning movements at this intersection are constrained by single lane turning bays.</li> </ul>
M4 On/Off Ramp	The intersection of Parramatta Road and the M4 ramps observes a significant increase in traffic due to motorway vehicles entering and exiting the traffic stream on Parramatta Road.	<ul> <li>Dual right turn lanes onto the M4 westbound restricts Parramatta Road t a single lane carriageway.</li> </ul>
Wentworth Road & Broughton Street & Burwood Road	High volumes of peak hour traffic on Parramatta Road east of the M4 ramps through the Burwood area are slow-moving and congested, with queues extending back from the signalised intersections.	<ul> <li>Road already has a three-lane carriageway in each direction; capacity increases via widening are not a viable option.</li> <li>Planned revitalisation of the area including Metro projects are expected t contribute to a further worsening of the traffic environment if no mitigation measures are employed.</li> </ul>
Shaftesbury Road	The intersection of Parramatta Road / Shaftesbury Road is one of the main opportunities for traffic entering and exiting Burwood from Parramatta Road.	<ul> <li>Turning restrictions at Parramatta Road / Burwood Road funnel traffic through the Shaftesbury Road intersection.</li> <li>Single-lane right turn into Shaftesbury Road is insufficient to support the turning demand.</li> </ul>
Harris Road & Croydon Road & Great North Road	High volumes of peak hour traffic on Parramatta Road near the Great North Road are slow-moving and congested, with queues extending back from the signalised intersections.	<ul> <li>Road already has a three-lane carriageway in each direction; capacity increases via widening are not a viable option.</li> <li>School interactions like school zone speed limits and pick-up and drop-off near Rosebank College introduce friction to the peak hour traffic.</li> </ul>

# Table 2-1: Pinch Point Summary







# The Influence of WestConnex

The WestConnex Projects which directly influence traffic volumes on Parramatta Road between the previous M4 intersection and Iron Cove Creek include:

- WestConnex M4 West: the introduction of tolls on the M4 in 2017 increased traffic volumes on Parramatta Road west of Concord Road as a result of route choice decisions
- WestConnex M4 East: which opened in July 2019 allows through traffic (paying the toll) to bypass Parramatta Road through the study section via a tunnel between Concord Road and Ashfield/Haberfield
- M4-M5 Link: proposed to open in 2023, will introduce further travel time savings for through traffic movements with an extension of tunnels to complete the WestConnex underground network and these additional travel time savings may attract additional traffic off Parramatta Road.

The publicly available WestConnex documentation expected that after the opening of WestConnex, traffic volumes on Parramatta Road would be reduced to and maintained at 2012 levels. More detailed forecasts were provided in work completed by SGS Economics for City of Sydney in reviewing the EIS. This modelling, using the Zenith Transport model, identified that for Parramatta Road between Concord Road and Great North Road:

- Daily traffic volumes would reduce from 54,000 vpd (without WestConnex) to 39,000 vpd in 2021 (with WestConnex)
- Daily traffic volumes would be maintained at around 40,000 vpd until 2041.

This forecast reduction in traffic on Parramatta Road was approximately one-third of 2018 volumes and the reduction was expected to be disproportionately attributed to through traffic meaning a larger proportion of the traffic on Parramatta Road would be 'local' traffic movements.

In broad terms, these modelling outputs suggested that to match or even improve current levels of service, Parramatta Road could be limited to two through traffic lanes in each direction mid-block and at intersections but would possibly require additional turn pocket capacity to accommodate increasing local traffic movements. The PRCUTS suggested that WestConnex would 'release' road corridor space that could be used for a combination of alternative purposes, such as:

- Full bus lanes or bus 'jumps' at intersections
- Off-peak parking lanes via Clearways
- A separated cycleway corridor
- Wider footpaths.

SCATS detector count data from the Parramatta Road / Birnie Avenue intersection located approximately 1.8 km west of the study area recorded a rise in traffic from approximately 40,000 vpd to 60,000 vehicles per day on Parramatta Road between 2016 and 2018, coinciding with the reintroduction of tolls on the M4 West in 2017. Daily volumes have remained relatively stable between 2018 and 2021 despite the opening of the M4 East in 2019. Daily bi-directional traffic volumes between 2010 and 2021 are presented in Figure 2-8.

Traffic count data from a permanent counter located on Parramatta Road near Cheltenham Road recorded a fall in daily traffic from 65,000 vpd to 45,000 vpd when the WestConnex M4 East opened in July 2019. Daily volumes have since increased to 55,000 vpd (as at November 2020) and have remained near that level throughout 2021, except for the months where travel restrictions were implemented due to COVID-19. Daily bidirectional traffic volumes between April 2017 and November 2021 are presented in Figure 2-9








The realisation of the vision for the Parramatta Road Corridor is now limited by a relatively smaller reduction in traffic volumes (post-WestConnex) than previously forecast. Parramatta Road still maintains a primary through movement function in the network. This has hampered its ability to provide greater accessibility for local movements and to reappropriate road space to public transport or active transport uses.



Source: Artist's Impression from Urban Growth NSW






Figure 2-8: Parramatta Road Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Birnie Avenue

Figure 2-9: Parramatta Road Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Cheltenham Road







# Parking Facilities and Restrictions

Parking is restricted along Parramatta Road by clearways and 'No Parking' zones, while on-street parking is generally unrestricted in residential areas. Regional centres such as Burwood have time-restrictions for on-street parking.

### Corridor Bus Services, Stops and Bus Priority Infrastructure

There are no bus routes running along the length of the Parramatta Road Corridor between Homebush Bay Drive and Iron Cove Creek. All existing bus routes enter Parramatta Road for short sections to typically move between suburbs north and south of Parramatta Road. The latest changes to the bus network along the Parramatta Road Corridor took effect in October 2020. Table 2-2 summarises the existing bus routes and frequencies using sections of Parramatta Road, with routes shown in Figure 2-10.

As part of the PRCUTS, 'rapid' or 'suburban' bus routes were proposed between Homebush and Parramatta, and between Burwood and the Sydney CBD.

Parramatta Road does not have any bus priority lanes between Homebush Bay Drive and Iron Cove Creek. Long-term public transport improvements are currently subject to a separate study by TfNSW. Currently, buses run with general traffic and typically use the kerbside lane due to the need to stop at kerbside bus stops. Buses stopping within kerbside lanes (as 'in-lane stops') do have the advantage of simply accelerating once they have dropped off/picked up passengers rather than having to merge back into traffic as would be the case if indented bus bays were provided.

Route No.	Destination	Frequency
415	Campsie to Chiswick	15-20 mins (peak periods) 30 mins (off-peak periods and Saturday) 60 mins (Sunday)
461N	Burwood to City Hyde Park	30 mins (late night and early mornings daily)
461X	Burwood to City Domain	10-15 mins (peak periods) 15 mins (off-peak periods and weekends)
490	Drummoyne to Hurstville	30 mins (Monday to Saturday) 60 mins (Sunday)
491	Hurstville to Five Dock	30 mins (Monday to Saturday) 60 mins (Sunday)
492	Drummoyne to Rockdale	30 mins (daily)
525	Parramatta to Burwood via Sydney Olympic Park	20-25 mins (peak periods) 30 mins (off-peak periods)
526	Burwood to Rhodes Shopping Centre	15-25 mins (peak periods) 30 mins (off-peak periods)
530	Burwood to Chatswood	20-25 mins (peak periods) 30 mins (off-peak periods and weekends)
N70	Penrith to City Town Hall	60 mins (nightly)
N71	Richmond to City Town Hall	60 mins (nightly)
N80	Hornsby to City Town Hall via Strathfield	60 mins (nightly)
N81	Parramatta to City Town Hall via Sydney Olympic Park	60 mins (Monday to Saturday nights)

 Table 2-2:
 Existing Bus Routes using Parramatta Road







Adapted from Google Maps

# Figure 2-10: Parramatta Bus Routes and Stops

In addition to public bus services, an Inner West 'on-demand' bus service operates across the study area. The on-demand bus service area is shown in Figure 2-11. The service does not have a fixed route or bus stops and allows buses to pick up and drop off at any safe location within the service area. The service operates every day, with the exception of Five Dock and Sydney Olympic Park which are not serviced on weekends.



Source: https://transportnsw.info/travel-info/ways-to-get-around/on-demand/inner-west

Figure 2-11: Inner West On-Demand Bus Service Area







# Long Distance Cycling Routes

The main cycling related challenge is the lack of continuous and consistent cycle routes through the Parramatta Road Corridor, as shown in Figure 2-12.

The only continuous east-west route within the study area is an on-road mixed traffic route following Queens Road, Gipps Street, and Patterson Street. This route has connections for areas on the northern side of Parramatta Road. Bicycle lanes are provided inconsistently along this route, with sections where the bicycle lane transitions into parking lanes with sub-standard lane widths which force cyclists into the traffic lane. Additionally, the section between Burwood Road and Broughton Street is shared with general traffic which has volumes in excess of 1,700vph and 1,800vph during the AM and PM peaks respectively.

The only continuous north-south cycle route through the study area crosses Parramatta Road at the Bridge Street signals. Routes are also planned which cross Parramatta Road at a ramped overpass bridge at Broughton Street and at the Cheltenham Road / Walker Street signals.

As part of PRCUTS, improved cycling routes are proposed to allow for safer and more efficient connections between residential properties, commuter hubs, Parramatta, Homebush, and the Sydney CBD.

There are opportunities for modal shift from private vehicles to cycling with the provision of more continuous facilities and more off-road routes that link residential areas to major shopping and employment destinations.





Figure 2-12: Regional Cycling Routes









### **Challenges and Opportunities Summary**

Table 2-3 summarises the challenges and opportunities across the corridor.

Table 2-3: Challenges and Opportunities – Corridor Wide

Opportunities	Challenges
<ul> <li>Burwood is currently the main public transport hub for buses travelling through the study area. With the planned Metro stations, there is an opportunity to improve public transport as a system by providing shorter and more reliable bus routes ns between the residential areas and railway stations.</li> <li>Address the limited bus serviceability across the Homebush and North Strathfield areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Geometric constraints along Parramatta Road limits the opportunity to widen the road for increased capacity</li> <li>The implementation of bus lanes along the corridor would significantly reduce the available road capacity to cater for traffic volumes which are increasing following an initial reduction with the opening of WestConnex M4 East tunnel.</li> <li>Certain key intersections like Parramatta Road /</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Cycle routes within the study area are generally isolated, with limited inter-connectivity between the three LGAs. By planning for and providing these missing links, as well as more off-road or separated cycling paths, a greater shift to cycling can be encouraged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Concord Road have a limiting effect on the entire corridor, with consequential congestion affecting long sections of the road during peak periods.</li> <li>The dichotomy between the function of Parramatta Road as a high-volume, high-capacity movement corridor and the strategic vision for localised urban villages and precincts along the corridor presents a challenge in achieving a balance between the study area's Movement and Place characteristics.</li> </ul>

# 2.7 Challenges and Opportunities: Homebush North

# Traffic Accessibility

The Homebush North Precinct primarily connects to Parramatta Road via George Street. The intersection of Parramatta Road / George Street is a signalised four-leg intersection which provides turning facilities in all directions. No restricted movements are present at this location. Direct traffic access routes between the Homebush North Precinct and Parramatta Road are limited due to the adjacent rail corridor and the road network in the area, resulting in an increase of traffic pressure along George Street.

### Congestion

As the Homebush Bay North Precinct is located 1.6km north of Parramatta Road with only local traffic using the roads, the intersections operate under capacity during both the AM and PM peak periods.

# Public Transport

Concord West Train Station is located on the eastern boundary of the precinct, providing train access along the T9 Northern Line. Trains from Concord West Station travel to Hornsby via Eastwood, and Hornsby via Central every 15 minutes in both directions during the AM and PM peaks.

No bus stops are located within the precinct. The closest bus stops, northbound and southbound, are located on Concord Road to the east, which is a 600m walk from the eastern end of Victoria Avenue. These bus stops are serviced by routes 458 Ryde to Burwood and 410 Hurstville to Macquarie Park.

### Walkway and Cycleways


As shown in Figure 2-13 the Homebush North Precinct has good cycling connections west of the railway line, and a planned north-south route along Queens Road.







There are a few cycleway connections into Bicentennial Park to the west, an off-road path from Victoria Avenue travelling south towards Powells Creek and a connection underneath the railway line on the western boundary at Station Avenue. The off-road cycleway along Powells Creek between Pomeroy Street and Bicentennial Park is part of the Bay to Bay Cycle and Walkway.



# Figure 2-13: Cycleways in the Homebush North Precinct

# Challenges and Opportunities Summary


Table 2-4 summarises the challenges and opportunities across the Homebush North precinct.

Table 2-4: Challenges and Opportunities – Homebush North

Opportunities	Challenges	
<ul> <li>There is capacity on George Street available for additional precinct traffic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vehicular access to the Homebush North precinct is constrained by George Street as the primary</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>New developments may be designed with constrained parking allowances to encourage use of the adjacent railway station</li> </ul>	route of travel. However, George Street through the Bakehouse Quarter serves mainly as a civic space, characterised by small roundabouts, HPA traffic speeds, raised pedestrian crossings and a	
<ul> <li>Additional bus services may result in a shift towards public transport for short trips between the precinct and places that are not directly connected by the rail network</li> </ul>	paved road surface. As it is, the precinct does not reflect a road environment suitable for high traffic volumes and bus routes.	
<ul> <li>Recreational cycling and walking facilities in the adjacent Bicentennial Park may encourage residents to reconsider active transport and then use active transport for commuting and shopping.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The precinct is conveniently located next to Concord West Station, so additional public transport may not be effective for increasing public transport mode share. Any measures should supplement the existing services to avoid overlaps and redundancy.</li> </ul>	









# 2.8 Challenges and Opportunities: Homebush South

# Traffic Accessibility

Existing intersections and turning restrictions linking Parramatta Road with northern and southern areas of the Homebush South Precinct are shown in Figure 2-14.



Adapted from Google Traffic Data

# Figure 2-14: Accessibility to / from Parramatta Road in the Homebush South Precinct

As shown in Figure 2-14, there are no 'cross-intersections' in the Homebush South Precinct. Drivers travelling northbound or southbound are required to enter from the local area, use short sections of Parramatta Road before turning again into the opposite local area. With a number of turn restrictions, this consolidates turning movements at a number of key intersections such as Bridge Road, Park Road, Underwood Road and Station Street. The Underwood Road intersection (for access to/from the north) and Bridge Road (for access for access to/from the south) are particularly heavily used as is the section between them along Parramatta Road. These arrangements also place pressure on local access streets such as Loftus Lane to provide a traffic circulation function in order to access intersections that can be used for turning onto Parramatta Road.

Previous planning has identified the potential for realigning the intersection of Bridge Road and Parramatta Road to provide a four-leg intersection with Hillcrest Street, enabling a direct north-south link across Parramatta Road and providing direct access to the west.

# Congestion

In the AM peak, the intersections in the Homebush section of Parramatta Road generally operate under capacity, except in the case where queues from the Parramatta Road / M4 Motorway intersection spill all the way west back to Powell Street.







Similar conditions are also experienced in the PM peak with heavy volumes turning from Parramatta Road into the M4 Motorway demanding significant green signal time at this intersection and limiting the time available for eastbound through traffic. This can be seen in the traffic data in Figure 2-14. The ensuing queue propagates back along Parramatta Road to the west through to the Underwood Road intersection. In the westbound direction, a pinch point is formed at the George Street intersection, although queuing typically does not extend to Queen Street.

# **Public Transport**

The Homebush South Precinct is connected to public transport by Homebush train station and two bus stops on Parramatta Road as seen in Figure 2-15. Homebush Train Station is part of the T2 Inner West & Leppington Line, with trains departing in each direction every 15 minutes during peak periods.

The two bus stops, eastbound and westbound, are serviced by routes 525 and 526. These bus routes travel along Underwood Road and then along Parramatta Road towards Concord Road, operating between Burwood and Parramatta, and Burwood and Rhodes.

With the proposed development in the precinct, there is an opportunity to increase public transport amenities with improved facilities and better connections for commuters.



Adapted from Google Maps

# Figure 2-15: Homebush South Precinct Public Transport







### Walkway and Cycleways

Overall, there is a lack of off-road cycle route through the Homebush South Precinct as shown in Figure 2-16. The section of the Bay to Bay Cycle and Walkway within the precinct runs from The Crescent to Pomeroy Street and is entirely on-road apart from the pedestrian bridge across the M4 Motorway. The route covers sections of road that are low-to moderate difficulty for cyclists and are covered with footpaths. An off-road cycleway running along Powells Creek between Pomeroy Street and Parramatta Road was opened after the completion of WestConnex M4 East in 2019.

The proposed B4 Mixed Use zoning in the precinct promotes an opportunity to provide shared path connections around Parramatta Road and Homebush Train Station, and for cycleways beyond the M4 Motorway and railway line connecting the north and south.




Figure 2-16: Homebush South Precinct Cycle Routes

### **Challenges and Opportunities Summary**

Table 2-5 summarises the challenges and opportunities across the Homebush South precinct.

#### Table 2-5: Challenges and Opportunities – Homebush North

Opportunities	Challenges	
<ul> <li>Additional bus routes may provide more direct north-south public transport connections and may encourage a mode shift for trips between the precinct and regional centres to the north and south</li> <li>Additional cycling routes connecting existing routes and Homebush Station and bicycle parking may reduce traffic and parking demand for station commuters.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Traffic performance on Parramatta Road will be dependent on downstream conditions due to proximity to key intersections in the road network like Concord Road.</li> <li>Additional turning opportunities on Parramatta Road to improve local access opportunities may also attract through traffic which will worsen congestion.</li> </ul>	







# 2.9 Challenges and Opportunities: Burwood-Concord

#### Traffic Accessibility

Existing intersections and turning restrictions linking Parramatta Road with northern and southern areas of the Burwood Precinct are shown below in Figure 2-17. As illustrated in the figure, the busy Parramatta Road / Burwood Road signalised intersection provides the only direct north-south movements between the Burwood Town Centre and suburbs in the north. The intersection attracts over 5,000 vehicles during peak hours despite the right turn restrictions, acting as the main thoroughfare for the Burwood Town Centre.

The only opportunities for drivers travelling eastbound on Parramatta Road to turn right towards the Burwood Town Centre are the Wentworth Road or Shaftesbury Road intersections. The latter intersection was recently upgraded as a part of intersection works undertaken by TfNSW.



Adapted from Google Traffic Data

#### Figure 2-17: Accessibility to / from Parramatta Road in the Burwood Precinct

#### Congestion

Heavy congestion has been observed both eastbound and westbound on Parramatta Road in this section during both the AM and PM peak periods.

Queues in the eastbound direction were caused by through traffic turning right into Shaftesbury Road, with queues regularly spilling out of the turn pocket and into through traffic lanes. This occurred in both peak periods as it is one of the few right turn locations along Parramatta Road. This right turn have been recently had a second lane added as a part of the Shaftesbury Road intersection works.








#### **Public Transport**

There are two bus stops on Parramatta Road within this precinct's boundaries. These two stops service the following routes, as shown in Figure 2-18:

- 415 Campsie to Chiswick
- 461N Burwood to City Hyde Park (late nights and early mornings only)
- 461X Burwood to City Domain
- 530 Burwood to Chatswood
- N70 Penrith to City Town Hall (nightly only)
- N71 Richmond to City Town Hall (nightly only)
- N80 Hornsby to City Town Hall (nightly only)
- N81 Parramatta to City Town Hall (Monday to Saturday nights only).

Excluding the NightRide bus services (N70, N71, N80 and N81), these services only travel along Parramatta Road for a brief period before continuing to other areas of the network away from the Parramatta Road Corridor.

Burwood Train station is located 300m south of the southern edge of the precinct.



Adapted from Google Maps

## Figure 2-18: Burwood-Concord Precinct Public Transport







#### Walkway and Cycleways

As shown in Figure 2-19, the Burwood-Concord Precinct has a cycleway that serves as an east-west link, connecting from Strathfield up to the Cheltenham Road / Parramatta Road intersection. The cycleway also extends from Burwood Park in the south to Broughton Street to the north. The majority of this cycle route is located on local streets with mixed traffic conditions. Shared paths are provided where the route runs along busy Parramatta Road and Shaftesbury Road, and there is a ramped pedestrian bridge over Parramatta Road at Broughton Street.

A shared path on Broughton Street, between Parramatta Road and Burton Street, was opened in 2021. This route continues north as a separated cycleway.


The existing network of narrow streets and footpaths throughout the Burwood Precinct presents a key challenge in retro fitting additional cycleways, particularly along Burwood Road which is a 40km/h high pedestrian activity area south of Milton Street. The 'People Street' and walking/through links along Burwood Road and surrounds proposed by RobertsDay identifies an opportunity to intensify and prioritise pedestrian use and amenity along this major thoroughfare to key attractors such as Westfield Burwood Shopping Centre and Burwood Train Station.



Figure 2-19: Burwood-Concord Precinct Cycle Routes









#### **Challenges and Opportunities Summary**

Table 2-6 summarises the challenges and opportunities across the Burwood-Concord precinct.

Table 2-6: Challenges and Opportunities – Burwood-Concord

Opportunities	Challenges
<ul> <li>New developments may be designed with constrained parking allowances to encourage use of nearby transport facilities such as Burwood Station, the future metro station and the bus routes running through the precinct</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Geometric constraints at the intersections along Parramatta Road limiting possibilities for increasing capacity</li> <li>The Place function of Burwood Road not being compromised to ensure that it remains an</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Attractiveness of cycling around the precinct may be improved by more direct cycle routes and separation from general traffic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>attractive, high-amenity place for people.</li> <li>Cycling connections, particularly for inter-LGA routes, and suitable crossing locations across the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The new Burwood North Metro station is located at the heart of the Burwood-Concord precinct and provides an opportunity to revitalise the area around the transport core.</li> </ul>	busy Parramatta Road.







# 2.10 Challenges and Opportunities: Kings Bay

### Traffic Accessibility

Existing intersections and turning restrictions linking Parramatta Road with northern and southern areas of the Kings Bay Precinct are shown in Figure 2-20.



Adapted from Google Traffic Data Maps

#### Figure 2-20: Accessibility to / from Parramatta Road in the Kings Bay Precinct

As shown above, there is a four-leg signalised intersection at Parramatta Road / Walker Street / Cheltenham Road; however, Walker Street is a 'No Through Road'. Walker Street is only wide enough for one travel lane in each direction. There is an opportunity to widen Walker Street, as well as upgrade its intersections at Parramatta Road and Queens Road. However, this would increase the traffic pressures on Walker Street, which has a more local road environment bordered by residential dwellings and a school. An alternative option would be to upgrade the Regatta Road intersections at Parramatta Road, given Regatta Road is already a wide road and borders the proposed (B4) Mixed Use zone.

#### Congestion

During the AM peak period, heavy congestion is observed on the eastbound approach to Harris Road. This is due to slow turning traffic into Harris Road restricting capacity on Parramatta Road eastbound, exacerbated by morning school pick-up and drop-off operations on Harris Road.

During both peak periods, westbound traffic queues downstream from the intersection of Parramatta Road / Burwood Road into the Kings Bay Precinct. There is slow moving traffic in both directions.

Queens Road serves as the parallel 'backbone' to the Kings Bay Precinct and operates at or near capacity with heavy traffic present in both the eastbound and westbound directions during both AM and PM peak periods. Queens Road has a narrow road reserve, with narrow traffic lanes and single-lane approaches to signals.







#### **Public Transport**


The Kings Bay Precinct does not currently have a train station and bus is the primary mode of public transport to the area. People travelling to Kings Bay have the following bus routes available, as shown in Figure 2-21.

- Route 415 Chiswick to Campsie
- Route 461N Burwood to City Hyde Park (late nights and early mornings only)
- Route 461X Burwood to City Domain
- Route 530 Burwood to Chatswood.

These services originate from Campsie, Chatswood, Burwood, the Sydney CBD, Mortlake and Five Dock. The spread of route origins means that most parts of the study area have convenient bus access to the Kings Bay Precinct.

Buses travelling to Kings Bay from southern and western areas will either use Parramatta Road or Burwood Road and these trips experience delays due to traffic congestion.

There is an opportunity to add new bus routes and/or update existing routes as the Kings Bay Precinct redevelops.



Adapted from Google Maps

Figure 2-21: Kings Bay Precinct Public Transport







#### Walkways and Cycleways

While footpaths are provided on both sides of Parramatta Road in the Kings Bay Precinct, there are no pedestrian crossings for almost 800 metres between the Walker Street and Harris Road intersections. The walking link on William Street proposed under the RobertsDay scheme could allow an opportunity for a signalised pedestrian crossing near the future rapid transit stop. Furthermore, the proposed upgraded intersections and walking/through links will improve accessibility between Kings Bay and Parramatta Road.

As shown in Figure 2-22, the cycleways around the Kings Bay Precinct are poorly connected for northsouth journeys. The major east-west cycle route along Queens Road is currently unsuitable for inexperienced riders, as the bicycle lanes are inconsistent and traffic volumes are high. Burwood Council has constructed shared paths on a few sections of footpath on the southern side Parramatta Road, but there are no crossings designed for bicycles across the road.

A bicycle route is planned for Walker Street between the existing shared path on Parramatta Road and Renown Street. Walker Street is currently a low-speed environment for bicycles as it is no-through for vehicles between Parramatta Road and Queens Road but has not been formalised as a cycle route with signage or linemarking.




Figure 2-22: Kings Bay Precinct Cycle Routes







#### **Challenges and Opportunities Summary**

Table 2-7 summarises the challenges and opportunities across the Kings Bay precinct.

Table 2-7: Challenges and Opportunities – Kings Bay

Opportunities	Challenges	
<ul> <li>Attractiveness of cycling around the precinct</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Geometric constraints at the intersections along</li></ul>	
may be improved by more direct cycle routes	Parramatta Road will limit possibilities for	
and separation from general traffic.	increasing road capacity	
<ul> <li>Increase turning opportunities on Parramatta</li></ul>	<ul> <li>The precinct is not located near any railway</li></ul>	
Road into and out of the precinct to alleviate	station or future metro station, so walking time and	
pressure on Harris Road	connecting bus services may limit the	
<ul> <li>Potential to augment or enhance Queens Road as a strong secondary route of travel.</li> </ul>	attractiveness of public transport mode share.	







# **3. VISION AND PLANNING PRINCIPLES**

# 3.1 Integrated Vision

The PRCUTS set the vision for what the Parramatta Road Corridor is expected to look like and how it would function post-WestConnex. With two lanes' worth of through traffic relocating to the M4 East tunnel, an opportunity was identified to reclaim this road space for a rapid bus corridor, essentially using dedicated bus lanes for the entire length of Parramatta Road through the study area. Post-WestConnex traffic growth will present a challenge to introducing bus lanes along Parramatta Road, which would significantly reduce the capacity of the road for general traffic.

With redevelopment of local precincts adjacent to Parramatta Road, there will be more local movements by traffic, service vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists and placing a much greater importance on accessibility to, from and across Parramatta Road than is currently needed. There will essentially be a progressive shift in the function of Parramatta Road from a main road with a high traffic movement function to areas of main streets with a more balanced role catering for turning movements, cross-movements and through movements as well for public transport, walking and cycling.

If the PRCUTS vision were able to be realised, bus lanes, more intersections, more crossing points and a reduced focus on through traffic efficiency would influence how Parramatta Road would look, feel and function. The key challenge however is that traffic modelling suggests that background traffic growth plus local development uplift will increase traffic congestion in 2036 beyond the conditions in 2019. A 'vision and validate' approach will rely on increased investment in active and public transport to support the required mode shift necessary to deliver the PRCUTS vision.

The draft future transport links and land rezoning areas for each precinct are illustrated from Figure 3-2 to Figure 3-8. These draft links have been investigated as part of this report.

The following sections describe the key attributes of these draft precinct plans relevant to traffic and transport.

# 3.2 Urban Frameworks by Precinct (RobertsDay)


## 3.2.1 Overview

RobertsDay was commissioned in parallel with this Traffic and Transport Study to undertake built form testing for each of the precincts in the study area. This analysis was used to determine the anticipated yield and distribution of dwellings based on the floor space ratio and building heights contemplated by the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Design Guideline.

This work was completed in 2019. Urban design frameworks for the Homebush North and Homebush South, Burwood and Kings Bay precincts were prepared. The precinct areas are shown in Figure 3-1. The work also included the creation of maps showing locations of existing land ownership and approvals, recommended on-road and off-road links, rezoning areas, building heights, floor space ratios and proposed character areas.


















## 3.2.2 Homebush North Precinct

The Homebush North Precinct will remain predominately a residential area with a (B7) Business Park zone. New/additional through road links were proposed in proximity to Concord West Train Station to provide greater permeability for walking and cycling movements near the station whilst also improving traffic accessibility and circulation in the area.

Additional linkages were shown to also improve accessibility to Mason Park and the regional cycle path through it.



Source: Parramatta Road Precincts – A Transformation

# **Figure 3-2:Homebush North Precinct Rezoning Areas and Future Links** The additional through links proposed by RobertsDay are supported.








### 3.2.3 Homebush South Precinct


The proposed (B4) Mixed Use zoning within the Homebush South Precinct focuses strategically on the area around Parramatta Road and Homebush Train Station.

Loftus Lane is proposed to be extended to fulfil a 'rear access' function and provide a continuous walking and cycling route. A new four-leg intersection at Underwood Road and Parramatta Road could provide a new local north-south link to connect to Loftus Crescent and break up quite a large 'grid'.

RobertsDay identified there are a number of opportunities available to upgrade / realign intersections along Parramatta Road. The Smallwood Avenue and Derowie Avenue intersections with Parramatta Road were earmarked for this purpose as indicated in Figure 3-4. Furthermore, realigning the existing signalised T-intersection of Bridge Road and Parramatta Road to provide a four-leg intersection with Hillcrest Street was stated to improve connectivity across Parramatta Road for all transport modes. Whilst this may be the case, the 4-leg intersection would need to be large to cater for the turning movements at it, requiring a significant footprint.



Source: Parramatta Road Precincts – A Transformation Figure 3-3:Homebush South Precinct Rezoning Areas



Source: Parramatta Road Precincts – A Transformation Figure 3-4:Homebush South Precinct Future Links








#### 3.2.4 Burwood Precinct

The proposed (B4) Mixed Use zoning within the Burwood Precinct focuses on the key Parramatta Road / Burwood Road intersection and along Burwood Road to the south. This is highlighted by the proximity to Burwood Town Centre, Burwood Train Station, and the potential rapid transit service along Parramatta Road.

A cycle path was proposed by RobertsDay along Broughton Street, Neich Parade and the southern boundary of the Burwood Precinct, as well as through links providing greater permeability between existing roads. As of December 2021, these cycle paths have been built and are operational, with wide cycle lanes along Neich Parade and a shared path along Broughton Street to the north of Parramatta Road. They identified a number of opportunities available to provide additional links, particularly west of Burwood Road, to improve connections to the future 'People' Street which aims to intensify and prioritise pedestrian use and amenity along Burwood Road. The local links proposed are supported.



Source: Parramatta Road Precincts – A Transformation Figure 3-5:Burwood Precinct Rezoning Area



Source: Parramatta Road Precincts – A Transformation Figure 3-6:Burwood Precinct Future Links







### 3.2.5 Kings Bay Precinct

The proposed B4 Mixed Use zoning around within the Kings Bay precinct focuses on William Street and Spencer Street. Spencer Street was proposed by RobertsDay to be a 'People's Street' with emphasis on active transport. The area is in proximity to the proposed location of the new (proposed) rapid transit service along Parramatta Road. This promotes the most intense travel activity in areas with the greatest transport accessibility to Parramatta Road and surrounding roads, and to amenities within the precinct.

The proposed walking and through links and the intersections earmarked for upgrades were claimed to provide additional and improved north-south and east-west connections in the Kings Bay Precinct and to Parramatta Road. The proposed north-south cycle path along William Street was stated to promote an opportunity for a signalised pedestrian crossing at Parramatta Road, which will also provide an additional crossing point and reduce the current 800-metre crossing gap between the Walker Street and Harris Road intersections. The permeability of the network as proposed by RobertsDay is supported.



Source: Parramatta Road Precincts – A Transformation





Source: Parramatta Road Precincts – A Transformation

Figure 3-8: Kings Bay Precinct Future Links







# 3.3 Key Transport Planning Principles

### 3.3.1 Overview

A review of the PRCUTS documentation and stakeholder input resulted in the development of five (5) principles relevant to the precinct transport strategy, as detailed in the following sections. They key principles were agreed on workshops with the study stakeholders

### 3.3.2 Principle 1 – Make the Most of WestConnex

WestConnex provides an alternative route for long-distance east-west traffic and is intended to take a reasonable proportion of these vehicles off Parramatta Road. Reducing through traffic and improving local accessibility are key facilitators of redevelopment in the precincts along Parramatta Road. Timely action is required to maximise the opportunities provided by WestConnex which include shifting the function of Parramatta Road towards local trips, upgrading intersections to improve local access and north-south access, providing bus lanes and improving active transport links to and from the Corridor. Bus lanes should be implemented as soon as possible to avoid the otherwise inevitable take-up of this relieved capacity by traffic from other origin-destination markets. Traffic congestion is rapidly returning to Parramatta Road and the practicality of this principle is diminishing.

**Key outcome:** Capitalise on the opening of WestConnex by reorienting the function of Parramatta Road to localised trips and away from through-trips. (This key outcome is unlikely to be realised unless two lanes of through traffic on Parramatta Road are removed and re-purposed for public transport or local traffic accessibility improvements).

## 3.3.3 Principle 2 – Localise Parramatta Road

The transfer of long-distance traffic from Parramatta Road onto WestConnex provides the opportunity to reconsider the role of the Corridor. While remaining a primary movement function between centres, it is highly unlikely that Parramatta Road will 'de-congest', particularly if two of its lanes are dedicated to buses. Local traffic movements should be promoted and long distance through traffic usage should be discouraged to reinforce its local accessibility function. Methods of localising the role of Parramatta Road include upgrading existing intersections to cater for new development, providing more/new intersections to reduce traffic circulation distances, and improving and increasing north-south links across Parramatta Road for all modes.

**Key outcome:** More signalised intersections, more side streets and more turning opportunities at intersections. (The potential to achieve this now is diminished and will diminish further over time as traffic continues to rapidly grow in the corridor).

## 3.3.4 Principle 3 – Maximise Public Transport Efficiency

By removing over 20,000 vehicles per day from Parramatta Road, WestConnex will allow the introduction of dedicated (full-time) bus lanes on Parramatta Road. Dedicated bus lanes will allow rapid bus services to be introduced along with the potential for bus priority measures at intersections. Dedicated lanes will significantly improve bus travel times and reliability elevating the opportunity for buses to capture a larger proportion of more travel markets. Bus lanes on Parramatta Road will not only cater for new Rapid Bus Routes but will also incrementally reduce travel times and improve reliability for the myriad of services which weave their way from north-to-south (and vice versa) using sections of Parramatta Road. Even with Sydney Metro West, there would be a significant role for rapid buses on dedicated bus lanes to feed passengers from the Parramatta Road precincts to adjacent precincts, to Parramatta and to the Sydney CBD.

#### Key outcome: Introduce kerbside bus lanes.







## 3.3.5 Principle 4 – Improve Walking and Cycling Connectivity

With long blocks fronting Parramatta Road, north-south permeability is currently restricted and there are long distances to walk between parking areas and local businesses in some places. Greater permeability through redevelopment, coupled with wider footpaths and more cross-Parramatta Road linkages will significantly improve the attractiveness of walking to/from precincts.

Cycling routes are disjointed and whilst long-distance cycling conditions along Parramatta Road may not be favourable, alternative parallel routes for casual/recreational cycling will be very important as will north-south linkages to train stations and bus stops.

**Key outcome:** More signalised pedestrian crossing points at the additional signalised intersections and wider north-south paths along more street approaches to Paramatta Road. (Could be achieved to some extent with redevelopment).

#### 3.3.6 Principle 5 – Manage Long-stay Parking

Bus lanes will remove the possibility of reinstating on-street parking in Parramatta Road; however, more closely spaced side streets allow for access to more on-street parking space as well as better access to off-street parking areas. With short stay parking relocating to side streets, timing restrictions may be needed to ensure long-stay parking is discouraged in these areas to allow the short-stay parking to occur. With the rezoning of the four precincts and their proximity to either major public transport routes or major train stations, increasing modal shift away from cars will reduce the need for long-stay parking anyway. Societal trends in reduced car ownership along with increasing usage of rideshare and car-share means less long stay parking as well and this should be encouraged through policies to wind back the amount of all day parking required to be provided for residents in these highly accessible precincts.

Key outcome: Lower multi-unit residential development parking rates in defined accessible precincts.





# 3.4 Future Modal Share Targets

Table 3-1 shows the aspirational modal share targets for each precinct for the year 2023, which were accepted by the Project Working Group in 2018.

Precinct	Walk	Bicycle	Bus	Train	Car as Driver	Car as Passenger
Homebush	4%	2%	3%	40%	46%	5%
Canada Bay	4%	2%	20%	15%	54%	5%
Strathfield/Burwood	6%	2%	15%	45%	28%	4%

### Table 3-1: Mode Share Targets

The reason Homebush bus shares are lower than the other precincts reflects current data which shows that most public transport trips to/from this precinct are by heavy rail. This is expected to continue to be the case as heavy rail would be far more competitive than bus even with bus lanes on Parramatta Road.

The summary of the development of these mode share targets is included in Appendix B.

It is important to highlight that these targets were established in 2018 prior to confirmation of Sydney Metro West as an approved project and were not used further in the study.

# 3.5 Tempering the Outcomes

The vision and key principles were established in mid-2018 before WestConnex M4 was open in the study area and the PRCUTS vision was of one lane each way on Parramatta Road being converted into exclusive use for public transport. Since then, the M4 has opened and traffic volumes on Parramatta Road are rising back towards pre-2018 levels with its six-lane configuration.

There is a resulting conflict between Movement and Place functions along the Parramatta Road corridor and surrounding major roads. The vision for new precincts like Homebush South and Kings Bay is to create a number of high-amenity 'urban villages' and to encourage the Place-based localisation of sections of the corridor. However, the six (traffic) lane configuration of the road corridor reinforces its fundamental Movement function.

This dichotomy in the role of the corridor should be resolved to guide strategic decision making. The balance between Movement and Place by location and by time of day needs to be established once there is an understanding of what 'whole of corridor' initiatives are to be introduced. To this end, TfNSW is currently undertaking a strategic business case which considers long-term public transport options along the Parramatta Road corridor

While this would encourage a more localised Parramatta Road with less emphasis on facilitating high volumes of through traffic, the implementation specifics, including timing of these measures is at yet unknown. For the purposes of this study, and with early guidance of key stakeholders, it has been assumed that the Movement role will remain the dominant role of the corridor, with a priority of maintaining a reasonable level of operational traffic performance along the corridor and in the local networks either side of it.







Consequentially, some of the key outcomes agreed in mid-2018 have needed to be tempered in late-2021 and until such time that an alternative balance of Movement and Place for Parramatta is confirmed through specific actions. The revised key outcomes are:

Principle 1: Make the Most of WestConnex.

**Original Key outcome:** Don't let relieved capacity created by WestConnex be filled up with other through traffic trips

**Tempered outcome:** Modelling provided by TfNSW shows Parramatta Road carrying even higher traffic demands in 2036 than it did in 2018 (pre M4 East). The scope for changes along Parramatta Road is limited to some potential increases in side street accessibility and turn restrictions to better manage localised congestion as side street demand increases.

• Principle 2: Localise Parramatta Road.

**Key outcome:** More signalised intersections, more side streets and more turning opportunities at intersections

**Change:** Since the traffic reduction anticipated by the opening of the M4 WestConnex has been lower than predicted, the scope for changes along Parramatta Road is limited to some potential increases in side street accessibility at existing intersections, and turn restrictions to better manage localised congestion as side street demand increases

• Principle 3: Maximise Public Transport Efficiency.

Key outcome: Introduce kerbside bus lanes

**Change:** TfNSW had originally advised Council to not pursue any scenarios where the alignment or lane allocation of Parramatta Road that differs from the existing conditions. It is understood that TfNSW is currently investigating transport options for the Parramatta Road corridor. Also, since mid-2018, MetroWest has been confirmed with stations at Burwood North and Strathfield North. Bus service planning is likely to be modified significantly to anchor to with these new stations whilst also servicing the existing train stations and residential areas in the study area.

• **Principle 4:** Improve Walking and Cycling Connectivity.

**Key outcome**: More signalised pedestrian crossing points at the additional signalised intersections and wider north-south paths along more street approaches to Paramatta Road.

**Change:** The role of Parramatta Road seems to have defaulted back from the PRCUTS vision to its existing role and any additional intersections are unlikely to be supported without a shift in role for Parramatta Road. Nevertheless, opportunities to increase the number of pedestrian crossing opportunities, particularly near uplift areas, have been pursued.


Principle 5: Manage Long-stay Parking

Key outcome: Lower multi-unit residential development parking rates in defined accessible precincts.

Change: No change.







# 4. STUDY AREA-WIDE STRATEGIES

# 4.1 Forecast Corridor Growth

# 4.1.1 STFM Travel Zones

The Strategic Traffic Forecasting Model (STFM) is a strategic transport model which covers metropolitan Sydney. TfNSW has updated this model and provided outputs from it to ensure consistency of growth assumptions for the length of PRCUTS being investigated. There are 26 STFM travel zones within the study area as shown in Figure 4-1. The zones, and part zones, which contain the redevelopment precincts (or 'uplift areas') are shown in a darker colour.




Figure 4-1: STFM Travel Zones in the Study Area (and uplift areas in purple)

# 4.1.2 Population Growth

For the study area zones, the background population growth and the additional population growth in the proposed uplift areas are shown in Table 4-1.







STFM Zone	2016	2016 - 2026 Growth	2016 - 2036 Growth
665	1473	+821	+3263
666	1443	+3468	+3708
706	1380	+770	+3058
707	2056	0	+1697
717	1543	+979	+2677
719	590	0	+1280
720	583	0	+241
735	2690	+6122	+6531
738	1618	+415	+665
739	1355	0	+2935
740	0	0	0
741	538	0	+222
742	666	0	+276
744	2359	+606	+970
910	1343	+3229	+3453
911	1978	0	+1841
912	1531	0	+2205
958	1233	0	+7901
959	2245	0	+283
960	2086	0	+382
961	364	+2244	+4460
963	832	+2031	+2217
967	1167	+2847	+3108
968	895	+2186	+2386
969	2776	0	+1920
970	1626	0	+201
Total	36369	+25,719	+57,881

### Table 4-1: Study Area Population Growth from 2016 by Travel Zone

Accounting for development in the uplift areas, the study area's population will increase from 36,000 in 2016 to 94,000 in 2036. The distribution of this population is shown in Figure 4-2.

The highest population numbers in 2036 are located in the Homebush South (zone 958) and Kings Bay (zone 735) precincts. These areas are also the ones with the greatest population growth between 2016 and 2036 as shown in Figure 4-3.








Figure 4-2: Population in 2036 with Uplift




Figure 4-3: Population Growth between 2016 and 2036 with Uplift







## 4.1.3 Employment Growth

Local employment in the study area generates more incoming traffic in the AM peak and more outgoing traffic in the PM peak, to and from other parts of Sydney. The employment growth to 2036 is shown in Table 4-2.

STFM Zone	2016	2016 - 2026 Growth	2016 - 2036 Growth
665	7	+6	+18
666	645	+19	+41
706	800	+668	+1910
707	516	+0	+305
717	1787	+181	+641
719	708	+0	+138
720	195	+0	+59
735	1644	+152	+336
738	798	+3	+71
739	14	+0	+3
740	1302	+0	+252
741	308	+0	+93
742	139	+0	+42
744	1299	+4	+115
910	1604	+47	+101
911	873	+0	+514
912	379	+0	+773
958	2991	+0	+1386
959	1334	+0	-164
960	98	+0	+100
961	3535	+399	+741
963	602	+1012	+1091
967	372	+625	+674
968	340	+571	+615
969	178	+0	+559
970	1120	+0	+30
Total	23588	+3686	+10444

 Table 4-2:
 Study Area Employment Growth from 2016 by Travel Zone

The number of jobs in the study area will increase from 23,588 in 2016 to 34,032 in 2036.

Figure 4-4 shows the distribution of jobs in 2036. The area with the most jobs are in the Homebush South precinct and surrounds (zones 958 and 961), bounded by Homebush Bay Drive, Powells Creek and the Main Suburban railway line. The greatest employment growth is in the Kings Bay precinct (zone 706), followed by the Homebush South precinct (zone 958), as shown in Figure 4-5.










Figure 4-5: Employment Growth between 2016 and 2036 with Uplift





# 4.1.4 Traffic Growth

Table 4-3 shows the traffic growth from 2019 projected from the STFM for trips to, from, within and through the study area. The majority of the traffic growth in these scenarios is 'external to external'; that is traffic passing through the study area.

Scenario	Ext to Ext	Int to Ext	Ext to Int	Int to Int	Total
AM Peak - 2 Hours					
2026 AM Benchmark	+3,826	-474	-719	-70	+2,563
2026 AM with Uplift	+5,485	+1,141	+118	+48	+6,793
2036 AM Benchmark	+7,223	-311	+167	+132	+7,210
2036 AM with Uplift	+10,979	+2,424	+2,150	+552	+16,106
PM Peak - 2 Hours					
2026 PM Benchmark	+4,613	-904	-567	-91	+3,051
2026 PM with Uplift	+6,707	+168	+1,400	+75	+8,350
2036 PM Benchmark	+8,008	+32	-358	+131	+7,814
2036 PM with Uplift	+12,267	+2,480	+2,914	+694	+18,356

Table 4-3: Study Area Traffic Growth from 2019

Ext: Means external to the study area. Int: Means internal to the study area.

The ultimate scenario (2036 with Uplift) shows a total growth in traffic of around 16,000 to 18,000 trips across the two hour peaks. It is important to note that the 'Ext to Ext' traffic includes WestConnex traffic passing through the study area and not using Parramatta Road.

## 4.1.5 Future Year Traffic Demands

Table 4-4 shows the total traffic demands from STFM within the study area.

#### Table 4-4: Study Area Traffic

Scenario	Ext to Ext	Int to Ext	Ext to Int	Int to Int	Total
AM Peak 2 Hours		·			
2019 AM	36,045	4342	5043	270	45,701
2026 AM Benchmark	39,871	3868	4324	200	48,263
2026 AM with Uplift	41,530	5484	5161	318	52,493
2036 AM Benchmark	43,606	4031	5192	402	53,231
2036 AM with Uplift	47,024	6767	7193	823	61,806
PM Peak 2 Hours					
2019 PM	37,218	6163	4554	339	48,274
2026 PM Benchmark	41,831	5258	3987	249	51,325
2026 PM with Uplift	43,925	6330	5954	414	56,624
2036 PM Benchmark	45,698	6195	4196	471	56,560
2036 PM with Uplift	49,832	8643	7469	1033	66,977


Ext: Means external to the study area. Int: Means internal to the study area.







The traffic growth projections for the study area are plotted alongside the population and employment inputs into the strategic modelling in Figure 4-6. The demographic growth is based on 2016 data instead and the traffic growth rates are based on 2019 model outputs. The comparison shows that trip (traffic) growth is well below population in the study area, highlighting an increasing shift to active transport and public transport modal share in the future.





# 4.2 Methodologies

## 4.2.1 Traffic Models Development and Approval Process

#### Base Model - 2018

A base year AIMSUN microsimulation traffic model was prepared in 2018 for the study area. The model used starting traffic demands from a 'cordon' of the STFM and then calibrated and validated to traffic data. The 2018 base model was deemed fit-for-purpose and approved for use by TfNSW (then Roads and Maritime Services) in late 2018.

#### Updated Base Model – 2019


The WestConnex M4 tunnel was opened in July 2019 and the 2018 model was updated to create 2019 (post-WestConnex) base traffic demands to account for this major change in traffic patterns.

Cordon matrices were extracted from the STFM for 2019 (post-M4 tunnel) and compared to the 2018 STFM cordon matrices so that the traffic demands changes could be replicated in the study area AIMSUN model. The process used is shown in Figure 4-7.










#### Figure 4-7: 2018 to 2019 Traffic Demand Development Process


These 2019 traffic demands were used only for the development of future year traffic demands (2026 and 2036) and were not modelled in AIMSUN.

#### Future Year Models – 2026 and 2036

Cordon matrices were extracted from the TfNSW - provided STFM for 2026 and 2036 for two traffic demand scenarios: 'No Uplift' and 'With Uplift'.

The process for the development of the future year traffic demands is summarised in Figure 4-8.












#### **Constraining Future Year Growth**

Preliminary outputs from the future year models (both with and without Uplift) found that forecast year 2036 traffic demand substantially exceeded the capacity of the road network, with extensive delays and long queues resulting in highly inconsistent modelling results which were unsuitable for providing a detailed assessment of network issues.

This is not an uncommon finding in urban networks where large strategic growth forecasts are added to high base traffic demands. In reality, the network would be upgraded as this growth occurs or the growth may be constrained by the available capacity as people make alternative travel choices. That is, substantial growth in demand and no network upgrades is a hypothetical case.

In order to produce a suitable model with consistent results, future year growth would need to be reduced to a level where the network capacity would not be greatly exceeded. To achieve this, a reduction factor was applied to all external-to-external growth and the growth in the PRCUTS development precincts were unchanged.

Upon further advice from TfNSW in mid-August 2021, the reduction of external-to-external growth was changed from a global reduction to a more targeted approach. The growth reductions were applied to only those network entry points which were affected by capacity constraints. These were identified from preliminary microsimulation model runs and are highlighted in Figure 4-9.



#### Figure 4-9: Constrained Network Entry Points with Reduced Traffic Growth

The applied factors are summarised in Table 4-5.

Peak	2026 Benchmark	2026 Uplift	2036 Benchmark	2036 Uplift
AM	1.0	1.0	0.7 (-320 trips)	1.0
PM	1.0	1.0	0.4 (-471 trips)	0.8 (-347 trips)







The lower reductions in the Uplift scenarios compared to the Benchmark scenarios are due to Do Minimum network improvements which were implemented in the Uplift Scenarios for the sole purpose to ensure that the Uplift scenarios models were able to function without 'gridlock' (see Section 4.2.3).

#### Model Peer Review by PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC)

In early August 2021, DPIE advised that TfNSW had raised a request for a peer review of the future year AIMSUN traffic model to be undertaken by PwC. The peer review report was received mid-September 2021 and raised a number of comments and recommendations. These issues were addressed to the satisfaction of DPIE and TfNSW, allowing the impact assessment modelling to commence.

## 4.2.2 Traffic Modelling Scenarios

Three scenarios were prepared for comparison as summarised in Table 4-6.

	Includes				
Scenario	Background Traffic Growth	PRCUTS Development Traffic Growth	Do Minimum Upgrades	Upgrades Scheme	
Benchmark	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	×	×	X	
Uplift	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	<b>⊠</b>	$\mathbf{\overline{v}}$	X	
Uplift (Upgraded)	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	<b>⊻</b>	$\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{V}}}$	V	

#### Table 4-6: Modelling Scenarios

## 4.2.3 Traffic Network Upgrades Development

#### Do Minimum Upgrades

During the iterative testing for future year model convergence, it was necessary to implement 'Do Minimum' upgrades in the Uplift model. The substantial growth in traffic associated with background growth and PRCUTS development resulted in the identification of a series of localised, but significant pinch points in the model. Once the model 'grid-locked', the simulation scenario was no longer capable of providing meaningful outputs to enable identification of what other upgrade works would be needed.

To avoid this, some specific modifications to the road network were made prior to the detailed impact assessment and mitigation modelling. These primarily involved traffic management controls such as new turn restrictions and changes to lane allocations.

#### Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment by Turnbull Engineering

In the Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment Report, Turnbull Engineering identified a number of modified interventions based on the original proposed identified in the PRCUTS transport report by UrbanGrowth NSW (2016). The interventions highlighted a number of specific traffic infrastructure actions to alleviate traffic pressures, or to facilitate improved transport outcomes at key locations within the study area.

The relevant interventions have been extracted from the assessment report and are summarised in Table 4-7







Intersection	Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment Intervention	Adopted
Parramatta Road / George Street / Nipper Street	Extension of existing westbound right turn lane from Parramatta Road to George Street	$\checkmark$
Parramatta Road / Walker Street / Cheltenham Road	New westbound right turn lane from Parramatta Road to Walker Street	×
Parramatta Road / Regatta Road	New signalised midblock pedestrian crossing on Parramatta Road in vicinity of Regatta Road and William Street.	$\checkmark$
Queens Road / Regatta Road	New signalised midblock pedestrian crossing on Queens Road in vicinity of Regatta Road	$\checkmark$

#### Table 4-7: Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment – Relevant Intersections / Interventions

One of the interventions from the Turnbull report, the new westbound right turn lane from Parramatta Road to Walker Street was not adopted. This upgrade was not supported by City of Canada Bay Council as it would be contribute towards 'opening up' Walker Street to traffic and elevate its role in the local areas as the main north-south through road between Parramatta Road and Queens Street.

As identified in Chapter 2.10 for the Kings Bay Precinct, Regatta Road has been identified as the preferred main north-south route rather than Walker Street.

#### Modelling Targets: Uplift verses Benchmark

The Uplift scenarios were modelled and assessed understand what upgrades were needed to mitigate the impacts of their additional development levels. The defined target was to return the network to Benchmark performance levels (i.e. the network performance without PRCUTS development traffic) when the Uplift Scenario development traffic was added. These targets as applied to specific network performance indicators are presented in Table 4-8.

Indicator	Expected change due to Uplift	Desired Outcome : Uplift v Benchmark
Total Vehicle Hours Travelled – VHT (hours)	Expected to increase due to more vehicles travelling through the network, and longer travel times due to increased congestion	<b>No target:</b> Increases will be inevitable due to higher traffic demands.
Total Vehicle Kilometres Travelled – VKT (kilometres)	Expected to increase due to more vehicles travelling through the network	No target: Changes will be inevitable due to higher traffic demands.
VKT/VHT Ratio (km/h)	Expected to decrease due to disproportionate vehicle travel time compared to increases in travelled distance.	<b>Target:</b> Uplift VKT/VHT Ratio to get as close to Benchmark values as possible.
Speed (km/h)	Expected to decrease due to increased congestion in the network.	<b>Target:</b> Uplift Speed get as close to Benchmark values as possible.
Completed Trips (veh)	Expected to increase due to higher total traffic demands due to development	No target: There will be an increase in completed trips in the Uplift scenario, unless the model gridlocks, as there is more demand.
Incomplete Trips (veh)	Expected to increase due to higher total traffic demands due to development and increased congestion.	No target: Changes will be inevitable due to higher traffic demands.
Waiting to Enter (veh)	Expected to increase due to higher total traffic demands due to development and increased congestion.	<b>Target:</b> Vehicles waiting to enter in Uplift scenario to get as close as possible to Benchmark values.
Average Delay Time (sec/km)	Expected to increase due to increased congestion in network.	<b>Target:</b> Average delay time in the Uplift scenario to get as close as possible to Benchmark values.

#### Table 4-8: Network Performance Indicators







### 4.2.4 Public Transport and Active Transport Initiatives

Public transport and active transport initiatives have been primarily considered within and near the Uplift precincts. The process to develop these initiatives included:

- Identify current services levels and gaps
- Consider planned / proposed initiatives by each Council
- Understand desire lines, accessibility constraints and coverage 'gaps' using GIS techniques
- Identify new facilities, and facility upgrades in each area based on the above projects.

The consideration of public transport and active transport initiatives has necessarily been focussed at a local scale and are not related to the modelling results. The influences of Sydney Metro West have been considered in terms of how additional of rail services will service the corridor and where Metro stations are proposed to be located.

#### 4.2.5 Parking Policies and Strategies

The nomination of parking policies has considered the identification of each uplift precinct's proximity and quality of access to public transport and nominating development parking rates for inclusion in each LGA's corresponding Development Control Plan's (DCPs). Each precinct has been categorised with 'P1', 'P2' or 'P3' according to its proximity and quality of access to nearby public transport services. The nominated categories and rates should be considered preliminary and subject to more detailed investigations specific to each precinct as development details are better understood.

In addition, the future year traffic capacity analyses have revealed additional traffic demands along a number of side roads accessing Parramatta Road during the AM and PM peak hours.

Tidal peak period parking restrictions have been proposed and included in the modelling as both new restrictions and extensions to existing restrictions. The proposed parking policies and strategies are further explained in Section 4.7.

#### 4.2.6 Weekend Traffic Considerations and Modelling Limitations

In addition to the conventional weekday peak periods, the study area was also surveyed for intersection counts, travel times and SCATS signal data for a Saturday midday peak. The base model was also calibrated and validated for Saturday midday peak hours. Table 4-9 summarises a comparison of weekday and Saturday peak traffic volumes at a number of key locations along Parramatta Road.

Location	Weekday Peak		Saturday Peak
	AM Peak (8:00 AM – 9:00 PM)	PM Peak (5:00 PM – 6:00 PM)	(11:00 AM – 12:00 PM)
East of Underwood Road	2,829 vph	2,393 vph	2,446 vph
East of Burwood Road	3,946 vph	3,900 vph	4,153 vph
East of Harris Road	3,729 vph	3,700 vph	4,137 vph

#### Table 4-9: Weekday and Saturday 2018 Model Bidirectional Volume Comparisons

In the absence of STFM future growth data for Saturday peak hours, a 'synthetic' weekend growth forecast has been created which takes the AM and PM weekday peak growth from the STFM and applies the average of it to the calibrated weekend base year model.

The volume comparison demonstrates that Saturday peak two-way volumes along Parramatta Road are comparable to weekday peak volumes. Given that Saturday flows are less 'tidal' than weekday flows, and that localised congestion on a weekend has lesser economic impacts than during the week







(and hence its use as a design case is diminished), it is considered highly unlikely that Saturday modelling results will lead to any modifications to the traffic upgrade items being recommended in this report.

In any case, due to the heavily congested 2036 and 2026 networks based on the forecast traffic growth arising from the STFM, there is limited reliance that can be placed on specific model outputs at an intersection level; the models are therefore being used as a relative guide rather than as an absolute reference for determining traffic upgrade needs.

# 4.3 Traffic Congestion and Pinch Points

#### 4.3.1 Overview

When developing future year traffic networks, two general approaches are available, namely:

- 'Predict and provide'
- 'Vision and validate'.

Early stages in the study (in 2018-19) were aligned with the 'predict and provide' approach and later stages moved towards a 'vision and validate' approach which has increasingly being adopted by TfNSW from 2020 onwards in congested urban areas.

This vision and validate approach did not seek to 'solve' all of the traffic congestion issues identified in the future. Rather, a more balanced approach has been taken, blending the interpretation of simulation modelling results with travel demand measures to achieve broader transport objectives of more trips being made by walking, cycling and public transport in safer, 'people-friendly' street environments.

#### 4.3.2 Issues Assessment

The future year modelling results show that the Parramatta Road corridor would be heavily congested due to its forecast increase in traffic demand.

Future year traffic congestion issues were classified as either 'Primary Issues' or 'Secondary Issues', with the distinction being:

- **Primary Issues:** Primary issues are typically the fundamental cause of congestion, often being a capacity-constraint at a specific intersection.
- Secondary issues: Secondary issues are caused by Primary Issues. For example, queues from a primary constraint might extend to block off a side road, causing secondary queues into the local road network. The need to address these issues is dependent on the response of the network to downstream upgrades.

Figure 4-10 shows the **main** traffic issues identified in the 2036 road network. More details are provided on precinct-by-precinct basis in the following sections.










Figure 4-10: Traffic Congestion and Pinch Points Map







## 4.3.3 'Waiting to Enter' Map

Due to the congestion caused by the Primary and Secondary issues, the initial modelling showed a significant volume of traffic demand 'stuck' outside of the network, unable to enter in the peak periods due to downstream blockages. Without any upgrades, this volume of unreleased traffic steadily increases up to the end of the simulation period.

Figure 4-11 and Figure 4-12 show the locations of vehicles waiting to enter the network at the end of the 2036 AM and PM two-hour peak periods.




Figure 4-11: Waiting to Enter Map – Vehicles Outside (unsatisfied demand) at 9:00 AM




Figure 4-12: Waiting to Enter Map – Vehicles Outside (unsatisfied demand) at 6:00 PM







At the end of the morning peak, there are locations with high volumes of unreleased vehicles on the western entry points to the network, and congestion across the rest of the network, summarised as:

- **Homebush / Strathfield:** extremely high volumes of vehicles waiting outside of the network at key external zones like Parramatta Road, Underwood Road, Pomeroy Street and The Crescent.
- Burwood: blockages around Burwood, particularly on Burwood Road and Wentworth Road
- **Canada Bay**: queues outside of the model on Harris Road, Croydon Road and surrounds.

At the end of the afternoon peak, there are unreleased vehicles at the western entry points to the network as well as within Burwood. These can be summarised as:

- Homebush / Strathfield: high volumes of vehicles waiting outside of the network at key external zones like Parramatta Road, Underwood Road, Pomeroy Street and The Crescent.
- Burwood: significant blockages along Burwood Road, including obstructions to local traffic releases near Burton Street and on Park Avenue.
- **Canada Bay**: minor to moderate volumes of vehicles waiting outside the network near Harris Road.

What these unreleased vehicles demonstrate is that the traffic demand forecast by the strategic modelling cannot be accommodated in the simulation model network within its one hour peak. There would be peak spreading, diversions to other routes, some modal shift and some trip suppression/trip re-distribution because of this but there would also be expected to be significant increases in travel times and delays within the study area.







#### 4.3.4 Homebush North and South

The major intersection pinch points near the Homebush precincts are:

- Parramatta Road / Concord Road / Leicester Avenue
- Parramatta Road / George Street
- Parramatta Road / Underwood Road
- Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street
- George Street / Pomeroy Street.

These pinch points are primarily concentrated near the Homebush South precinct. They are discussed in more detail below.

#### Parramatta Road / Concord Road / Leicester Avenue

The Parramatta Road / Concord Road / Leicester Avenue intersection is a key intersection in the Parramatta Road corridor, facilitating connections to the M4 Motorway and tunnel, Concord Town Centre to the north and Strathfield to the south.

Due to the heavy conflict for green time at the traffic signals, nearly all approaches show significant delays as follows:

- From the west: over 500vph turn right into Leicester Avenue in the PM peak towards Strathfield and Burwood. While there is a very long single lane turning bay for this movement (around 400m), the increase in this demand caused by future growth results in extremely long queues and vehicles blocking the through lane for long periods.
- From the south: heavy northbound traffic flows which queue all the way back to the rail crossing tunnel near Strathfield Train Station in the AM peak.
- From the east: due to the single lane approach caused by the M4 on-ramp lanes, queues exceeding the available right turn bays have highly detrimental effects on through traffic.
- From the north: heavy traffic flows from the northern approach during both peak periods, particularly for the right turn movement, occasionally blocking traffic at the M4 access intersection to the north.



Figure 4-13 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.

Figure 4-13: Parramatta Road / Concord Road / Leicester Avenue Pinch Point







#### Parramatta Road / George Street

The Parramatta Road / George Street intersection is another key intersection in the road network near the Homebush precincts. George Street is a vibrant street servicing North Strathfield, with a High Pedestrian Activity Area and cobbled streets passing through the Bakehouse Quarter shopping and dining precinct.

However, despite the elevated levels of 'Place-based' activity like al fresco dining and community spaces, George Street also serves as an important north-south traffic link on the western side of the railway line. George Street provides a critical link to the Homebush North precinct (near Concord West Station) to the north, passing by Pomeroy Street and Bridge Street. Due to this, traffic volumes both into and out of George Street would be relatively high in 2036 and result in delays at the Parramatta Road traffic signals, particularly for the right turn movements.

Eastbound traffic on Parramatta Road is delayed by this intersection. Around 200m to the west of the traffic signals, Parramatta Road eastbound narrows from a 3-lane carriageway to a 2-lane carriageway. Queues from the signals extend back to this narrowing of Parramatta Road, creating a slow moving queue which extends to beyond Bridge Road.

Aside from the southern approach which has a fixed catchment, all approaches to the Parramatta Road / George Street intersection would perform poorly in 2036:

- From the north: even with upstream blockages reducing the flow of traffic arriving at the intersection, there would occasionally be queues that extend along George Street in the PM peak back into the pedestrian area near the Bakehouse Quarter
- From the east: due to the short right turn bay, right turning vehicles would queue over 200m beyond the turning lane into the through traffic lane, limiting Parramatta Road through traffic to a single lane
- From the west: due to the heavy eastbound traffic flows and the nearby 3-to-2 lane merge on Parramatta Road, there would be extremely long queues back from the George Street signals which would create secondary impacts on nearby side streets.




Figure 4-14 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.

Figure 4-14: Parramatta Road / George Street Pinch Point







#### Parramatta Road / Underwood Road

The Parramatta Road / Underwood Road intersection is located around 500m west of the Parramatta Road / George Street intersection and serves the North Homebush catchment. Underwood Road and George Street are the only two opportunities to cross the M4 Motorway within the study area, with no other options to the west until Homebush Bay Drive and Birnie Avenue (1.5km and 2.3km away respectively).

At Underwood Road, Parramatta Road has three travel lanes in the eastbound direction, narrowing to two lanes around 250m downstream of the intersection. This, in combination with extensive queueing effects at George Street, would result in congestion which would impact Underwood Road traffic, with vehicles unable to turn out as the eastern departure of the intersection is congested.

The fundamental congestion issue at this location is that traffic from catchments to the north of Parramatta Road would need to use either Underwood Road or George Street to access Parramatta Road.

At a local level, the modelling indicates that there would be an imbalance in the usage of the available lanes. Right-turning vehicles would sometimes prefer to use the kerbside left-right lane instead of the median-side dedicated right lane because much of this traffic then turned left at the next opportunity to access the Homebush South precinct. These issues highlight a need for improved connectivity across Parramatta Road to/from this catchment.




Figure 4-15 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.

Figure 4-15: Parramatta Road / Underwood Road Pinch Point







#### **Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street**

The Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street intersection is around 500m north of Parramatta Road, and not on the main Parramatta Road corridor. However, this intersection is of strategic importance to the surrounding road network, including Parramatta Road. Underwood Road joins Homebush Bay Drive and the Sydney Olympic Park precinct to Parramatta Road, with large-scale facilities like DFO Homebush near the Australia Avenue roundabout. Along with George Street, Underwood Road is one of the few major north-south roads servicing the Homebush area north of Parramatta Road. Pomeroy Street offers one of the only opportunities to cross Powells Creek and is frequently used as a link between Underwood Road and George Street.

All approaches to the intersection would be over-capacity in 2036, with over 500m long queues propagating from this intersection. The volume of traffic passing through the intersection cannot be reasonably accommodated by the traffic signals. Filtering turning traffic has minimal gaps in opposing traffic, and congestion on the departure sides of the intersection due to downstream queues wastes signal green time.

Figure 4-16 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.




Figure 4-16: Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street Pinch Point







#### George Street / Pomeroy Street

George Street / Pomeroy Street performs a similar function to Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street on the eastern side of Powells Creek. East of the traffic signals, Pomeroy Street offers the only bridge crossing of the railway line in the area, further elevating the importance of this intersection.

Due to the proximity and connection between this intersection and the Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street intersection, there are significant network implications caused by queues that extend between the two intersections. In 2036, long queues on the western departure on Pomeroy Street are caused by the Underwood Road traffic signals. Due to these, the other approaches waste a significant portion of their signal green time due to blocked downstream locations.

Furthermore, the intersection primarily operates with filtered right turn movements (except for a dedicated right turn phase for the eastern approach). This causes significant delays to the north and west approaches, with only a few vehicles able to turn right each cycle due to high opposing traffic flows. Furthermore, when opposing vehicles are turning right at the same time, they tend to be slowed by due to the 'narrowness' of the intersection.

Figure 4-17 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.




Figure 4-17: George Street / Pomeroy Street Pinch Point







## 4.3.5 Burwood-Concord

### Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street

Burwood Road is an important north-south movement corridor, functioning as a Main Street servicing the Burwood town centre south of Parramatta Road. Within the study area, Burwood Road connects Parramatta Road and Burwood Station, and also runs directly to the future Burwood North Metro Station.

The intersection of Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street is an offset T-intersection, with around 30 metres between the two side streets. Although Park Avenue and Wilga Street are considered the minor roads to the main Burwood Road corridor, both streets are forecast to experience bidirectional volumes of up to 1000vph in 2036 peak hours.

During the morning peak, there is a demand of around 300 vph for the southbound right turn from Burwood Road into Park Avenue. Due to the signal phasing at the intersection, the majority of traffic is held behind the northern STOP line during filter phases. With the projected increase in traffic by 2036, filter turns would have very limited opportunity to safely cross Burwood Road. This would result in a rapid build-up of right-turning queues which would block access to the kerbside through lane due to short length of parking restrictions.




Figure 4-18 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.

Figure 4-18: Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street Pinch Point







#### **Burwood Road / Burton Street**

The intersection of Burwood Road / Burton Street is currently a single lane roundabout. The future traffic projections show approach volumes exceeding 300 vph, and up to 1000 vph on the Burwood Road approaches.

The roundabout is a key pinch point in the area and cannot reasonably accommodate the expected future levels of traffic. It also does not facilitate safe pedestrian crossing opportunities near the future Metro station.

There are a number of resulting effects caused by the delays at the roundabout, including diversions through the local road network via Broughton Street and Loftus Street, and consequential effects on both Parramatta Road to the south and Gipps Street to the north. Although there are sections of this road that allow for two travel lanes in either direction (due to peak hour parking restrictions), these are 'pinched' back into a single lane before the roundabout.

Figure 4-19 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.



Figure 4-19: Burwood Road / Burton Street Roundabout Pinch Point







## 4.3.6 Kings Bay

### Harris Road / Queens Road

The intersection of Harris Road / Queens Road is around 170m north of Parramatta Road and not located on the main corridor. However, Queens Road and Gipps Street are a part of a parallel corridor to Parramatta Road that provides a secondary route for traffic, particularly to and from Five Dock Town Centre.

The intersection with Harris Road is a key point along this route, with Harris Road being a north-south collector road between Parramatta Road and Lyons Road West. Harris Road is serviced by a number of bus routes and has a high school (Rosebank College) located on the corner with Parramatta Road. The pick-up and drop-off arrangements for Rosebank College are of particular importance, as it occurs on-street and occupies the northbound kerbside lane on Harris Road. There is subsequently a high degree of friction in the traffic stream along Harris Road, between cars moving in and out of the pick-up and drop-off parking spaces, in-lane bus stops, etc.

Figure 4-20 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.




Figure 4-20: Harris Road / Queens Road Pinch Point







#### Parramatta Road / Great North Road

The intersection of Parramatta Road and Great North Road is affected by the congestion issues at Harris Road / Queens Road. The Great North Road joins Parramatta Road with Five Dock Town Centre, being the main boulevard servicing the lively commercial and retail area. In future, this road will also connect to the Five Dock Metro Station, around 800m north of Parramatta Road.

While Great North Road has a four-lane carriageway, the kerbside lanes are often dedicated to street parking, restricting traffic to a single travel lane. There are peak hour parking restrictions near the intersection with Parramatta Road, which increase the turn capacity at the traffic signals.

It was observed in the models that during the 2036 afternoon peak, delays to right-turning vehicles caused long queues, preventing left turning traffic from entering the kerbside lane. This results in a significant level of wasted intersection capacity, which contributes to the overall poor traffic performance in the Kings Bay area.

Figure 4-21 shows an example of the queues at this pinch point.



Figure 4-21: Parramatta Road / Great North Road Pinch Point







# 4.4 Traffic Network Upgrades and Rationale

## 4.4.1 Do Minimum Changes

The following changes were modelled in a Do Minimum network. These changes are included in all Uplift scenarios to ensure that a minimum baseline operational level of traffic performance was maintained in order to allow meaningful interpretation of the benefits and impacts of other traffic capacity changes:

- Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street: No Right Turn from Underwood Road to Pomeroy Street during the AM peak hour period.
- Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street: Changed lane allocations on the east approach to permit right turn movements from both lanes.

These are shown in Figure 4-22.



Figure 4-22: Do Minimum Changes – Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street

Any implementation of turn restrictions would be expected to be accompanied by a Traffic Management Plan to assess the local impacts of redirected traffic due to the change.







# 4.4.2 Proposed Traffic Network Upgrades Summary

The recommended traffic network upgrades can be divided into four main categories:



#### **Road Upgrades**

Road upgrades are mostly recommended to facilitate capacity increases and will likely require some civil works (e.g. intersection upgrades, new turning lanes, etc).

### Signal Changes

Signal changes are identified as being more significant than changes to phase timings, potentially requiring entirely different phase sequences and ancillary signal lantern infrastructure



#### **Traffic Management**

Traffic management policies imply modifications to the road network that do not constitute road upgrades. These include new turn restrictions and/or lane allocation linemarking at existing roads.



### Parking Restrictions

Parking restrictions comprise the implementation of new parking plans at key locations to limit parking activity and provide additional road capacity during the key peak hour periods.

The proposed traffic network upgrades are shown in Figure 4-23.



Figure 4-23:Proposed Traffic Network Upgrades – SummaryEach of these items are further described in Figure 4-24.








Figure 4-24: Proposed Traffic Network Upgrades – Breakdown







The details around the items shown in Figure 4-24 are described in greater detail in the Precinct-specific sections in Chapter 5 to Chapter 8.

## 4.4.3 Traffic Performance Improvements – Network Benefits

### Network-wide Performance Statistics Comparison

The following network performance measures were extracted from the model and used as a comparison between modelled scenarios:

- Vehicle Kilometres Travelled (VKT)
- Vehicle Hours Travelled (VHT)
- Ratio of VKT to VHT
- Average Network Speed (km/h)
- Completed Trips at the end of the peak period
- Incomplete Trips at the end of the peak period
- Waiting to Enter Trips at the end of the peak period
- Delay Time (sec/km).

Due to the high volumes of traffic in the model, particularly along Parramatta Road, there were usually vehicles left within the model network at the end of the simulation referred to as 'Incomplete Trips'. Where this was the case, the VKT and VHT outputs were factored to also account for these partial trips.







## 2036 AM Peak

Table 4-10 summarises the performance outcomes during the AM peak period.

Table 4-10:	Traffic Performance Comparison -	- Network Statistics – AM Peak Period
-------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Performance Indicator	2036 (Benchmark)	2036 (Uplift)	2036 (Uplift with Upgrades)
VHT (hours)	5,901	9,622	5,519
VKT (kilometres)	96,353	94,482	105,809
VKT/VHT Ratio	16.33	9.82	19.17
Speed (km/h)	32	30	30
Completed trips (veh)	45,318	43,323	52,757
Incomplete trips (veh)	3,853	6,821	3,338
Waiting to Enter (veh)	2,164	10,065	4,097
Delay Time (sec/km)	140	219	123

The AM peak network statistics demonstrate that the proposed upgrades would reduce total delays, increase average traffic speeds and reduce the number of incomplete and unreleased trips compared to the Uplift scenario with no new upgrades. The upgrades successfully alleviate the traffic pressure caused by the proposed Uplift, with performance outcomes which are comparable to the levels of the Benchmark scenario, albeit with minor levels of unreleased vehicles.

Figure 4-25 shows the percentage difference from the Benchmark Scenario (No Uplift).




Figure 4-25: Network Statistics Percentage Difference from Benchmark – AM Peak







### 2036 PM Peak


Table 4-11 summarises the performance outcomes during the PM peak period.

Table 4-11:	Traffic Performance Comparison – Netw	ork Statistics – PM Peak Period
-------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Performance Indicator	2036 (Benchmark)	2036 (Uplift)	2036 (Uplift with Upgrades)
VHT (hours)	4,763	8,255	5,566
VKT (kilometres)	92,102	95,616	101,807
VKT/VHT Ratio	19.34	11.58	18.29
Speed (km/h)	36	32	33
Completed trips (veh)	44,217	45,594	52,233
Incomplete trips (veh)	4,191	6,378	4,031
Waiting to Enter (veh)	1,924	8,325	4,011
Delay Time (sec/km)	111	190	125

The PM peak network statistics demonstrate that the proposed upgrades would reduce total delays, increase average traffic speeds and reduce the number of incomplete and unreleased trips compared to the Uplift scenario. However, the upgrades do not restore overall network performance conditions to the levels of the Benchmark scenario.

Figure 4-26 shows the percentage difference from the Benchmark Scenario (No Uplift).









## 4.4.4 Traffic Performance Improvements – Route Travel Time

Travel times from the 2036 modelling were compared for the Parramatta Road route shown in Figure 4-27. It is also important to highlight that the travel times are from when a vehicle enters the network, excluding the time it may be queued waiting to enter the network.




Figure 4-27: Travel Time Route – Parramatta Road








### AM Peak



The AM peak travel time comparison between the Uplift (no Upgrades) and Upgrades Uplift Scenarios for the **eastbound** direction on Parramatta Road is shown in Figure 4-28.



The benchmark comparison for the same peak period and direction is shown in Figure 4-29, highlighting the differences to the 2018 travel time surveys and the 2036 Benchmark Scenario.






Figure 4-29: Travel Time Comparison to Benchmark Scenarios – Eastbound AM Peak













The AM peak travel time comparison between the Uplift (no Upgrades) and Uplift with Upgrades Scenarios for the **westbound** direction on Parramatta Road is shown in Figure 4-30.



The benchmark comparison for the same peak period and direction is shown in Figure 4-31, highlighting the differences to the 2018 travel time surveys and the 2036 Benchmark Scenario.





The travel time results indicate that in the AM peak scenario, the proposed upgrades would result in a 20% reduction in eastbound travel times and a 60% reduction in westbound travel times compared to the Uplift scenario.


The proposed upgrades are not expected to reduce travel times to Benchmark scenario levels in the eastbound direction but may be able to reduce travel times in the westbound direction.







### PM Peak



The PM peak travel time comparison between the Uplift (no Upgrades) and Uplift with Upgrades Scenarios for the **eastbound** direction on Parramatta Road is shown in Figure 4-32.

#### Figure 4-32: Travel Time Comparison – With and Without Upgrades – Eastbound PM Peak

The Benchmark Comparison for the same peak period and direction is shown in Figure 4-33, highlighting the differences to the 2018 travel time surveys and the 2036 Benchmark Scenario.






Figure 4-33: Travel Time Comparison to Benchmark Scenarios – Eastbound PM Peak













The PM peak travel time comparison between the Uplift (no Upgrades) and Uplift with Upgrades Scenarios for the **westbound** direction on Parramatta Road is shown in Figure 4-34.



The benchmark comparison for the same peak period and direction is shown in Figure 4-35, highlighting the differences with the 2018 travel time surveys and the 2036 Benchmark Scenario.





The travel time results indicate that in the PM peak scenario. The proposed upgrades would result in a 3% reduction in eastbound travel times and a 55% reduction in westbound travel times compared to the Uplift scenario.

The proposed upgrades are not expected to reduce travel times to Benchmark scenario levels in the eastbound direction but may be able to reduce travel times in the westbound direction.







# 4.5 Public Transport

## 4.5.1 Changes to Public Transport Services

The most recent public transport network changes along the Parramatta Road corridor were implemented in October 2020. The changes were largely timetable improvements instead of changes in route alignment, stop locations or catchment coverage.

There are no daytime bus services on Parramatta Road between Burwood Road and Concord Road, although a recently implemented on-demand bus service operates in this area. There are no bus stops along this section of Parramatta Road to allow for the safe pick up or drop off on-demand passengers, especially during Clearway hours. Also, limited turn movement access between the northern and southern sides of Parramatta Road would substantially increase travel times for the on-demand service.

Two separate maps have been prepared to identify public transport service coverage gaps for buses and trains. The gaps outside of reasonable walking distance to access each mode are depicted in Figure 4-36 and Figure 4-37. Reasonable walking distances have been defined as 150m for bus services in moderately dense urban areas and 800m for train services. Figure 4-38 overlays the gaps in coverage considering buses and trains together.








Figure 4-36: Bus Service Stop Coverage Gaps

Figure 4-36 reveals bus service coverage gaps (highlighted in light blue) within Concord West, North Strathfield, Concord, Canada Bay and Croydon areas. Some of these coverage gaps are within the defined Uplift precincts, particularly in North Strathfield.



Parramatta Road Corridor (Canada Bay, Burwood, Strathfield) Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan Project: P5769 Version: 005



City of Canada Bay




Figure 4-37: Train / Metro Stations Coverage Gaps within the Study Area



Parramatta Road Corridor (Canada Bay, Burwood, Strathfield) Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan Project: P5769 Version: 005



City of Canada Bay




Figure 4-38: Bus and Train / Metro Stations Coverage Gaps

There is no direct bus route between North Strathfield / Homebush West and Sydney CBD. The North Strathfield / Homebush West areas are significant in terms of their traffic demands onto the Parramatta Road corridor.

Sydney CBD bus routes have a reasonable coverage along Burwood Road and Parramatta Road.

Detailed Public Transport strategies for each precinct are provided in Chapters 5 to 8.



Parramatta Road Corridor (Canada Bay, Burwood, Strathfield) Traffic and Transport Study and Action Plan Project: P5769 Version: 005



City of Canada Bay

# 4.5.2 At-grade Rapid Transport Route on Parramatta Road

It is understood that the infrastructure approval for WestConnex conditioned that at least two lanes of Parramatta Road be dedicated for the use of public transport, unless an alternative option with better public transport outcomes is committed to.

The Sydney Metro West project has since been approved, which might be interpreted as meeting the intent of the condition.

However, the future year demand forecasting has demonstrated that this project alone is insufficient to deter traffic from using Parramatta Road and extensive congestion is expected by 2036.

Further investigation of on-road rapid public transport services is warranted to provide alternatives to car travel for residents living and working along the Parramatta Road corridor and TfNSW has commenced a public transport study for Parramatta Road.

# 4.6 Active Transport

## 4.6.1 Walking Demand and New Links

Existing 'real-time' pedestrian activity was sourced from Global Strava Heatmap, shown Figure 4-39. In the Heatmap, dark areas are categorised as areas with low or no pedestrian activity and lighter areas have high activity. It is noted that Strava data tends to be inherently weighted towards exercise/fitness activity, and therefore may not reflect other types of active transport (e.g. walking to the train station or bus stop, walking to the shops).

The lower levels of relative usage along Parramatta Road highlight the poor connectivity to, from and along this corridor and is a key consideration for improving connections. An overview of the new connections being proposed is also provided in Figure 4-40 with further details provided in Chapter 5 to 8.

## 4.6.2 Cycling

Figure 4-40 shows the current cycling network and shows how disjointed it is across the study area. An overview of new proposed cycling connections within the Uplift precincts is also shown in Figure 4-40 with further details provided in Chapters 5 to 8. These proposed cycling connections considered the Cycleway Design Toolbox in relation to appropriate cycleway locations and route linkages.









\*Source: https://www.strava.com/heatmap#12.62/-208.70684/-33.93341/hot/all **Figure 4-39: Potential Additional Links to the Footpath Network within Uplift Precincts** 










Figure 4-40: Potential Additional Links for the Cycleways Network within Uplift Precincts







# 4.7 Parking Policies

## 4.7.1 General

In redevelopment areas such as the uplift precincts in this study, parking policy can have a significant influence on travel behaviours and traffic demands. For example:

- Lower development parking rates per unit may influence the car ownership characteristics of those who choose to move into the area (knowing there will be restrained on-site parking)
- Managing the supply of parking may compel regular long-stay car parking users to seek alternative modes of transport. This may include constraining off-street parking availability and introducing time restricted / metered on-street parking.

However, an integrated parking policy needs to finely balance the above considerations with what the consequences of restrained supply might be. This is particularly evident in transition areas, like the uplift areas, where the overflow of excess parking demand into adjacent residential areas can be problematic.

For these reasons, a broader parking strategy is preferred to the consideration of the Uplift areas in each LGA in isolation. Notwithstanding these limitations, the two key parking policy measures that have been identified for consideration within the study area are:

- Adjusting parking provision rates in each Councils' Development Control Plans (DCPs), primarily based on the proximity and levels of service of public transport
- Peak period parking restrictions to better cater for nearby intersection queuing needs.

Each policy measure is explained in the following sections.

# 4.7.2 DCP Parking Rate Categories

The proposed uplift precincts have been assessed in terms of proximity and coverage of public transport as the basis for defining the levels of development parking that ought to be considered. The precincts have been categorised into three 'parking transition' types:


- **P1 Excellent Public Transport Provision:** Adjust parking provision rates to lowest level (e.g. near the proposed Burwood North Metro Station)
- **P2 Good Public Transport Provisions:** Adjust parking provision rates down to moderately lower rates
- P3 Limited-to-or no reliable public transport provision: Unchanged parking provision rates.

The parking areas were classified based on the density of nearby public transport facilities (and their areas of influence) as well as the hierarchy of services.

Proposed development parking provision rates have been annotated within each uplift precinct in Figure 4-41. The rates in Table 4-12 are proposed for the **P1** areas. **P3** areas are proposed to retain their current rates and **P2** areas are proposed to be the average of P1 and P3 rates.







## Figure 4-41: Proposed Parking Provision Transition Area

		<b>•</b> •		
Table 4-12:	Parking Rate	Comparison	for High Density	y Residential Dwellings

Bedrooms	Sample P1 Rate <sup>1</sup>	Canada Bay P3 Rate <sup>2</sup>	Burwood P3 Rate	Strathfield P3 Rate
Rate Type	Maximum	Maximum	Exact	Minimum
Studio	0.3	0.3	1	N/A
1	0.5	0.5	1	1
2	0.9	0.9	1	1.5
3	1.2	1.2	2	2
Visitor Parking	0.02	0.02	0.2	0.2

1. Adapted from Parramatta Council DCP for Epping Town Centre

2. Latest Canada Bay DCP already reflects the maximum P1 parking rates in Category C areas

Furthermore, 'P1' areas should be defined with maximum parking rates whilst 'P2' and 'P3' should be retained as minimum rates, although Council's would ideally be more flexible to accepting parking relaxations in 'P2' areas.

It is recognised that there is a relationship between allowable development parking supply and property attractiveness and marketability, which can lead to the issue of reduced parking availability being sensitive with the industry. The implementation of any new parking rates should be tested with industry and with the broader community.





Since the proposed uplift is predominately residential development, it is considered appropriate to maintain retail and commercial parking at current rates. The consolidation of retail parking in one area would be beneficial to manage long term parking supply (rather than allowing parking for each development) but would require the construction of a dedicated car park at the same time on-site parking provision was being discouraged. The time-lag between building the centralised facility and restraining site-based parking for individual developments is a key challenge. Alternatively, Councils may allow for shared/discounted parking requirements for mixed-use development and the allowance of unbundled parking for major developments.

# 4.7.3 Peak Period Parking Restrictions

The following local roads in the Uplift precincts are proposed for peak period parking restrictions to cater for additional 'inbound' traffic demand and queues (towards Parramatta Road) in the AM peak and more 'outbound' demand and queues in the PM peak. The locations are:

- 1. (P1) Bridge Road, Homebush between Parramatta Road and Loftus Crescent
- (P2) Burwood Road, Burwood between Wilga Street to the south and Burton Street north of Parramatta Road
- 3. (P3) Harris Road, Five Dock between Parramatta Road and Kings Road
- 4. (P4) Queens Road, Five Dock between Arlington Street and Great North Road.

The proposed parking restrictions would take the form of weekday peak period (only) 'No Parking' or 'No Stopping'. The need for the peak hour restrictions is aligned with substantial completion of the redevelopment of the areas in which they are proposed as these areas generate the longer side street queue lengths that suggest the need for using parking lane space for queuing at intersections in peak hours. The proposed parking restrictions are shown in Figure 4-42.



Figure 4-42: Proposed Peak Hour (Tidal) Parking Restrictions







# 4.8 Travel Demand Management Measures

## 4.8.1 Car Share Strategies

Figure 4-43 shows a map of existing car share pods in the study area. The majority of car share pods are provided by 'Go Get' and are mostly located near existing the railway stations. There are no car share pods within the Homebush North and Kings Bay Precincts.

The redevelopment of the precincts is expected to result in increases in population and (potentially) more restrictive development parking rates, which will increase the demand for car share facilities. There is therefore an opportunity to encourage greater car share usage through development initiatives. The integration of car share as a part of major new developments in the study area could encourage reduced car ownership when moving into the area. It is noted that new car share pods are continuously being added by Councils and car share companies.



Source: GoGet

## Figure 4-43: GoGet Parking Pods in the Study Area with an 800m Walking Radius

Additional to proposed car share within new developments, in consultation with providers and as development occurs, car share pods could also be provided at the following locations to improve overall coverage of car share across the study area:

- Queens Road and its side streets
- Concord Road and its side streets
- Side streets off Burwood Road.







## 4.8.2 Green Travel Plans

The uplift precincts are located in areas of high public transport accessibility. Depending on the scale of each development in each of the precincts, a Green Travel Plan should be prepared to capitalise on walking, cycling and public transport facilities proposed to be introduced into these areas, supported by a finer grained road system. There would be merit in each Council preparing Green Travel Plan templates for major developments to use as a basis for their individual Green Travel Plans.

## 4.8.3 'Finer Grain' Local Street Networks

Whilst not strictly a TDM measure, there is merit in breaking up the Uplift precincts with laneways and roads to provide greater permeability to and from Parramatta Road for walking and cycling, and to improve traffic circulation opportunities and 'rear access' to developments.

The development layout and street structure principles included in the RobertsDay vision summary completed in August 2017 summary show how these principles could work in each precinct area. This report supports the 'finer grained' road network principles in the vision summary.





# 5.1 Uplift Development Summary


The proposed redevelopment in Homebush North precinct would result in:

- 479 medium density residential dwellings
- 517m<sup>2</sup> GFA of retail development

City of Canada Bay

30,763m<sup>2</sup> GFA of commercial development.

The Homebush North Precinct will retain its residential nature and a (B7) Business Park zone will be added to the precinct. Most residential developments are proposed near the train station and provide proximate pedestrian access to the station.



Source: Nearmap

Figure 5-1: Homebush North Precinct Proposed Rezoning Areas








# 5.2 Traffic Generation

Figure 5-2 shows the STFM zones which are associated with the Homebush North precinct's catchment. There are a total of three external zones (Zones 1, 2 and 3) and one internal zone (Zone 739).



Source: Google Maps

## Figure 5-2: Homebush North Precinct - STFM Zones

The total traffic generation estimated in the STFM for the zones within the Homebush North catchment is summarised in Table 5-1. Due to the coarseness of the STFM zoning system, these numbers include additional catchments and their respective but relatively minor future growth from just outside of the precinct.

Scenario	Traffic OUT (veh)	Traffic IN (veh)	Total TWO-WAY (veh)
AM 2-Hour			·
2019 AM	820	843	1,663
2026 AM No Dev	755	627	1,382 ( <mark>-281</mark> )
2026 AM with Dev	938	719	1,657 ( <mark>-6</mark> )
2036 AM No Dev	772	617	1,389 ( <mark>-274</mark> )
2036 AM with Dev	1,542	979	2,521 (+858)
PM 2-Hour			
2019 PM	673	1,341	2,014
2026 PM No Dev	509	1,207	1,716 ( <mark>-298</mark> )
2026 PM with Dev	591	1,498	2,089 (+75)
2036 PM No Dev	521	1,225	1,746 ( <mark>-268</mark> )
2036 PM with Dev	868	2,294	3,162 (+1,148)

### Table 5-1: Homebush North Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019







The STFM does show some reductions in traffic in the AM peak, presumably due to modal shift trips associated with existing development.

# 5.3 Integrated Strategic Response

An integrated local area strategic response has been developed for this precinct based on the traffic modelling and gaps and needs analysis detailed in Chapter 4. The following measures have been considered:

- Road network upgrades
- Public transport (Train/Metro/Bus) upgrades
- New pedestrian connections and footpaths
- New cycleway connections
- Parking provision strategies and restrictions
- Car share provisions
- Local street network changes.

The Homebush North integrated strategic response is shown in Figure 5-3.




Figure 5-3: Homebush North Precinct - Integrated Transport Strategic Response







# 5.4 Road Network Upgrades

## 5.4.1 General

No major traffic upgrades are proposed in this precinct. The roads and intersections within the precinct operate satisfactorily in 2036 and most of the congestion issues that the development uplift influences to are to the south of the precinct. Major upgrades at those locations would not solve these issues because they would simply transfer delays to the already congested Parramatta Road. A more measured, locally sensitive approach to queue and movement management has been adopted.

## 5.4.2 George Street / Pomeroy Street

The intersection of George Street / Pomeroy Street is not located within the Homebush North precinct; however, it is directly impacted as a result of growth in both Homebush precincts due to traffic travelling to and from Parramatta Road. The intersection is located in close proximity to the future North Strathfield Metro station, with the transport hub expected to increase traffic across the Pomeroy Street railway bridge as a part of the new regional route to and from the station. The intersection is geometrically constrained, and currently has long queues and delays caused by the traffic signals.

### Existing Intersection Arrangement

Based on the SCATS data collected for this intersection, the signals currently operate with an A-B-C phase sequence (shown below in Figure 5-6). The intersection runs with filtered right turns from both George Street and Pomeroy Street approaches, with a single dedicated trailing right turn phase from Pomeroy Street (eastern railway bridge).



# Figure 5-4: George Street / Pomeroy Street Current Phase Sequence

With the existing intersection arrangement and signal phasing, future year traffic congestion is caused primarily by filtering right turning traffic. This applies to both Pomeroy Street and George Street traffic, with the growth in traffic from the precinct, plus additional traffic from major external roads like Homebush Bay Drive to the north-west. Filtering traffic rarely finds an opportunity to safely turn, particularly for the west-to-south turn against traffic coming across the railway bridge on Pomeroy Street.

## **Future Intersection Arrangement**

It is understood that Canada Bay Council has plans to upgrade the intersection as follows:


- New dedicated short right turn bay on the west approach (Pomeroy Street)
- New left turn slip lane from the northern approach (George Street) to the eastern departure (Pomeroy Street)
- Changed to a 'single diamond overlap' signal phasing (on Pomeroy Street).

The proposed arrangement produced by Cardno for Canada Bay Council is shown in Figure 5-5.










Source: George St & Pomeroy St Intersection Upgrade Final Concept Design, Cardno 2020 Figure 5-5: George Street / Pomeroy Street Proposed Intersection Layout

It is understood that agreement-in-principle for the planned single diamond overlap phasing has been given by TfNSW. The planned signal phase changes are shown in Figure 5-6, not including conditional movements to allow for pedestrian crossing movements when activated.



Source: Nearmap Figure 5-6:

George Street / Pomeroy Street Proposed Phase Sequence







The proposed arrangement would increase right turning opportunities from Pomeroy Street, resulting in reductions in queues and delays. The new right turn bay on the western approach is achieved by converting one of the existing approach through lanes. This means that the Underwood Road eastbound through traffic (towards the future Metro station) is restricted to a single lane. The modelling of this concept undertaken for this study has identified some residual queueing on the Pomeroy Street approach under 2036 peak traffic demands. Modelling also shows that George Street remains congested due to the retained filter right turn movements.

To support the diamond signal phasing, the existing signal lanterns for the southern and western approaches will need to be upgraded from 3-aspect lanterns to 6-aspect lanterns.

## 5.4.3 Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street

The intersection of Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street experiences similar issues to that of George Street / Pomeroy Street, with long queues caught behind filtering right turning vehicles or traffic on side roads unable to move into queued traffic. Significant congestion and queueing issues were identified in the modelling of Future Year Base scenarios. Two changes were then made to this intersection as a part of the 'Do Minimum' upgrades (see Section 4.4.1), being:

- No Right Turn from Underwood Road to Pomeroy Street during the AM peak hour period.
- Changed lane allocations on the east approach to permit right turn movements from both lanes.

The restriction of the right turn movement improves operations at the intersection in the morning peak, with the right turning vehicles on Underwood Road previously seeing limited safe gaps to turn across within the heavy flow of southbound traffic towards Parramatta Road.

In the modelling, these measures showed that traffic in the area did not reach a stand-still however there were still residual issues caused by queue propagation back from downstream intersections at Parramatta Road. These queuing issues are not able to be resolved without substantial upgrades to the broader road network.

Figure 5-7 shows the ameliorating measures implemented at this intersection.



Source: Nearmap

Figure 5-7: Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street – Road Network Changes







## 5.5 Public Transport Initiatives

The Homebush North precinct relies on the T9 Line and Concord West Train Station. Part of this area is also serviced by buses. The T9 Line provides excellent connections from Hornsby to Concord West and then Gordon via Central Station with the capability to change line at Strathfield Station for access to Parramatta, Central Coast, Blue Mountains and South West, as well as access to the future North Strathfield Metro station.

It is understood that one of the key features of the North Strathfield Metro Station, located around 1km south of Concord West Station, will be a new bus interchange located on Queen Street adjacent to the station. In combination with its closer proximity to major activity generators along Concord Road, it is likely that North Strathfield will become the major transport hub in the vicinity. Concord West Station will have a secondary function.

The proposed public transport initiatives in the area includes new bus routes from North Strathfield to Concord West through the Homebush North precinct along George Street, turning around at Victoria Avenue or King Street. This will provide bus connectivity to the surrounding residential and commercial neighbourhoods, though depending on the ultimate route design may require some encroachment onto the surrounding private property or into the adjacent rail corridor to facilitate bus turnaround movements.

The existing and proposed public transport improvements in the Homebush North precinct are shown in Figure 5-8.



Figure 5-8: Proposed Public Transport Improvements – Homebush North





# 5.6 Active Transport Initiatives

Based on the Strava Heat Maps for the Homebush North precinct, pedestrian activity is relatively low. To encourage an increase in walking and cycling, east-west and south-north pedestrian improvements have been proposed for the residential area on the northern side of the precinct, particularly along Station Avenue. Station Avenue is one of the only crossing points for pedestrians and cyclists across the railway line in the precinct and is used by school students. Despite this, there are no footpaths along Station Avenue, requiring pedestrians to share the roadway with cyclists and vehicles.

The existing infrastructure and proposed active transport needs within the Homebush North precinct are shown in Figure 5-9.




Figure 5-9: Proposed Active Transport Improvements – Homebush North







Improvements to pedestrian network permeability through the proposed Business Park lot near the station is also recommended, with east-west and south-north pedestrian connections through the Park to provide a safe and accessible connection for business park staff and visitors to and from Concord West Train Station. Whilst not shown in Figure 5-9, there would also be benefit in a pedestrian/cyclist connection over the rail line from Yaralla Street into the southern end of the precinct, subject to further discussions with stakeholders.

In terms of cycleways, the precinct is well connected to the local network, with a mostly off-road route along its western edge south towards Homebush and north towards Rhodes. The route is connected to Concord West Station and a railway underpass via local streets within the precinct. Canada Bay Council's draft bike plan has nominated Queen Street as a future north-south cycle route, which aligns with the plans for the North Strathfield Metro Station.

To support the planned future cycle routes, additional connections are proposed by this study on Yaralla Street and Conway Avenue, providing links between the proposed cycle route on Queens Road and the existing cycle routes on Nullawarra Avenue and along the Powells Creek corridor. Victoria Avenue and Wilga Street could be considered as alternative connections.







# 5.7 Parking Initiatives

## 5.7.1 Off Street Parking

The Homebush North precinct includes a train station and a proposed bus route, which provides access to several key destinations. Given this, the area would be suitable for a 'P1' parking supply categorisation as per Section 4.7.2, as shown in Figure 5-10.

Depending on the configuration of the developments within the business precinct, it may be that a single consolidated off-street parking area may be better than individual, development-specific provisions.



Figure 5-10: Proposed Development Parking Rates Category – Homebush North







## 5.7.2 On-Street Parking

With Parramatta Road having permanent parking restrictions and clearways, the parking demand associated with development along Parramatta Road would largely be on its side streets. The development of the Homebush North precinct is unlikely to impact on this parking demand or supply given its distance away from Parramatta Road.

## 5.8 Car Share Initiatives

Two car share pods are currently located near the precinct, with a dedicated bay provided on George Street near Conway Avenue and a second pod located on Queen Street near Concord Avenue (not dedicated). The entire precinct falls within an 800m distance of both car share pods. Car share users within the Homebush North precinct would have to cross the rail line (via the Station Avenue underpass or at the station) to access the northern car share pod. More car share cars/pods more central to the residential development on the western side of the rail line would be beneficial, with potential locations being near Victoria Avenue and King Street.

Figure 5-11 shows the existing car share pods located within and around the Homebush North precinct.



Figure 5-11: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage Area South of Homebush North Precinct







## 5.9 Local Street Considerations

The proposed area to the north of Station Avenue could be further divided, as development occurs, to provide a north-south and an east-west laneway, reducing street block lengths to under 100m.

Similarly, a new road connection from Victoria Avenue public school to George Street and across to King Street, aligned with the Station overpass and the interface between the business park and residential area, would also be beneficial, if development staging permits.







# 6. HOMEBUSH SOUTH PRECINCT

# 6.1 Uplift Development Summary

The proposed redevelopment in the Homebush South precinct would result in:

- 4,399 medium density residential dwellings
- 37,333m<sup>2</sup> GFA of retail
- 65,769m<sup>2</sup> GFA of commercial.

Most of the Homebush South Precinct will transition into (B4) Mixed Use premises with residential uses retained north of the rail line but as (R3) Medium Density residential. The proposed land rezoning is shown in Figure 6-1.



Source: Parramatta Road Transformation Homebush - RobertsDay










# 6.2 Traffic Generation

Figure 6-2 shows the STFM zone (967) which covers most of the Homebush South precinct.



Source: Google Maps

## Figure 6-2: Homebush South Precinct - STFM Zones

The traffic generation forecast by the STFM for the Homebush South zone is shown in Table 6-1.


Scenario	Traffic OUT (veh)	Traffic IN (veh)	Total TWO-WAY (veh)		
AM 2-Hour					
2019 AM	567	280	847		
2026 AM No Dev	354	214	568 ( <b>-279</b> )		
2026 AM with Dev	993	564	1,557 (+710)		
2036 AM No Dev	350	219	569 (- <mark>278</mark> )		
2036 AM with Dev	1,015	605	1,620 (+773)		
PM 2-Hour					
2019 PM	379	606	985		
2026 PM No Dev	283	384	667 ( <mark>-318</mark> )		
2026 PM with Dev	732	1,079	1,811 (+826)		
2036 PM No Dev	288	384	672 (- <mark>313</mark> )		
2036 PM with Dev	777	1,110	1,887 (+902)		

#### Table 6-1: Homebush South Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019

Similar to the Homebush North precinct, the STFM shows some decline in AM peak demand, presumably due to modal shift, but without a commensurate reduction in PM peak traffic growth.









## 6.3 Integrated Strategic Response


The proposed development uplift plus background traffic growth has triggered the need for a set of network road upgrades as well as active transport and public transport improvements to cater for future travel demands. These improvements have considered:


- New pedestrian connections and footpaths
- New cycleway connections
- Parking provision strategies and restrictions
- More car share
- Local street network changes.

The Homebush South integrated strategic response is shown in Figure 6-3.















## 6.4 Road Network Upgrades

## 6.4.1 General

This section of Parramatta Road West of the M4 interchange is heavily constrained with the Motorway to the north and the rail line to the south. This means that north-south traffic heading towards or across Parramatta Road is funnelled into a few crossing points, generally high turning demands at a few key intersections, generating significant pinch points by 2036.

The fundamental issue with providing major intersection upgrades to 'release' these pinch points is that it will:

- Add more traffic to the queues already in Parramatta Road
- Encourage even more traffic to pass through the north-south streets in the area, contrary to their residential functions.

Instead, a series of pinch point management upgrades have been proposed.







### 6.4.2 Parramatta Road / Bridge Road

The traffic issues at Bridge Road are heavily influenced by the performance of Parramatta Road. While the intersection does approach capacity due to the projected traffic growth in the precinct and surrounds, the delays are fundamentally linked to blockages on Parramatta Road.

To better manage this, the following actions are proposed:

- Peak hour parking restrictions: To facilitate greater queueing capacity and better access to both lanes, it is proposed that AM peak hour parking restrictions are extended along the northbound carriageway of Bridge Road north of Loftus Crescent for 100m beyond the existing No Stopping restrictions
- Departure Channelisation: For improved traffic releases from Bridge Road onto Parramatta Road during each signal cycle, it is proposed that the existing painted median island be converted into a channelised departure lane. Under this scheme, Parramatta Road traffic would be realigned to the existing two traffic lanes to ensure that even in heavily congested conditions queues on Parramatta Road will not fully block right turns out from Bridge Road. This may require the installation of a 'seagull' traffic island to formalise the channelisation.

The locations of these proposed changes are shown in Figure 6-4.



Source: Nearmap

#### Figure 6-4: Proposed Changes - Parramatta Road / Bridge Road

Congestion issues at this location are mostly caused by queues eastbound on Parramatta Road extending through the Bridge Road intersection. As a result, vehicles on Bridge Road sometimes have no space to turn onto Parramatta Road eastbound on a green signal, causing extensive delays and queues for Bridge Road northbound. It is for this reason that the 'channelisation' is proposed; to ensure a minimum level of queuing capacity on the departure side of the intersection and to facilitate a more regular and safer release of vehicles out of the Bridge Road right turn.

As the capacity of the intersection is limited by queuing capacity on Parramatta Road, upgrades aimed at increasing capacity on Bridge Road such as parking restrictions or road widening will only be effective after the congestion on Parramatta Road is resolved.







## 6.4.3 Parramatta Road / Knight Street

The intersection of Parramatta Road / Knight Street currently has a right turn restriction from Parramatta Road (west) into Knight Street (south). Access to the catchment south of Parramatta Road by eastbound traffic is via the nearby right turn into Station Street. However, this arrangement reaches capacity with the forecast increases in traffic on Parramatta Road. As the Station Street intersection is uncontrolled, drivers attempting to turn right turn both into and out of this side street are unable to find gaps in opposing traffic flows. This leads to extensive queuing and congestion.

To address this, the following actions are proposed:

- New Right Turn Bay: Add a new 50m lane right turn bay from Parramatta Road to Knight Street. If existing road reserve and property boundary limitations prevent the widening of the road, the westbound merge departure lane could be used to provide sufficient road width. This proposed shifts the right turn access from the uncontrolled Station Street turn to the new signalised Knight Street turn
- Signal Phasing Changes: Adjust the signal phasing to allow a dedicated right turn phase for traffic turning from Parramatta Road to Knight Street.
- Convert Station Street to Left-in, Left-out (LILO): Add new 'No Right Turn' restrictions for the existing uncontrolled Parramatta Road / Station Street intersection. This measure also includes the removal of the existing right turn bay on Parramatta Road, and closure of the median break.

The demand for right turns out of the Homebush South catchment into Parramatta Road in the future is too high to be reasonably and safely supported by a priority intersection.



The proposed upgrades are shown in Figure 6-5.

Source: Nearmap


#### Figure 6-5: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – Parramatta Road / Knight Street

A high-level concept of the Parramatta Road / Knight Street intersection is shown in Figure 6-6 with further detail provided in in **Appendix A**. The design of the intersection is only indicative at this stage, and dependent upon detailed investigation of site opportunities and constraints.









Source: Nearmap

Figure 6-6: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – Parramatta Road / Knight Street Concept








## 6.4.4 Parramatta Road / George Street / Nipper Street

This intersection has been identified as a bottleneck for westbound traffic, with the traffic demand into the Bakehouse Quarter and North Strathfield resulting in queues often overflowing the existing short right turn bay. However, the geometric constraints at the site precluded the implementation of significant upgrades (e.g. providing an additional right turn bay), with large residential properties on the south side of the road and the nearby railway bridge underpass to the east.

The Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment by Turnbull Engineering, provided an upgrade concept that would increase the capacity of the Parramatta Road / George Street / Nipper Street intersection via an extended westbound right turn bay from 25m long to 55m long for turns from Parramatta Road into George Street. This extension would encroach upon the road area currently used for the right-turn bay from Parramatta Road to Columbia Lane, so alternative accesses options would have to be explored for that movement. The upgrade proposal is shown in Figure 6-7.



Source: Nearmap

#### Figure 6-7: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – Parramatta Road / George Street







## 6.5 Public Transport Initiatives

The Homebush South precinct is serviced by the T1 and T2 train lines through Homebush Train Station. The eastern part of this precinct is close to Parramatta Road and Underwood Road services to major transport hubs including Burwood, Parramatta and Strathfield. As shown in Figure 6-8 the western end of the Homebush South precinct is currently poorly serviced with no existing stops and would benefit from additional bus stops used by new or extended (existing) bus services as it redevelops. Given the mixed use and residential density uplift in this area, additional or extended bus routes, and additional stops should be introduced into the Underwood Road to Bridge Road section of Parramatta Road, in consultation with TfNSW.



Figure 6-8: Homebush South Precinct, Public Transport Coverage Map







## 6.6 Active Transport Initiatives

To encourage an increase in walking and cycling as the area redevelops, east-west and north-south pedestrian links have been proposed within the residential and mixed-use redevelopment areas at the eastern and western ends of the precinct.

There is an on-road cycling route running through the western side of the precinct connecting between Flemington and Olympic Park. This study is proposing a shared path along the route for consistency with other sections of the Bay to Bay Cycle and Walkway.

There is also a cycleway that terminates at Ismay Park on the eastern side of the precinct. An additional link across Parramatta Road is being proposed to connect between Ismay Park and Homebush Stadium, and an additional route is proposed to connect the existing cycle route on Bridge Route and Strathfield Station via The Crescent, Beresford Road, Elva Street and Albert Street.

The existing infrastructure and proposed active transport needs are shown in Figure 6-9.



Figure 6-9: Proposed Active Transport Improvements







# 6.7 Parking Initiatives

## 6.7.1 Off-Street Parking

The Homebush South precinct has a very good coverage of public transport services at its eastern end in proximity to the T1 and T2 Train Line and Homebush Station. However, the number of destinations that can be reached from these services are limited and the 'P2' development parking rate category (see Section 4.7.2) has been recommended for the eastern area. The western area, however, has been categorised as 'P3' because it has relatively poor access to public transport, unless this can be addressed through TfNSW.

The proposed parking changes for the Homebush South precinct are shown in Figure 6-10.



Figure 6-10: Proposed Development Parking Rates Category – Homebush South

## 6.7.2 On-Street Parking

An extension of AM peak hour parking restrictions has been recommended for the northbound carriageway on Bridge Road as a road network improvement initiative.

Developments in the Homebush South precinct will result in an increased demand for on-street parking on side streets such as Bridge Road, Crane Street, Knight Street and Station Street etc. There is limited spare capacity for this parking demand and redevelopment will likely see the need for more on-street parking restrictions in the precinct.







## 6.8 Car Share Initiatives

There are a number of existing car share pods located within and around the Homebush South precinct. Of these, the two located on George Street near the Bakehouse Quarter are provided with dedicated parking bays by Canada Bay Council.

The precinct is well-serviced by car share pods, with the entirety of the new development falling within 800m radius of one of the existing car share pods, and pods provided on both sides of Parramatta Road. Redevelopment of the precinct provides an opportunity to provide more dedicated parking bays for car share vehicles which rely on on-street parking, particularly in light of the anticipated increase in competition for on-street parking at the western end of the precinct.

Figure 6-11 shows the existing car share pods located within and around the Homebush South precinct.




Figure 6-11: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage Area West of Homebush South Precinct


## 6.9 Local Street Considerations

The street network to the south of Parramatta Road is affected by having only two rail crossing points at Bridge Road and Loftus Crescent. However, additional crossings would generate significant issues in streets south of the rail line with additional traffic on them that was not contemplated by fronting residents.

The lack of north-south pedestrian movement permeability through the mixed-use area south of Parramatta Road from the residential uplift area immediately south should however be rectified with the provision of north-south pedestrian links between Loftus Lane and Parramatta Road as redevelopment occurs.







# 7. BURWOOD - CONCORD PRECINCT

# 7.1 Uplift Development Summary

The proposed redevelopment in the Burwood - Concord precinct would result in:

- 4,694 medium density residential dwellings
- 15,832m<sup>2</sup> GFA of retail
- 50,810m<sup>2</sup> GFA of commercial.

The Burwood - Concord Precinct is centred on Burwood Road from the northern edge of the existing Burwood Town Centre across Parramatta Road to the proposed Burwood North Metro Station. Land zoned B4 Mixed Use is proposed adjacent to Burwood Road and Parramatta Road, with the surrounding existing low density residential area converted into R3 Medium Density Residential. Most residential and mixed-use developments are located within convenient walking distance to the proposed Burwood North Metro Station and bus stops. The proposed land uses are shown in Figure 7-1.




Source: Nearmap

Figure 7-1 Burwood – Concord Precinct Proposed Rezoning Areas










# 7.2 Traffic Generation

Figure 7-2 shows the STFM zones which are associated with the Burwood - Concord precinct (Zones 666, 910 and 9269).



Source: Google Maps

#### Figure 7-2: Burwood - Concord Precinct STFM Zones

The total traffic generation from the STFM for the zones within the Burwood - Concord precinct is summarised in Table 7-1. The coarseness of the STFM zoning system means that the zones include adjacent areas although the redevelopment potential of these areas is limited, and zone-based traffic growth is mostly associated with the precinct.

Scenario	Traffic OUT (veh)	Traffic IN (veh)	Total TWO-WAY (veh)	
AM 2-Hour				
2019 AM	1,018	1,403	2,421	
2026 AM No Dev	836	1,114	1,950 (-471)	
2026 AM with Dev	1,812	1,565	3,377 (+956)	
2036 AM No Dev	986	1,655	2,641 (-220)	
2036 AM with Dev	2,049	2,349	4,398 (+1,977)	
PM 2-Hour				
2019 PM	1,676	1,363	3,039	
2026 PM No Dev	1,339	1,094	2,433 (-606)	
2026 PM with Dev	1,947	2,379	4,326 (+1,287)	
2036 PM No Dev	1,950	1,252	3,202 (+163)	
2036 PM with Dev	2,834	2,642	5,476 (+2,437)	

#### Table 7-1: Burwood Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019

There are some reductions in locally genetated traffic in the AM peak to 2036 and in the 2026 PM peak, most likely assciated with modal shift from existing trips. The 2036 AM and PM peaks with the precintct development show an 80% increase in locally-generated traffic.







## 7.3 Integrated Strategic Response

The proposed land rezoning as well as background traffic growth have triggered the need for a set of road network upgrades as well as active transport and public transport improvements to cater for future travel demands within Burwood - Concord precinct. The following measures have been considered:

- Road network upgrades, including new and upgraded traffic signals
- New pedestrian connections and footpaths
- New cycleway connections
- Parking provision strategies and restrictions
- Car share initiatives
- Local street network changes.

The Burwood - Concord integrated strategic response is shown in Figure 7-3.










Figure 7-3: Burwood - Concord Precinct – Integrated Transport Strategic Response







# 7.4 Road Network Upgrades

## 7.4.1 General

Burwood Road is the primary traffic route through the precinct. It is a 'main street' where its 'place' function is dominant over its movement function in many parts. Notwithstanding this, Burwood Road carries heavy volumes of traffic and buses.

By 2036, and with reliance on the Burwood Road / Parramatta Road intersection for access into / out of the precinct, Burwood Road will see significant congestion with long queues to the north and the south. These queues, due to Parramatta Road capacity limitations, will encourage traffic to use side streets to 'filter' towards Parramatta Road elsewhere. The consequences include more turning traffic at intersections with Burwood Road south of Parramatta Road, which also generate queues and congestion.

The inability to 'clear' blockages on Parramatta Road means that the best that can be achieved on approaching roads to it, is to better manage queues and to better allow for turning movements between Burwood Road and the redevelopment precinct either side.

The following initiatives have been proposed on this basis.

#### 7.4.2 Burwood Road between Park Avenue and Parramatta Road

The capacity of Burwood Road and its intersection with Parramatta Road is a key contributor to congestion within the Burwood Precinct, with some sections of the road reaching up to 1,000 vph in each direction during the 2036 peak periods. Due to the inter-relationship between Burwood Road and Shaftesbury Road (because of the right turn restrictions at Parramatta Road / Burwood Road), traffic on side streets through the precinct are often blocked from turning out due to queues on Burwood Road. To address this, the following actions are proposed:

 Peak hour parking restrictions: Restricted parking during peak hour periods along Burwood Road between Park Avenue and Parramatta Road. This is proposed as a 500m long section northbound in the AM peak and southbound in the PM peak. This additional traffic capacity reduces queue lengths and minimises consequential impacts at side streets.

This section of Burwood Road is characterised by independent commercial businesses, including medical, education, real estate agencies, accountants and laundromats. While there is some level of retail and cafes, these land uses are less prominent in the northern section of Burwood Road compared to Burwood Road south of Park Avenue.

The introduction of parking restrictions would inevitably have some impact on nearby businesses if implemented in the short term. However, these restrictions would not be needed for many years and would be aligned with significant redevelopment of the precinct, which would be expected to completely change the shop types and their reliance on 'quick' drop in trade which feeds off on proximate street parking.

- Modified traffic calming Speed hump south of Milton Street: A speed hump is located on Burwood Road south of Milton Street, with supporting kerb blisters. If parking restrictions extend through the traffic calming device, then it would need to be modified. This could be achieved with speed cushions in each travel lane
- Modified traffic calming Meryla Street Pedestrian Crossing: A pedestrian crossing was installed in 2019 at the intersection of Burwood Road and Meryla Street (relocated from the north of Meryla Street). At the same time, a raised threshold was added to the intersection of Burwood Road / Meryla Street for traffic calming purposes.







If parking restrictions extend through the intersection, then the zebra crossing would need to be replaced by a nearby signalised crossings to facilitate the existing pedestrian movements across Burwood Road and to accommodate the cycling route between Comer Street and Meryla Street. This could take the form of either a mid-block signalised crossing or a full signalised intersection upgrade at Meryla Street or Comer Street with shared pedestrian / cyclist crossings.

The proposed upgrades are shown in Figure 7-4.



Source: Sixmaps
Figure 7-4: Proposed Upgrades along Burwood Road

Other important considerations for Burwood Road include:

- Pedestrian crossing impacts: Whilst the parking restrictions would not be needed until substantial redevelopment has occurred, further investigations into signalised mid-block crossing opportunities would need to be undertaken in parallel with the implementation of restrictions at that time, in order to maintain existing levels of cross-street pedestrian accessibility. One opportunity would be signalising the intersection of Burwood Road / Milton Street, which would service both pedestrians and vehicles in the precinct.
- Burwood Road 'Place' considerations: The trade-off between Movement and Place is a critical consideration for Council. Prioritising movement along the Burwood corridor will reduce the expected levels of congestion, queueing and delays during peak periods but needs to be balanced against the Place-related benefits of a more narrow, local street environment. It is recognised that Burwood Road will have an increasingly important Place function between the Burwood Centre and the new Metro Station, and that the introduction of parking restrictions (even peak hour ones) would in some way compromise the safety and amenity of pedestrians due to the wider effective roadway. Burwood Road would distinctly split the precinct in two, relying on isolated links to provide a sense of connection. The peak period removal of parking on one side of the road would also create a visually wider road environment along Burwood Road, contrary to its emerging sense of Place.








## 7.4.3 Burwood Road / Comer Street

The potential removal of the pedestrian zebra crossing across Burwood Road to accommodate peak hour parking restrictions would necessitate a replacement pedestrian crossing facility. This could take the form of new traffic signals at Meryla Street or Comer Street.

It is understood that a new signalised intersection will have to meet TfNSW signal warrants and adhere to geometric spacing requirements between intersections. In consideration of this, Comer Street was deemed to be the more suitable of the two possible locations for the following reasons:

- Higher traffic volumes, being one of the main links between the western side of the precinct and Burwood Road and servicing educational facilities like the Southern Cross Catholic School and MLC Junior School
- Connecting to the pick-up and drop-off facilities in the MLC School Car Park Britannia Avenue entrance
- Being a direct connection across Burwood Road for the cycle route along Neich Parade, Comer Street and Meryla Street, with shared pedestrian/cyclist crossings
- Located at a greater distance from existing upstream/downstream traffic signals (around 200m from Wilga Street, compared to 120m for Meryla Street).

A concept layout for a possible signalised configuration is shown in Figure 7-5, reflecting the arrangement that has been modelled in the network-wide assessment.



Source: Nearmap










## 7.4.4 Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street

The intersection of Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street is a critical pinch point in the Burwood precinct due its staggered signalised intersections. To address this, the following action is proposed.

#### Signal Phase Changes

Based on the SCATS data collected for this intersection, the signals currently operate with an A-D-E-F phase sequence (shown below in Figure 7-6). Phase E1 and Phase E2 are variable phases operating as the transition between Phase D and Phase F based on the demand for right turns on Burwood Road.




Source: Sixmaps

#### Figure 7-6: Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street Current Phase Sequence

Traffic congestion issues arise by 2036 due to right turning traffic which filters during Phase A. With the growth in traffic along Burwood Road, filtering traffic rarely finds a gap to turn with three or four right turning vehicles on Burwood Road held at the internal stop lines between the two side roads.

With the current phase sequence, Phase D only services the vehicles waiting within the intersection, a maximum of around four vehicles. There are no other phases which permit a continuous protected right turn movement through the intersection. Drivers therefore have to wait multiple phases to complete a simple right turn movement. This issue is exacerbated by traffic turning out of Park Avenue or Wilga Street during Phase F. These vehicles will often fill up the internal waiting area prior to Phase A, such that right turners from Burwood Road have to wait before the intersection.

To address this, the phase sequence is proposed to be changed to allow additional and safer opportunities for right turning traffic on Burwood Road. The proposed changes are shown in Figure 7-7, not including the conditional movements to allow for pedestrian crossing actuations.



Source: Sixmaps

Figure 7-7: Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street - Proposed Phase Sequence







The inclusion of optional Phases B and C facilitates a continuous right turn movement on Burwood Road without dependency on filtering but would require Phase B and Phase C to operate on a mutually exclusive basis. Phase D and Phase E (previously Phase E1 and E2 respectively) are separate phases and will operate independently.

This phase sequence will not require any additional signal infrastructure.

It is acknowledged that one of the consequences of this change is the removal of the 'cross' movement phase between Park Avenue and Wilga Street. This movement services traffic travelling between nearby residential and the Burwood Westfield Shopping Centre via Wilga Street.

Other measures such as turn restrictions at the intersection could also be considered but would have to take into account the impacts to displaced traffic and avoid onerous redirection routes and circuitous travel through local streets and priority intersections.

Better and more east-west crossing opportunities across the Burwood Precinct should be considered as the precinct develops.







### 7.4.5 Burwood Road / Burton Street


The roundabout of Burwood Road and Burton Street is seen as a key pinch point in 2036 modelling. This intersection controls queueing in the local network north of Parramatta Road. The proposed Metro station will be located near this intersection and is expected to greatly increase both vehicle and pedestrian movements nearby, with bus interchange and shelters located on Burwood Road and Kiss and Ride zones on Burton Street.

The Sydney Metro West EIS (Chapter 11) identifies that the intersection of Burwood Road and Burton Street should be signalised as a part of the Metro project, providing safer pedestrian crossing facilities near the future station. The traffic upgrade proposal in this report is consistent with Metro plan.

#### **New Traffic Signals**

The existing roundabout at this intersection is proposed to be upgraded to traffic signals, with pedestrian crossings on all approaches. Traffic signals will allow greater control over traffic pattern changes across the day and better queue management. It will provide the opportunity for coordination with other signals at Parramatta Road and at Gipps Street for integrated queue management.

A concept layout is shown in Figure 7-8, reflecting the modelled arrangement. This upgrade can generally be achieved within the existing road reserve with some minor re-alignments to kerbs. There may be opportunity for further enhancements (e.g. dedicated turning bays) depending on the availability of the surrounding land as it develops, particularly at the Metro site.



The concept drawings can be found in **Appendix A**.

Source: Nearmap

Figure 7-8: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – Burwood Road / Burton Street Concept







### 7.4.6 Parramatta Road / Loftus Street

The intersection of Parramatta Road / Loftus Street is located on the corner of Concord Oval and is currently unsignalised. As a part of the recent intersection improvements at Parramatta Road and Shaftesbury Road (undertaken by TfNSW in March 2021), the Loftus Street approach was converted from a Give Way to a STOP priority.

However, Loftus Street also provides an alternative route through the area which bypasses the congestion on Burwood Road and particularly from the Burwood Road / Burton Street roundabout. The change to STOP control in combination with the heavy future traffic flows on Parramatta Road was shown in the year 2036 modelling to result in long delays for the left turn from Loftus Street onto Parramatta Road, with drivers waiting a long time before finding a gap in traffic. The proximity of this intersection to the frequently used Shaftesbury Road intersection also resulted in weaving movements across multiple lanes within a short distance, which is a safety concern.

Despite this, the modelling indicated that the attractiveness of Loftus Street was such that up to 1,000 vph were expected to use it. While this level of traffic may not be actually realised in reality it reflects that Loftus Street is a logical rat run to avoid Burwood Road congestion.

Potential measures to control this behaviour could include:

- Traffic calming: along Loftus Street to slow down traffic and discourage through traffic use in the form of speed humps or slow points
- Threshold treatments: at the entrances to Loftus Street to highlight a changed road environment, more orientated to local traffic and less to through trips. These could take the form of narrow flattop road humps with landscaped kerb blisters
- One-way Loftus Street: another option which for consideration is the conversion of Loftus Street to one-way northbound between Burton Street and Parramatta Road, preventing all southbound traffic accessing Parramatta Road. This would increase turning movement pressure and congestion at intersections on Parramatta Road but would improve street safety amenity and ease of walking and cycling along the laneway as redevelopment occurs.

The Sydney Metro EIS (Chapter 11) identifies a number of new features along Loftus Street, including a pedestrian crossing on the corner of Burton Street and Loftus Street, active uses at ground level and other public domain improvements along the streets adjacent to the Metro site. These facilitate enhancements to accessibility and amenity for pedestrians and cyclists. It is considered that any deterrence of traffic from using Loftus Street as a heavy through road would be beneficial and consistent with the Metro plans for the area, with the area between the Metro site and Concord Oval transitioning to a great 'Place' role over a 'Movement' role.

Traffic discouraged from using from Loftus Street will most likely use Burwood Road as the main route to access Parramatta Road, with increased permeability through the Metro site in the form of new laneways between Burwood Road and Loftus Street. While this may have the effect of concentrating traffic next to the planned Metro station, the signalised arrangement of Burwood Road / Burton Street is expected to be capable of accommodating the forecast additional traffic.







## 7.4.7 Gipps Street / Broughton Street

The intersection of Gipps Street / Broughton Street is not directly located within or on the boundary of the Burwood – Concord Precinct. However, due to its proximity and congestion-related network rerouting, it is affected by the growth in traffic within the precinct.

#### Signal Phase Changes

Based on the SCATS data collected for this intersection, the signals currently operate with an A-B-C phase sequence (shown below in Figure 7-9). The intersection runs with filtered right turns on both Gipps Street and Broughton Street, with a single dedicated trailing right turn phase from Gipps Street (east). There is a No Right Turn restriction from Gipps Street (west) to Broughton Street (south).



Source: Nearmap
Figure 7-9: Gipps Street / Broughton Street Current Phase Sequence

Traffic queues are seen in the modelling to develop by 2036 due to filtering right turning traffic on both the Gipps Street and the Broughton Street approaches. While the volume of right turns is not extremely high, the filtering traffic rarely finds an opportunity to turn against the oncoming traffic flow, with long delays and queues. Due to the intersection configuration, the queues end up blocking off the heavier through and left turning traffic.

In addition to the above, it is understood that TfNSW has recently made improvements to pedestrian facilities at the traffic signals, incorporating red-arrow pedestrian protection lanterns into the signal arrangements and shared pedestrian-cyclist crossings. Given the nearby parks, schools and cyclist facilities, this reinforces an intention to prioritise pedestrian and cyclist amenity and safety at the intersection.

To address the expected traffic issues at this location, it is proposed to ban the northbound right turn from Broughton Street to Gipps Street. There are numerous pre-turn and post-turn alternative routes, and the impact of displaced traffic is shown in the modelling to not be significant, with the majority of traffic using Burwood Road.

Any turn restrictions would be expected to be accompanied by a Traffic Management Plan to assess the specific local impacts of any redirected traffic.







## 7.5 Public Transport Initiatives

The Burwood – Concord precinct includes the future Burwood North Metro Station, located on the corner of Burwood Road and Parramatta Road. The future Metro West line will provide excellent public transport connectivity to Parramatta, Sydney CBD, Bankstown and northwest Sydney. The Metro station is planned to have pedestrian accesses on both the northern and southern side of Parramatta Road, connected via a public underground pedestrian link. The Metro project also includes new bus stops along Burwood Road between Paramatta Road and Burton Street.

Both the northern and southern parts of this precinct are well serviced by Burwood Road and Parramatta Road buses which connect to surrounding major transport hubs including Burwood, Parramatta, Strathfield and the Sydney CBD.

The southern half of the precinct is within an 800m walk of Burwood Train Station, which overlaps the 800m walk catchment of the future Burwood North Metro Station.

The existing and planned public transport facilities in the Burwood – Concord precinct are shown in Figure 7-10.

It would be reasonable to expect that as the precinct redevelops and demand for public transport increases, that TfNSW would introduce new services and stops along Park Road and along Shaftsbury Road, potentially anchored by the proposed Metro Station.



Figure 7-10: Burwood Precinct – Public Transport Coverage







## 7.6 Active Transport Initiatives

To encourage increased walking and cycling to, from and within this precinct, east-west and northsouth pedestrian links have been proposed for the residential and mixed-use areas east and west of the precinct. The block between Milton Street and Meryla Street is still quite large and could be divided further by local laneways as redevelopment occurs.

Additional cycle routes are proposed along the following:

- North side of Burwood Park to connect the existing cycle routes on Neich Parade and Grantham Street
  and to form a more direct east-west route through the precinct, with benefits for regional connectivity
  between Burwood, Strathfield and Homebush centres.
- Along Burton Street, between Broughton Street and Loftus Street. This aims to connect the new Burwood Metro Station and surrounding precinct (including the new Concord Oval) with the existing cycle network via the off-road cycleway on Broughton Street.
- Along Parramatta Road, between Neich Parade and Burwood Road. With Neich Parade being the premier north-south cycle route within the precinct, an enhancement of route connectivity to and from the existing facilities links the route between Park Road and Neich Parade, improving cycling options around the proposed Metro Station.

Burwood North Metro Station has planned four laneways, pedestrian footpaths and shared zones around the Metro station and proposes an underground pedestrian tunnel crossing Parramatta Road (to be accessible during Metro operating hours). The laneways and pedestrian tunnels provide pedestrian links across Parramatta Road and between Parramatta Road and Burton Street as part of the Burwood North Metro station structure plan.

Legend Precinct Area Public Transport Facilities Burwood Metro Station Land Use Residential Mixed Use P1 Parking Rate Parking Restrictions natta Road uture Burton Street Plaza Active Transport Facilities Existing Cycle Route Planned Cycle Route Proposed Cycle Route Improve Pedestrian Facilities Planned Shared Zone

The existing infrastructure and proposed active transport needs are shown in Figure 7-11.

Figure 7-11: Proposed Active Transport Improvements







# 7.7 Parking Initiatives

## 7.7.1 Off-Street Development Parking Rates

The Burwood - Concord precinct has excellent public transport coverage, with most of the precinct within a reasonable walking distance of Burwood Train Station and high frequency bus routes along Burwood Road. In conjunction with the incoming Metro station on the northern side of the precinct, the entire precinct could be subject to the 'P1' parking category (see Section 4.7.2) with maximum parking rates used rather than minimum rates and including the potential for unbundled parking.

## 7.7.2 On-Street Parking

Parking restrictions have been recommended along Burwood Road, northbound in the AM peak and southbound in the PM peak, as shown in Figure 7-12.

Developments in the Burwood - Concord precinct will result in an increased demand for on-street parking on side streets such as Shaftesbury Road, Meryla Street, and Park Road. Additionally, on-street restrictions are likely to be needed in these locations as development occurs.

The proposed traffic facilities near Burwood North Metro Station (bus stops, kiss and ride zones), and the signalised upgrade at Burwood Road / Burton Street will require the removal of some on-street parking. This will result in a loss of around 34 on-street parking spaces on Burwood Road and Burton Street.



Figure 7-12: Proposed Parking Initiatives







## 7.8 Car Share Initiatives

There is an existing car share pod located on Neich Parade near Comer Street, with a dedicated bay provided by Burwood Council. There are also additional facilities located to the south near Burwood Train Station.

While the majority of the precinct lies within an 800m radius of the Neich Parade car share pod, the precinct would benefit from additional centrally located car share pods, given the proposed mixed use density along Burwood Road and Parramatta Road, the future Metro Station and the proposed, lower development parking rates. Side streets off Burwood Road are opportune locations for new facilities, including Milton Street and Burton Street.

Furthermore, the activity levels surrounding the Westfield Shopping Centre could be supported through the provision of car share facilities within the shopping centre car park. Dedicated bays would have to be provided in coordination with Westfield's management and would likely be subject to opening hours of the car park.



Figure 7-13 shows the existing car share pods located within and around the Burwood precinct.

Figure 7-13: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage within the Burwood North Precinct

## 7.9 Local Street Network Changes

The blocks south of Milton Street either side of Burwood Road have poor east-west traffic permeability. Opportunities exist to introduce a finer grained street network in these areas to improve traffic circulation and access as redevelopment occurs.







# 8. KINGS BAY PRECINCT

# 8.1 Uplift Development Summary

The proposed redevelopment in the Kings Bay precinct would result in:

- 3,293 medium density residential dwellings
- 20,450m<sup>2</sup> GFA of retail development
- 6,935m<sup>2</sup> GFA of commercial development.

The Kings Bay Precinct is proposed to form a new urban village between Parramatta Road and Queens Road, with a central core of (B4) Mixed Use around Spencer Street. The scheme comprises a shopping precinct with grocery stores and destination retail. The surrounding residential land use is retained but with higher densities than the existing development. Most of the proposed residential and mixed use land is located within walkable distances to bus routes. The proposed land use map for the Kings Bay precinct is shown in Figure 8-1.



Source: Nearmap

Figure 8-1: Kings Bay Precinct Proposed Rezoning Areas







# 8.2 Traffic Generation

Figure 8-2 shows the STFM zones which are associated with the Kings Bay precinct (Zones 12, 13 and 744).



Source: Google Maps

### Figure 8-2: Kings Bay Precinct – Associated STFM Zones

The total traffic generation from the STFM for the zones within the Kings Bay precinct and its growth from 2019 is summarised in Table 8-1.

Scenario	Traffic OUT (veh)	Traffic IN (veh)	Total TWO-WAY (veh)
AM 2-Hour	· · · · ·		
2019 AM	2,118	2,585	4,703
2026 AM No Dev	2,090	2,362	4,452 (- <mark>251</mark> )
2026 AM with Dev	2,986	2,833	5,819 (+1,116)
2036 AM No Dev	2,104	2,435	4,539 (-164)
2036 AM with Dev	3,263	3,183	6,446 (+1,743)
PM 2-Hour			
2019 PM	2,590	2,155	4,745
2026 PM No Dev	2,357	2,114	4,471 (-274)
2026 PM with Dev	2,854	3,086	5,940 (+1,195)
2036 PM No Dev	2,475	2,292	4,767 (+22)
2036 PM with Dev	3,205	3,458	6,663 (+1,918)

### Table 8-1: Kings Bay Traffic Generation and Growth from 2019

The STFM shows about a 37% increase in the AM peak traffic and a 40% increase in PM peak traffic between 2019 and 2036 with the development of the precinct.







## 8.3 Integrated Strategic Response

The combination of local development traffic and through traffic growth to 2036 has triggered the need for a set of road network upgrades and integrated transport strategies to cater for the future travel demands within Kings Bay precinct. The following measures have been considered:


- New pedestrian connections and footpaths
- New cycleway connections
- A new mid-block pedestrian and cyclist crossing opportunity across Parramatta Road
- Parking provision strategies and restrictions
- Car share pods initiatives
- Local street network changes.

The Kings Bay precinct integrated transport strategic response is shown Figure 8-3.













## 8.4 Road Network Upgrades

### 8.4.1 Harris Road

Harris Road is a key link in the road network, servicing a large residential catchment and multiple schools between Lyons Road and Parramatta Road. The road is also used by a number of bus services travelling to Five Dock and beyond.

Harris Road is the main north-south road passing through the Kings Bay redevelopment precinct. Due to increased traffic by 2036, delays and queues along Harris Road (due to Parramatta Road) cause significant impacts to its intersecting east-west road such as Queens Road.

To address this, the following actions are proposed:

New peak hour parking restrictions: Sections of Harris Road already feature peak hour parking restrictions. It is proposed that these restrictions eventually be extended to the entire length of Harris Road between Queens Road and Parramatta Road, a section which with carries over 1,000 vph in 2036. This initiative will affect the existing timed No Parking zone on the northbound kerbside which supports Rosebank College as a pick-up and drop-off (PUDO) zone. While this is an important facility for the school, the friction of high-frequency kerbside parking manoeuvres causes severe disruptions to traffic flows.

With the redevelopment of the precinct, there is an opportunity to relocate the school PUDO zone to the western side of the school on a new eastern extension of Spencer Street. Any relocations would be expected to be undertaken in coordination with the College administration, and would only be required once enough redevelopment has occurred (closer to 2036) such that the Spencer Street extension is available.


Short turning bay on Queens Road: The Queens Road westbound approach at the Harris Road / Queens Road intersection consistently experiences flows and exceeding 1,000 vehicles, per hour by 2036, with long queues and delays caused by the single-lane approach to the signals (due to the narrow carriageway), and the filtering of right turning vehicles at the intersection. As part of the redevelopment of the surrounding properties, the roadway at this location could be widened to allow for a short turning bay for right turning vehicles to allow them to store clear of the heavier through traffic flows. The road widening will require property acquisition.

The proposed upgrades are shown in Figure 8-4.










#### Source: Sixmaps

#### Figure 8-4: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – Harris Road

A concept of the Harris Road / Queens Road intersection is shown in Figure 8-5. Full concept drawings can be found in **Appendix A**.



Source: Nearmap

Figure 8-5: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – Harris Road / Queens Road Concept





## 8.4.2 New Pedestrian and Cyclist Mid-block Crossings

PRCUTS originally envisioned a number of traffic interventions along Parramatta Road and Queens Street near the Kings Bay Precinct, including the signalisation of the Regatta Road and William Street intersections. In the Phase 2 Rapid Intersection Assessment (2022) undertaken by Turnbull Engineering, these interventions were reviewed and updated.

The interventions from the Turnbull Engineering work for the Kings Bay Precinct and which have been adopted in this study are:

- A new mid-block crossing on Parramatta Road in vicinity of Regatta Road and William Street
- A new mid-block crossing on Queen Street between Bayview Road and Regatta Road.

The redevelopment of the precinct provides an opportunity to improve pedestrian and cyclist amenity and safety by locating a safe crossing facility in the 800m section of Parramatta Road between the Harris Road and Cheltenham Road signalised intersections. The mid-block facility will support a connection of the proposed cycle route along William Street across Parramatta Road, joining the existing route to Wangal Park and the nearby Burwood Girls High School.

A similar facility is proposed on Queen Street, indicatively located between Bayview Road and Regatta Road. The traffic signals will assist pedestrians and cyclists crossing Queen Street, particularly as traffic volumes increase in the future. This crossing will support the redevelopment of the Kings Bay Precinct to address increased active transport needs around the new local centre. The specific need and location of the crossing will be dependent on the precinct masterplan and structure plan.

Pedestrian crossings were included in the traffic model at these locations with the following assumptions on phase timing and actuation:

- Walk time of 5 seconds
- Clearance time based on road width and average pedestrian walking speeds (14 seconds for Parramatta Road, 9 seconds for Queen Street)
- Pedestrian crossings called once per five (5) minutes during peak hours.

The new pedestrian crossings are shown in Figure 8-6.



Source: Sixmaps

Figure 8-6: Proposed Road Network Upgrades – New Signalised Pedestrian Crossings







### 8.4.3 Great North Road and Surrounds

The Great North Road connects between Parramatta Road and Five Dock Town Centre and is impacted by the congestion issues around Harris Road and Queens Road. Downstream blockages often push back to Great North Road (e.g. westbound queues on Queens Road), affecting the traffic performance at key intersections such as Great North Road / Queens Road / Fairlight Street and Great North Road / Parramatta Road.


To address these issues the following actions are proposed in addition to the works near Harris Road:

- New peak hour parking restrictions: new parking restrictions along the Queens Road westbound carriageway near Great North Road, extending the existing section of two-lane westbound carriageway from 50m to 100m. This will minimise congestion caused by merging traffic near the traffic signals, particularly for through traffic from Fairlight Street. Any removal of parking which will impact residents will be subject to consultation with the affected community, and dependent on future plans for Queens Road as outlined in the City of Canada Bay's Public Domain Plan.
- Changed lane designations: adjustments to the lane designations at Parramatta Road / Great North Road to allow a double right turn movement. This upgrade will encourage the use of Parramatta Road instead of Queens Road for westbound traffic from Great North Road. The proposed change will allow greater usage of the available road capacity without compromising the adjacent left turn movements.



The proposed upgrades are shown Figure 8-7.

Source: Sixmaps









# 8.5 Public Transport Initiatives

The Kings Bay precinct has a good coverage of bus services generally along Parramatta Road and Harris Road connecting to major transport hubs including Burwood, Parramatta and Strathfield.

The existing public transport infrastructure of Kings Bay precinct is shown in Figure 8-8.

The northern parts of Kings Bay precinct, along Queens Road, would benefit from additional bus services and stops possibly as an extension of the existing bus services. The provision of additional / extended bus services at the northern end of the precinct should be considered further in consultation with TfNSW, including new bus stops along Queens Road.



Figure 8-8:Public Transport Coverage







## 8.6 Active Transport Initiatives

To encourage increased walking and cycling to, from and within the redevelopment area, a number of east-west and north-south pedestrian links have been proposed for the precinct.

On-road cycle lanes along the northern edge of the precinct at Queens Road, which crosses the precinct parallel to Parramatta Road, are a long-term proposal for the area. Canada Bay Council has also proposed new routes connecting existing cycle routes at St Lukes Park, Cheltenham Road and Bevin Avenue via a number of local streets including Walker Street, Renown Street, Watts Street and William Street.

An additional cycle link along William Street is proposed by Council to connect the existing shared path on Parramatta Road at Short Street and the cycle route along Bevin Avenue. This link will connect to the proposed cycle facilities along East Street near the nearby Five Dock Metro Station.

The existing and proposed active transport infrastructure for this precinct is shown in Figure 8-9.




Figure 8-9: Active Transport Initiatives







# 8.7 Parking Initiatives

## 8.7.1 Off-Street Development Parking

The Kings Bay precinct has reasonably good coverage of bus-based public transport services. The precinct will benefit from two future Metro Stations, with one to its west (Burwood North-Concord) and one to its north-east (Five Dock). The P1 category (see Section 4.7.2) has been nominated for this precinct.

## 8.7.2 On-Street Parking

Peak period parking restrictions have been proposed along Harris Road within the precinct (refer to Section 8.4.1).

Development in the Kings Bay precinct will result in an increased demand of parking on side streets such as Harris Road, Walker Street and residential streets north of Queens Road. It is likely that greater restrictions would evolve in these areas as this development occurred.



Figure 8-10: Proposed Parking Initiatives







## 8.8 Car Share Initiatives

There are currently four car share pods located in vicinity of the Kings Bay Precinct, with two having dedicated parking bays located east of the precinct near the Five Dock town centre. The other two car share pods are located closer to the precinct, within a few hundred metres walking distance.

There would be benefits in providing additional car share pods within the precinct itself and particularly to the south and east of the precinct, given the proposed commercial and residential developments and the proposed parking policies. Additional car share pods should be considered in the vicinity of Kings Road and Queens Road, west of Harris Road, to cover both the residential and commercial development areas in the precinct.



Figure 8-11: Existing Share Car Pods Coverage Area East of Kings Bay Precinct

## 8.9 Local Street Network Changes

East-west permeability in the precinct is reasonable however there is a lack of permeability northsouth. Opportunities to break up the existing blocks with 1-2 additional north-south streets or lanes per block should be explored as redevelopment occurs.







# 9. STAGING AND IMPLEMENTATION

# 9.1 2026 Modelling and Staging

## 9.1.1 Approach

The infrastructure needs identified in the sections above were determined from analysis of the 2036 ultimate year model. To determine the staging of proposed road upgrade works as a part of this corridor study, the ultimate year model network was tested with 2026 traffic demands including uplift precinct traffic demands and other traffic growth by that year (see Section 4.1). The 2026 traffic demands were used to identify the 'extra capacity' in the road network for the interim year, and to identify the staging potential of the proposed infrastructure upgrades. The modelled upgrades were then progressively 'stripped back' from the network and then re-evaluated based on the levels of congestion revealed.

Due to the subjectivity in evaluating traffic performance across the entire network and the necessity of early upgrades, the performance target used was to achieve similar or better levels of traffic performance when compared to the '2036 Uplift with Upgrades' scenario.

## 9.1.2 Project Staging Modelling Outcomes

Figure 9-1 shows the recommended staging of the proposed road network upgrades.

The projects needed by 2026 are concentrated in the Strathfield and Burwood LGAs largely as a consequence of needing to address existing issues that are exacerbated with some precinct redevelopment. This is reflective of the concentration of traffic congestion towards the western end of the network.

The Kings Bay precinct projects are all recommended to be delivered between 2026 and 2036.

Some works can be linked directly to nearby triggers. For example, the timing of the Burwood Road / Burton Street signals can be aligned with the Burwood Metro Station works. The majority of actions related to parking restrictions can be initiated towards 2036 which is when the aggregate influence of redevelopment impacts are realised.








Figure 9-1: Proposed Upgrades and Staging









## 9.1.3 Network Statistics Comparison

Figure 9-2 compares the AM peak network-wide statistics for the '2026 Staged Upgrades' scenario and the '2036 Uplift scenario with Upgrades' scenario.



#### Figure 9-2: 2026 vs 2036 Network Statistics Comparison– AM Peak

Figure 9-3 shows the comparison between PM peak comparison between network-wide statistics for the '2026 Staged Upgrades' against the '2036 Uplift with Upgrades' scenario.



### Figure 9-3: 2026 vs 2036 Network Statistics Comparison – PM Peak

The results show that in both the peak periods, the 2026 scenario exhibits:

- Lower VHT and VKT outputs, but a higher VHT/VKT ratio, meaning that average travel speeds travelled through the network are faster in 2026 than 2036 which is indicative of lower traffic congestion
- A lower number of trips overall, including completed, incomplete and waiting to enter (this is expected due to the reduced traffic demands compared to 2036)
- Lower average delay times across the network.

Overall, these outcomes demonstrate that the '2026 Staged Upgrades' network has acceptable performance levels relative to the '2036 Uplift with Upgrades' scenario. This means that the staging of road upgrades as proposed in Figure 9-1 can adequately cater for the projected level of traffic growth by 2026. This also means that the nominated upgrades should be implemented by 2026 if the projected levels of growth in the development precincts are realised.






# 9.2 Road Network Upgrade Staging Summary

Table 9-1 summarises the proposed road network upgrades across the study area and nominates the recommended staging of works. The signal phasing changes have all been assumed to be implemented by 2026 due to the minimal works required and the benefits that would be accrued immediately.

Location	Upgrade	Relevant Precinct	By 2026	By 2036		
Parramatta Road / Bridge Road	Modify departure lane on eastern side of intersection to provide channelised right turn from Bridge Road	Homebush South	<b>N</b>	<b>S</b>		
i todu	Install peak hour parking restrictions on Bridge Road between Loftus Street and Parramatta Road	Homebush South	sh South Sh South Sh South Sh South Sh South Sh South Sh South Sh South Sh South Sh North Sh North Concord Concord Concord Concord Concord Concord Sh South Sh North Sh Nor			
Parramatta Road / Station Street	Install peak hour No Right Turn restriction from Station Street to Parramatta Road (interim)	Homebush South	Ŋ	V		
	Install left-in left-out configuration change to Parramatta Road / Station Street intersection	Homebush South	$\mathbf{X}$	Ŋ		
Parramatta Road / Knight Street	Install new right turn bay into Knight Street at the traffic signals	Homebush South	$\mathbf{X}$	M		
Parramatta Road / George Street / Nipper Street	Extend right turn bay from Parramatta Road to George Street	Homebush South	$\mathbf{X}$			
Underwood Road /	Install peak hour No Right Turn (AM) restriction from Underwood Road to Pomeroy Street		$\mathbf{\nabla}$			
Pomeroy Street	Change lane allocations on east approach on Pomeroy Street	Homebush North	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		
George Street / Pomeroy Street	Upgrade intersection layout to reflect new SDO arrangement	Homebush North	$\mathbf{\nabla}$			
Burwood Road	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Burwood Road	Burwood - Concord	$\mathbf{X}$	V		
Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street	Implement changes to the signal phase sequence / timing	Burwood - Concord	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	V		
Burwood Road / Comer Street	Install new traffic signals	Burwood - Concord	$\mathbf{X}$			
Gipps Road / Broughton Street	Install northbound No Right Turn restriction from Broughton Street to Gipps Street	Burwood - Concord	×			
Burwood Road / Burton Street	Upgrade the existing roundabout to a new set of traffic signals	Burwood - Concord	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		
Parramatta Road / Loftus Street	Implement measures to deter rat-running behaviour through Loftus Street	Burwood - Concord	$\checkmark$	M		
Harris Road / Queens	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Harris Road and relocate school PUDO to Spencer Street	Kings Bay	×	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		
Road	Install new short right turn bay on the east approach	Kings Bay	×			
Queens Road at Great North Road	Extend existing westbound parking restrictions to 100m west of the traffic signals	Kings Bay				
Parramatta Road / Great North Road	Adjust lane allocations on north approach to permit double right turn lanes.	Kings Bay	×	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		
Parramatta Road near William Street	Install new mid-block pedestrian crossing	Kings Bay	$\mathbf{X}$	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		
Queen Street near Regatta Road	Install new mid-block pedestrian crossing	Kings Bay	$\mathbf{X}$	V		









## 9.3 Concepts and 'High Level' Cost Estimates

Preliminary concept drawings were prepared for the key upgrade projects (see Appendix A), being:

- Intersection works near Parramatta Road / Knight Street
- New traffic signals at Burwood Road / Burton Street
- New traffic signals at Burwood Road / Comer Street
- Intersection works near Harris Road / Queens Road.

Estimates have also been included for each of the minor works items being recommend in this study. No cost estimates have been prepared for the public and active transport initiatives and they are likely to occur as part of specific development in each precinct.

Indicative cost estimates were prepared for these works based on the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) NSW's Local Infrastructure Benchmark Costs (November 2021) and previous experience with similar projects. Table 9-2 presents the costs by item. The total costs assume a 35% constraint factor, 8% PPI and 22% on-costs. Additional costs due to poor soil conditions, heritage works, and property acquisition are not included.

Location	Description of Works	\$ Total
George Street / Pomeroy Street	Modify traffic signals with SDO phasing and install left turn slip lane from north	\$436,200
Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street	Install right turn restriction and lane allocation changes (line marking)	\$17,500
	Channelise departure lane (line marking) OR	\$17,500
Parramatta Road / Bridge Road	Channelise departure lane ('seagull' median island treatment)	\$34,900
	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Bridge Road	\$8,800
Demonstra Deed / Otation Otae at	Install NRT sign for Station Street traffic (interim)	\$8,800
Parramatta Road / Station Street	Install left-in left-out treatment (median works)	\$34,900
Parramatta Road / Knight Street	Modify traffic signal phasing and install right turn bay from west	\$436,200
Parramatta Road / George Street / Nipper Street	Extend existing westbound turning bay for right turn from east from 25m to 55m.	\$34,900
Burwood Road	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Burwood Road	\$8,800
Burwood Road / Comer Street	New traffic signals (T-intersection)	\$567,000
Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street	Modify traffic signal phasing	\$8,800
Burwood Road / Burton Street	New traffic signals (4 way intersection)	\$750,200
Loftus Street	Local Area Traffic Management	\$87,300
Gipps Road / Broughton Street	Install right turn restriction and modify traffic signal phasing	\$17,500
	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Harris Road	\$8,800
Harris Road / Queens Road	Install new short right turn bay from east	\$436,200
Queens Road near Regatta Road	Install new mid-block signalised pedestrian crossing	\$349,000
Parramatta Road near William Street	Install new mid-block signalised pedestrian crossing	\$436,200
Queens Road at Great North Road	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Queens Road	\$8,800
Parramatta Road / Great North Road	Lane allocation changes (linemarking)	\$17,500
	Total	\$3,725,800
	Total (+50% Contingency)	\$5,588,700

#### Table 9-2: Indicative Upgrades Cost Estimates







# **10. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

# 10.1 Challenges in Fulfilling the Vision

The PRCUTS was published in 2016 with a clear vision for revitalising the Parramatta Road corridor associated with the WestConnex M4 project. PRCUTS envisaged that at least two lanes-worth of traffic would be removed from Parramatta Road, allowing for two of its current six lanes to be repurposed for exclusive use by public transport. The relocation of some through traffic off Parramatta Road and into the M4 tunnel was also seen as an opportunity to 'Uplift' key locations along the corridor and to shift Parramatta Road towards more people-friendly, place-based and local access roles. These development uplift locations included Homebush (North and South), Burwood-Concord and Kings Bay precincts, which were the focus areas for this Traffic and Transport Study.

Consistent with the PRCUTS vision and towards the start of this project, around mid-2018, the following five principles were established and agreed to guide this study:

- Make the most of WestConnex
- Localise Parramatta Road
- Maximise public transport efficiency
- Improve walking and cycling connectivity
- Manage long-stay parking.

In 2018, the study sought clarification of whether to model Parramatta Road as four or six lanes in the future, informed by future year modelling based on STFM traffic forecast. The study was advised by TfNSW to model Parramatta Road as a six lane traffic corridor. The study was then paused between 2018 and 2021 to allow TfNSW to develop its future year forecasts for consistency across the entire Parramatta Road corridor.

The 'predict and provide' approach adopted when the study recommenced and AIMSUN modelling was advanced, identified excessive congestion of the six lane Parramatta Road corridor by 2036, diminishing the ability to align the subsequent investigations with the strategic principles established in 2018.

Ideally, the two lanes of Parramatta Road should have been 'quarantined' for public transport when the WestConnex M4 East opened to traffic in 2020. This would have allowed a 'vision and validate' approach to be meaningfully pursued when this study recommenced in 2021. Notwithstanding the above advice from TfNSW during the study, it is understood that TfNSW is now (towards the end of this study) undertaking a separate study on long-term public transport options along the corridor, with the outcomes likely to guide the direction of the vision for the corridor. The conclusions of this study are intended to provide input into TfNSW's separate study.

# 10.2 Forecast Growth in Population, Employment and Traffic

The TfNSW STFM shows that the study area's population is forecast to increase from around 36,000 in 2016 to over 94,000 in 2036, with the majority of growth around Strathfield and Five Dock. Similarly, the STFM shows employment increasing from around 23,000 jobs in 2016 to 34,000 jobs in 2036. The majority of this growth around Canada Bay and Strathfield.

Compared with significant through traffic growth, local populations and employment growth sees an increase in traffic by up to 18,000 vehicle-trips by 2036 in each of the morning and afternoon 2-hour peak periods. 11,000 more vehicle trips then the 'no Uplift' scenario. In both scenarios the majority of traffic in the study area in 2036 are eternal-to-external trips (i.e. trips that originate outside of the study area and pass through the study area).







## **10.3 Traffic Modelling Outcomes**

The key outcomes from the Benchmark and Uplift future year traffic modelling were:

- The 2036 scenarios with no intervention demonstrated significant levels of congestion in the network fundamentally related to excessive congestion on Parramatta Road which led to extensive queuing into local road networks, long delays and breakdown of traffic flow.
- Long east-west queues along Parramatta Road would result in secondary issues at upstream locations, often with vehicles on side streets to Parramatta Road being unable to discharge into it due to residual queuing within Parramatta Road
- A significant volume of the forecast demand would not be able to enter the network by the end of the simulation period, particularly at key locations like the Australia Avenue roundabout, Parramatta Road to the west, The Crescent near Homebush Station and Burwood Road. This means that it is likely that there would be greater peak spreading, additional traffic diversions around the study area, some trip re-distribution to other areas, some modal shift and some trip suppression. However, the delay thresholds needed for these changes in traveller choice to occur, the level of congestion within the study area would be expected to be much worse than is currently experienced
- The worst traffic issues were observed to be concentrated at the western end of the study area with some near Concord Road, George Street and Underwood Road. This level of congestion in Strathfield is somewhat 'buffered' Parramatta Road congestion at Burwood and Kings Bay further east. In these locations, additional traffic generated by the Uplift areas also creates queues in this area back from Parramatta Road also affecting local east-west roads both north and south of Parramatta Road.

The key outcomes from the Uplift scenario after implementing the proposed Upgrade schemes were:

- Reduced average delay times across the network by around 30% and consistently higher average speeds across modelled area
- A significant reduction in vehicles waiting to enter the network by up to around 40% due to improved usage of the road capacity
- Improved travel times along the Parramatta Road corridor between Bridge Road and Great North Road, with typical improvements of around 10 minutes in the peak direction of travel.

## **10.4 Improvement Measures Development**

The fundamental issues generating 2036 congestion issues cannot be solved in local area networks. That is, there are no reasonable major road projects in or near the precincts which will solve the forecast congestion issues.

Parramatta Road and its congestion in 2036 is the key constraint.

Any traffic capacity upgrades at intersections near to Parramatta Road to relieve capacity pinch points may increase the rate of traffic accessing Parramatta Road without necessarily resulting in a marked improvement in queueing or delays at the subject intersection. Incremental minor upgrades to Parramatta Road would also provide minimal benefits in solving congestion. A more strategic approach to the consideration of Parramatta Road's future congestion and role, beyond the scope and considerations in this study, is needed. This could include investigations like TfNSW's ongoing study into the development of long-term public transport options for the corridor and the potential to limit Parramatta Road congestion to two traffic lanes per direction to support a substantial shift of movements to public transport.







On this basis, a balanced approach to traffic congestion management (rather than mitigation) has been used in this study, focussed on improving local access where possible and with a commensurate emphasis in improving walking, cycling and public transport infrastructure whilst implementing appropriate parking policies.

# 10.5Uplift Area Findings

Part of the scope of this study was to identify if the Uplift areas (redevelopment precincts) were being proposed with too much development, or not enough development. The 'predict and provide' analysis clearly shows heavy congestion either without or with the uplift areas.

However, traffic congestion forecasts should not be the primary determinant of the levels of development in these precincts because the models will show that excessive congestion will exist regardless. The excessive congestion results in greater model inconsistency, so the impacts of development traffic may not be captured accurately. Rather, due consideration should be given to public transport accessibility as the primary determinant.

On this basis, and until such time as a rapid bus system or similar is introduced in Parramatta Road, the following development uplift conclusions can be drawn:

- Homebush North: Uplift levels should be supportable due to the presence of Concord West Station
- Homebush South: Uplift levels should be reconsidered with greater densities towards Knight Street (and the rail station) and reducing levels towards Bridge Road further away from public transport
- Burwood Concord: Distribution of the proposed uplift should be weighted with high densities around Parramatta Road, Burwood Road and the proposed Burwood North Metro Station, with reduced levels towards the southeast and southwest corners of the precinct
- Kings Bay: Due to the relatively low level of direct public transport accessibility, this precinct is expected to have the heaviest reliance on private cars of all the precincts investigated. As such, the uplift traffic generation should be balanced by commensurate improvements to public and active transport services presumably identified and committed to through the current TfNSW study for Parramatta Road public transport improvements.

## 10.6 Action Plan

The consolidated action plan incorporates the road network upgrades, the public transport improvements, the active transport upgrades, the parking policy, TDM and local street network measures within a consolidated action table, by precinct. The actions are summarised in Table 10.1.







### Table 10.1: Consolidated Actions Table

Type/ID	Location	Description	Responsibility	Cost	Timing
Homebus	sh North Precinct				
RN-1	Underwood Road / Pomeroy Street	Install new No Right Turn (AM) and lane allocation changes	TfNSW	\$18,000	By 2026
RN-2	George Street / Pomeroy Street	Upgrade intersection layout to reflect new SDO arrangement	TfNSW	\$436,000	By 2026
PT-1	Between Concord West and North Strathfield	Improve bus connectivity to Homebush North via a new bus route on George Street	TfNSW	TBC	TBC
AT-1	Near Station Street, Concord West	Additional pedestrian links through the redevelopment areas for more permeable networks and for better connectivity to public transport	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC
AT-2	Future Business Park (currently Westpac) at 1 King Street, Concord West	Include pedestrian links as part of redevelopment for improved pedestrian permeability near the station	Developer / Canada Bay Council	ТВС	ТВС
AT-3	Conway Avenue	Additional cycling links on Conway Avenue and Yaralla Street to connect with existing cycling facilities	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC
TDM1	Homebush North Precinct	Implement 'P1' parking provision rates in Development Control Plan	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC
TDM2	Victoria Avenue (W), Concord West	Provide new dedicated car share pod(s) on Victoria Avenue west of the railway line with redevelopment.	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC







Type/ID	Location	Description	Responsibility	Cost	Timing
Homebus	sh South Precinct				
RN-3	Parramatta Road /	Modify the departure lane on the eastern side of the intersection to provide a channelised right turn from Bridge Road	Strathfield Council	Minimal	By 2026
TDM-3	Bridge Road	Install peak period parking restrictions on Bridge Road between Loftus Street and Parramatta Road	Strathfield Council	\$9,000	By 2036
RN-4	Parramatta Road / Station Street	Install peak hour No Right Turn restriction from Station Street to Parramatta Road (interim)	TfNSW	\$9,000	By 2026
RN-5		Install left-in left-out configuration change to Parramatta Road / Station Street intersection	TfNSW	\$35,000	By 2036
RN-6	Parramatta Road / Knight Street	Install new right turn bay into Knight Street at the traffic signals	TfNSW	\$435,000	By 2036
RN-7	Parramatta Road / George Street / Nipper Street	Extend existing westbound turning bay for the right turn from Parramatta Road to George Street from 25m to 55m	TfNSW	\$35,000	By 2036
PT-2	Homebush South Precinct – Western Side	Explore option of new bus stops and bus routes to improve public transport access for the western catchment.	TfNSW	TBC	TBC
TDM-4	Precinci – Western Side	Implement 'P3' parking provision rates in Development Control Plan	Strathfield Council	TBC	TBC
TDM-5	Homebush South Precinct – Eastern Side	Implement 'P2' parking provision rates in Development Control Plan	Strathfield Council	TBC	TBC
AT-4	The Crescent	Design and install new cycle route connecting Strathfield Station and the Bay to Bay Cycle and Walkway via The Crescent, Beresford Road, Elva Street and Albert Street	Strathfield Council	твс	ТВС
AT-5	Station Street and Parramatta Road	Design and install new cycle route connecting the existing shared path at Ismay Reserve to Homebush Station via Station Street and Parramatta Road to connect	Strathfield Council	ТВС	ТВС
AT-6	Parramatta Road at Underwood Road	Design and install a new cycle route connection between Underwood Road and Subway Lane, spanning Parramatta Road.	Strathfield Council / TfNSW	ТВС	TBC
TDM-6	Homebush South Precinct	Provide new dedicated parking spaces for existing car share pods within the Homebush South Precinct	Strathfield Council	TBC	TBC





Type/ID	Location	Description	Responsibility	Cost	Timing
Burwood	I-Concord Precinct				
TDM-7	Burwood Road	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Burwood Road between Wilga Street and Parramatta Road	Burwood Council / TfNSW	\$9,000	By 2036
RN-8	Burwood Road / Park Avenue / Wilga Street	Implement changes to the signal phase sequence / timing	TfNSW	\$9,000	By 2026
RN-9	Gipps Street / Broughton Street	Install a new No Right Turn restriction from Broughton Street right turn to Gipps Street	TfNSW	\$17,500	By 2026
RN-10	Burwood Road / Comer Street	Install new traffic signals	Burwood Council / TfNSW	\$565,000	By 2036
RN-11	Burwood Road / Burton Street	Upgrade the existing roundabout to a new set of traffic signals	Canada Bay Council / TfNSW / Sydney Metro	\$750,000	By 2026
RN-12	Parramatta Road / Loftus Street	Implement measures to deter rat-running behaviour through Loftus Street	Canada Bay Council	\$85,000	By 2026
AT-7	Comer Street, Rowley Street and Burwood Park	Design and install a new cycle route connecting Grantham Street and Neich Parade along the north side of Burwood Park	Canada Bay Council / TfNSW	твс	TBC
AT-8	Burton Street	Design and install a new cycle route connecting the Burwood North Metro site and Broughton Street	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC
AT-9	Parramatta Road	Design and install a new cycle route connecting the Burwood North Metro site and the existing cycle route at Neich Parade	Burwood Council	TBC	TBC
TDM-8	Burwood-Concord Precinct	Implement 'P1' parking provision rates in the Development Control Plan	Burwood Council	TBC	TBC
TDM-9	Burwood-Concord Precinct – Northern side	Provide new dedicated car share pod(s) within the Burwood-Concord Precinct, located towards the northern side of the catchment near Parramatta Road on key roads like Burwood Road, and potentially near/within the Westfield Shopping Centre.	Burwood Council	ТВС	ТВС





Type/ID	Location	Description	Responsibility	Cost	Timing
Kings Ba	y Precinct				
TDM-10	Harris Road / Queens	Install peak hour parking restrictions along Harris Road, including relocation of school pick-up and drop-off zone to planned Spencer Street extension.	Canada Bay Council / TfNSW	\$9,000	By 2036
RN-13	Road	Install new short right turn bay on the east approach	TfNSW	\$435,000	By 2036
TDM-11	Queens Road at Great North Road	Extend existing westbound parking restrictions to 100m west of the traffic signals, subject to stakeholder consultation	TfNSW	\$9,000	By 2036
RN-14	Parramatta Road / Great North Road	Adjust lane allocations on the northern approach to permit double right turn lanes.	TfNSW	\$17,500	By 2036
PT-3	Queens Road	Explore option of new bus stops and bus routes to improve public transport access for the northern side of the precinct.	TfNSW	TBC	TBC
AT-7	William Street	Design and install a new cycle route connecting the shared path on Parramatta Road and the planned cycle route on William Street via William Street	Canada Bay Council	ТВС	ТВС
AT-8	Between Regatta Road and William Street	Improve pedestrian facilities through the future Kings Bay urban village to facilitate better connection between the major movement corridors.	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC
RN-15	Queens Road near Regatta Road	Install new mid-block signalised pedestrian crossing	Canada Bay Council / TfNSW	\$435,000	By 2036
RN-16	Parramatta Road near William Street	Install new mid-block signalised pedestrian crossing	Canada Bay Council / TfNSW	\$350,000	By 2036
AT-10	Through existing lot bounded by Walker Street and Regatta Road	Villiam Street       Install new mid-block signalised pedestrian crossing         bugh existing lot       Improve pedestrian facilities through the site to improve pedestrian         eet and Regatta       permeability near the future Kings Bay urban village.		ТВС	ТВС
AT-11	Harris Road	Improve pedestrian facilities along Harris Road, which is a key future corridor for movement through the precinct.	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC
TDM-12	Kings Bay Precinct	Implement 'P1' parking provision rates in Development Control Plan	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC
TDM-13	Queens Road, west of Harris Road	Provide new dedicated car share pod(s) within the Kings Bay Precinct, located on key roads like Queens Road.	Canada Bay Council	TBC	TBC











# Appendix A: Concept Design Drawings

























# **Appendix B:** Transit Precinct Development Comparison





#### Parramatta Study Area

Homebush	Canada Bay	Strathfield/Burwood	Burwood Station
719	706	969	913
958	707	970	915
961	720	910	
963	738	911	
717	735	912	
959			
960			

	Bicycle	Bus	Car as driver	Car as passenger	Ferry	Motorbike	Taxi	Train	Tram	Truck	Walked only	Grand Total
Homebush North	10	12	427	27	0	5	0	351	0	5	36	873
Homebush South	16	53	1926	176	0	14	7	1514	3	25	143	3887
Canada Bay	54	516	3380	264	41	48	27	818	6	50	170	5374
Strathfield/Burwood	31	230	1854	191	0	26	4	2087	3	26	273	4726
											Grand Total	13987

Precinct	Bicycle	Bus	Car as driver	Car as passenger	Ferry	Motorbike	Taxi	Train	Tram	Truck	Walked only
Homebush North	1.1%	1.4%	48.9%	3.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	40.2%	0.0%	0.6%	4.1%
Homebush South	0.4%	1.4%	49.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	39.0%	0.1%	0.6%	3.7%
Canada Bay	1.0%	9.6%	62.9%	4.9%	0.8%	0.9%	0.5%	15.2%	0.1%	0.9%	3.2%
Strathfield/Burwood	0.7%	4.9%	39.2%	4.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	44.2%	0.1%	0.6%	5.8%
Average	0.7%	5.3%	50.6%	4.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	32.8%	0.1%	0.7%	4.2%

Precinct	Bicycle	Bus	Car as driver	Car as passenger	Ferry/Tram	Motorbike	Taxi	Train	Truck	/alked on
Homebush	0.7%	1.4%	49.5%	4.5%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	39.0%	0.6%	3.7%
Canada Bay	1.0%	9.6%	62.9%	4.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.5%	15.2%	0.9%	3.2%
Strathfield/Burwood	0.7%	4.9%	39.2%	4.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	44.2%	0.6%	5.8%
Average	0.8%	5.3%	50.6%	4.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	32.8%	0.7%	4.2%

Aspirational 2023 Targets

Aspirational 2025 rargets						
Precinct	Bicycle	Bus	Train	Walked only	Car as driver	Car as passenger
Homebush	1.5%	2.3%	40.0%	4.0%	47.7%	4.5%
Canada Bay	2.0%	20.0%	15.2%	3.5%	54.4%	4.9%
Strathfield/Burwood	1.5%	15.0%	45.0%	6.0%	28.5%	4.0%
Average	1.7%	12.4%	33.4%	4.5%	43.5%	4.5%

#### Case Study Areas

Zones by Suburb			
Top Ryde	Meadowbank	Rhodes	Crows Nest
1571	1591	712	1911
1568	1576	710	1912
1570	1589		1910

	Bicycle	Bus	Car as driver	Car as passenger	Ferry	Motorbike	Taxi	Train	Tram	Truck	Walked only	Grand Total
Top Ryde	12	465	1240	98	4	18	3	142	0	17	107	2108
Meadowbank	4	53	771	89	14	7	6	489	0	7	52	1492
Rhodes	12	28	993	89	0	17	0	977	3	3	130	2252
Crows Nest	22	412	683	63	3	7	18	335	0	0	363	1906
											0 17.11	3350
											Grand Total	7758
											Grand Total	//58
	Bicycle	Bus	Car as driver	Car as passenger	Ferry	Motorbike	Taxi	Train	Tram	Truck	Walked only	Grand Total
Tap Ryde	Bicycle 0.6%	Bus 22.1%	Car as driver 58.8%	Car as passenger 4.6%	Ferry 0.2%	Motorbike 0.9%	Taxi 0.1%	Train 6.7%	Tram 0.0%	Truck 0.8%		
Top Ryde Meadowbank											Walked only	Grand Total
	0.6%	22.1%	58.8%	4.6%	0.2%	0.9%	0.1%	6.7%	0.0%	0.8%	Walked only 5.1%	Grand Total 100.0%
Meadowbank	0.6%	22.1% 3.6%	58.8% 51.7%	4.6% 6.0%	0.2%	0.9%	0.1%	6.7% 32.8%	0.0%	0.8%	Walked only 5.1% 3.5%	Grand Total 100.0% 100.0%